# MAT 2440 - HW2 Solutions

### September 2016

# Section 1.4

## Exercise 6

## $\mathbf{d}$

There is a student that hasn't visited North Dakota.

### $\mathbf{e}$

Not every student has visited North Dakota.

# f

All students have not visited North Dakota.

## Exercise 8

#### с

There is an animal x such that if x is a rabbit, then it hops.

#### $\mathbf{d}$

There is an animal x such that it is a rabbit and it hops.

## Exercise 10

# b

 $\forall x \left( C(x) \lor D(x) \lor F(x) \right)$ 

#### С

 $\exists x \left( C(x) \wedge F(x) \wedge \neg D(x) \right)$ 

d  $\neg \exists x \left( C(x) \land F(x) \land D(x) \right)$  or  $\forall x \neg \left( C(x) \land F(x) \land D(x) \right)$ 

## Exercise 36

**a** If x = 1 then  $x^2 = x$ 

**b** If x = 0 then |x| = 0

Section 1.5

## Exercise 10

b

 $\forall y F(\text{Evelyn}, y)$ 

#### С

 $\forall x \exists y F(x,y)$ 

#### $\mathbf{d}$

 $\neg \exists x \forall y F(x,y)$ 

## Exercise 32

#### $\mathbf{a}$

 $\forall z \exists y \exists x \neg T(x, y, z)$ 

### $\mathbf{b}$

 $\forall x \forall y \neg P(x,y) \lor \exists x \exists y \neg Q(x,y)$ 

# Section 1.6

## Exercise 16

#### а

Let E(x) denote "x is enrolled in the university" and let D(x) denote "x has lived in a dormitory".

Step	Reason
1. $\forall x (E(x) \to D(x))$	Premise
2. $E(Mia) \rightarrow D(Mia)$	Universal instantiation
3. $\neg D$ (Mia	Premise
4. $\neg E(Mia)$	Modus tollens using steps 2 and 3 $$

1		
	r	1
2	7	

Let P(x) be "x is a convertible car" and Q(x) be "x is fun to drive". The premises are  $\forall x (C(x) \rightarrow D(x))$ . By applying universal instantiation, we get  $C(\