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## 1 The Frenet-Serret frame of a space curve

We will consider smooth curves given by a parametric equation in a three-dimensional space. That is, writing bold-face letters of vectors in three dimension, a curve is described as $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}(t)$, where $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}$ is continuous in some interval $I$; here the prime indicates derivative. The length of such a curve between parameter values $t_{0} \in I$ and $t_{1} \in I$ can be described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(t_{1}\right)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left|\mathbf{F}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left|\frac{d \mathbf{r}}{d t}\right| d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for a vector $\mathbf{u}$ we denote by $|\mathbf{u}|$ its length; here we assume $t_{0}$ is fixed and $t_{1}$ is variable, so we only indicated the dependence of the arc length on $t_{1}$. Clearly, $\sigma$ is an increasing continuous function, so it has an inverse $\sigma^{-1}$; it is customary to write $s=\sigma(t)$. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}\left(\sigma^{-1}(s)\right) \quad s \in J \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{\sigma(t): t \in I\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the re-parametrization of the curve $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}(t)(t \in I)$ with respect to arc length. It is clear that $J$ is an interval. To simplify the description, we will always assume that $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}(t)$ and $s=\sigma(t)$,

[^0]so we will just use the variables $\mathbf{r}, t$, and $s$ instead of using function notation. We will use prime to indicate the differentiation $d / d t$, while the differentiation $d / d s$ will not be abbreviated. We will assume that $\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \neq 0$ for any $t \in I$; then $\mathbf{r}^{\prime}$ is a tangent vector to the curve corresponding to the given parameter value $t{ }^{1.1}$

By the fundamental Theorem of Calculus, equation (1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\prime}=\frac{d s}{d t}=\frac{d \sigma(t)}{d t}=\left|\mathbf{F}^{\prime}(t)\right|=\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by the chain rule of differentiation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s}=\frac{d t}{d s} \frac{d}{d t}=\left(\frac{1}{d s / d t}\right) \frac{d}{d t}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|} \frac{d}{d t} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second equation follows from equation (1) by the Fundamental Theorem of calculus. When using differential operator notation as in $d / d s$, everything after the differential operator up to the next + or - sign needs to be differentiated; the expression preceding the differential operator is not to be differentiated. The unit tangent vector $\mathbf{T}$ is defined as ${ }^{1.2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|} \mathbf{r}^{\prime}=\frac{d \mathbf{r}}{d s} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the second equation follows from equations (4). The curvature is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left|\frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d s}\right| . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will assume that $\kappa \neq 0,{ }^{1.3}$ The unit normal vector is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d s} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $|\mathbf{T}|^{2}=\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}$, so by the product rule of differentiation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{T}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{T}+\mathbf{T} \cdot \frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d s}\right)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $\mathbf{T}$ is perpendicular to $d \mathbf{T} / d s$, and so $\mathbf{N}$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{T}$. The unit binormal vector is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B} \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{N} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vectors $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}$ form the basic unit vectors of a coordinate system especially useful for describing the the local properties of the curve at the given point. These three vectors form what is called the Frenet-Serret frame. Equation (9) implies that the vectors T, N, B form a right-handed system of pairwise perpendicular unit vectors. Any cyclic permutation of these vectors also form a righthanded system of pairwise perpendicular unit vectors; therefore we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{N}=\mathbf{B} \\
\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{T}  \tag{10}\\
\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{T}=\mathbf{N}
\end{array}
$$

[^1]
## 2 The Frenet-Serret formulas

As $|\mathbf{N}|=1$, we have $|\mathbf{N}|^{2}=\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{N}=1$, and so, similarly to equation (8), we have

$$
\frac{d \mathbf{N}}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{N}=0
$$

That is $d \mathbf{N} / d s$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{N}$, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathbf{N}}{d s}=\alpha \mathbf{T}+\tau \mathbf{B} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some numbers $\alpha$ and $\tau$ (depending on $t) . \widehat{ }{ }^{2.1}$ Here $\tau$ is called the torsion of the curve at the point; the value of $\alpha$ will be determined below. Using this equation, equations (10), and the product rule of differentiation for vector products, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mathbf{B}}{d s} & =\frac{d(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{N})}{d s}=\frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d s} \times \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{T} \times \frac{d \mathbf{N}}{d s}  \tag{12}\\
& =\kappa \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{T} \times(\alpha \mathbf{T}+\tau \mathbf{B})=\tau \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{B}=-\tau \mathbf{N}
\end{align*}
$$

the third equation follows by equations (6) and (11). The first term of the third member ${ }^{2.2}$ is zero; so is first term after distributing the cross product in the second term in the third member. Thus the fourth equation follows; to obtain the last equation we used equation (10). Hence, using equation (10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mathbf{N}}{d s} & =\frac{d(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{T})}{d s}=\frac{d \mathbf{B}}{d s} \times \mathbf{T}+\mathbf{B} \times \frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d s}  \tag{13}\\
& =-\tau \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{T}+\mathbf{B} \times(\kappa \mathbf{N})=\tau \mathbf{B}+\kappa \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{N}=-\kappa \mathbf{T}+\tau \mathbf{B}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation shows that $\alpha$ in equation (11) equals $-\kappa$; however, this fact and equation (11) itself is no longer of any interest, since this equation is subsumed in the last equation. Equations (7), (12), and (13) are called the Frenet-Serret formulas. To summarize these formulas, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d s} & =\kappa \mathbf{N} \\
\frac{d \mathbf{N}}{d s} & =-\kappa \mathbf{T}+\tau \mathbf{B}  \tag{14}\\
\frac{d \mathbf{B}}{d s} & =-\tau \mathbf{N}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 The first three derivatives of $\mathbf{r}$

As we mentioned above, we will indicate derivation with respect to $t$ by prime. According to equations (5) and (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}^{\prime}=\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{T}=s^{\prime} \mathbf{T} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]We can do further differentiations with respect to $t$ by using equations (14), (4), and (3). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}=s^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{T}+s^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{\prime}=s^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{T}+\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{2} \frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d s}=s^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{T}+\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{2} \kappa \mathbf{N} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, repeatedly using equations (15) and (14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =s^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathbf{T}+s^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{T}^{\prime}+\left(2 s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime} \kappa+\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{2} \kappa^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{N}+\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{2} \kappa \mathbf{N}^{\prime} \\
& =s^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathbf{T}+s^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime} \frac{d \mathbf{T}}{d s}+\left(2 s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime} \kappa+\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{2} \kappa^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{N}+\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{3} \kappa \frac{d \mathbf{N}}{d s}  \tag{17}\\
& =s^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathbf{T}+s^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime} \kappa \mathbf{N}+\left(2 s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime} \kappa+\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{2} \kappa^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{N}+\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{3} \kappa(-\kappa \mathbf{T}+\tau \mathbf{B}) \\
& =\left(s^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{3} \kappa\right) \mathbf{T}+\left(3 s^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime} \kappa+\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{2} \kappa^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{N}+\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{3} \kappa \tau \mathbf{B} .
\end{align*}
$$

It is now easy to express $\kappa$ and $\tau$ in terms of derivatives with respect to $t$. Equations (15), (16), and (10) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}=\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{3} \kappa \mathbf{B} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

so using equation (3), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}\right|}{\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|^{3}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

by noting that $|\mathbf{B}|=1$. By equations (18) and (17) we have

$$
\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{6} \kappa^{2} \tau
$$

Hence, using equation (18) and noting that $|\mathbf{B}|=1$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Examples and discussion

Since the curvature $\kappa$ and the torsion $\tau$ are defined in terms of the local coordinate frame $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}$ in arc-length parametrization, they only depend on the shape of the curve and not on the choice of the coordinate system $x, y, z$ and the choice of the parameter $t$. Hence, for a curve that we want to calculate the curvature or the torsion, we may set up the coordinate system $x, y, z$ and choose a parametrization that make these calculations especially simple.

### 4.1 The curvature of a circle

We consider the circle of radius $R>0$ lying in the $x, y$ plane, and centered at the origin. This circle can be parametrized by the equation

$$
\mathbf{r}=R(\mathbf{i} \cos t+\mathbf{j} \sin t)
$$

where $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{k}$ are the unit coordinate vectors in the directions of the positive $x, y$, and $z$ axes, respectively. We have

$$
\mathbf{r}^{\prime}=R(-\mathbf{i} \sin t+\mathbf{j} \cos t)
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}=-R(\mathbf{i} \cos t+\mathbf{j} \sin t)
$$

Hence

$$
\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\
-R \sin t & R \cos t & 0 \\
-R \cos t & -R \sin t & 0
\end{array}\right|=R^{2}\left(\sin ^{2} t+\cos ^{2} t\right) \mathbf{k}=R^{2} \mathbf{k}
$$

We also have

$$
\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|=R \sqrt{\cos ^{2} t+\sin ^{2} t}=R
$$

Hence equation (19) gives that

$$
\kappa=\frac{R^{2}}{R^{3}}=\frac{1}{R} .
$$

Thus, the curvature of a circle is the reciprocal of the radius. For this reason, given any curve, $1 / \kappa$ is called the radius of curvature; it is the radius of the osculating circle: given an curve by the equation $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}(t)$, the osculating circle at a point corresponding to the parameter value $t=t_{0}$ (i.e., at the point with position vector $\left.\mathbf{r}_{0}=\mathbf{F}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ is a circle with equation $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{G}(t)$ at the for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}\left(t_{0}\right)=\mathbf{F}\left(t_{0}\right), \quad \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\mathbf{F}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{G}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\mathbf{F}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the existence of such a circle, one needs to assume that $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{F}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$. The case that $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ is a case of bad parametrization, when the curve may or may not have a tangent line and a curvature, but the equation is not suitable for determining the tangent line or the curvature. In this case, one needs to re-parametrize the curve in such a way that the derivative at the point with position vector $\mathbf{F}\left(t_{0}\right)$ is not zero 4.1 If $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$ but $\mathbf{F}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, the curvature is 0 , and the osculating circle degenerates into a straight line; in fact, the tangent line can be considered the osculating "circle" in this case, and one may say that the corresponding radius of curvature is infinite.

### 4.2 The curvature and the torsion of a helix

A helix in the standard position can be described by the equation

$$
\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{i} R \cos t+\mathbf{j} R \sin t+c t \mathbf{k} \quad(R>0)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}^{\prime} & =-\mathbf{i} R \sin t+\mathbf{j} R \cos t+c \mathbf{k} \\
\mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime} & =-\mathbf{i} R \cos t-\mathbf{j} R \sin t \\
\mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\mathbf{i} R \sin t-\mathbf{j} R \cos t
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|=\sqrt{R^{2}\left(\sin ^{2} t+\cos ^{2} t\right)+c^{2}}=\sqrt{R^{2}+c^{2}}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime} & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\
-R \sin t & R \cos t & c \\
-R \cos t & -R \sin t & 0
\end{array}\right|=\mathbf{i} c R \sin t-\mathbf{j} c R \cos t+R^{2}\left(\sin ^{2} t+\cos ^{2} t\right) \mathbf{k} \\
& =\mathbf{i} c R \sin t-\mathbf{j} c R \cos t+R^{2} \mathbf{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]Thus

$$
\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\sqrt{c^{2} R^{2}\left(\cos ^{2} t+\sin ^{2} t\right)+R^{4}}=R \sqrt{c^{2}+R^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime}=R \sqrt{c^{2}+R^{2}}=c R^{2} \sin ^{2} t+c R^{2} \cos ^{2} t=c R^{2}
$$

Hence, using formula (19) we obtain

$$
\kappa=\frac{R \sqrt{c^{2}+R^{2}}}{\left(R^{2}+c^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}=\frac{R}{R^{2}+c^{2}}
$$

Similarly, using formula (20)

$$
\tau=\frac{c R^{2}}{R^{2}\left(c^{2}+R^{2}\right)}=\frac{c}{R^{2}+c^{2}}
$$

An osculating curve to a given curve $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}(t)$ is a curve $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{G}(t)$ satisfying equations (21); these equations can also be described by saying that the curves $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}(t)$ and $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{G}(t)$ have a second order contact at the given point. One can generalize this to an arbitrary integer $n$ by saying that the curve $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}(t)$ and $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}(t)$ have an order $n$ contact for a given parameter value $t=t_{0}$ if $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and 4.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}^{(k)}\left(t_{0}\right)=\mathbf{F}^{(k)}\left(t_{0}\right) \quad \text { for all } k \text { with } 0 \leq k \leq n . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The osculating plane is the plane spanned by the vectors $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{N}$. The osculating circle lies in this place. The osculating plane has a second order contact with the curve at the point given by a parameter value $t=t_{0}$. More generally, if $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{F}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$, then any plane curve that has a second order contact with the curve $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}(t)$ at the parameter value $t=t_{0}$ lies entirely in the osculating plane. If $\mathbf{F}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ then the osculating plane is not determined since $\kappa=0$ in this case so the vector $\mathbf{N}$ is not determined (cf. equations (19) and (7) to see this). The torsion expresses the speed with which the osculating place turns as the arc-length parameter changes (indeed, this follows from the third equation in (14), since $\mathbf{B}$ is normal to the osculating plane).

The derivation of the Frenet-Serret formulas (14) shows the theoretical usefulness of arc-length parametrization. Re-parametrizing a curve with respect to arc-length is rarely done in practice, since the integrals involved cannot usually be evaluated, and a more useful procedure is to rewrite the formulas derived with arc-length parametrization in terms of the original parameter, as was done in formulas (19) and (20).

[^4]
[^0]:    *Written for the course Mathematics 2201 (Multivariable Calculus) at Brooklyn College of CUNY.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1.1}$ If the equation $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{F}(t)$ describes a moving point, where $t$ is time, then $\mathbf{r}$ is the velocity vector of the moving point at time $t$. That is, the length of $\mathbf{r}^{\prime}$ is its speed, while the direction of $r^{\prime}$ is its direction of its movement. If $\mathbf{r}^{\prime}=0$, then the point stopped moving at the given time, and then it may resume its movement in a different direction. This means that even though the function $\mathbf{F}$ is differentiable, the tangent line to the curve described by the function may not be defined at this point.
    ${ }^{1.2} \mathrm{~A}$ unit vector is a vector of length 1.
    ${ }^{1.3}$ We will comment later on what happens when $\kappa \neq 0$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2.1}$ Every vector can be expressed as a linear combination of the basic unit vectors $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}$; as $d \mathbf{N} / d s$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{N}$, the coefficient of $\mathbf{N}$ in this linear combination is 0 .
    ${ }^{2.2}$ The member between the third and fourth equations

[^3]:    ${ }^{4.1}$ Such a parametrization may not exist. If there is a suitable re-parametrization, the re-parametrization with respect to arc length will work. It is, however, possible, that the derivative of the arc-length parametrization at the given point does not exist - in which case there is no smooth re-parametrization at the point.

[^4]:    4.2 This condition just mentioned may be dropped if one is only interested in the curve as a moving points. If $F^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ then this condition expresses only that the points near the time $t=t_{0}$ have a "higher order closeness," but since both points stop moving at this time, this has no implication for the geometry of the two curves.

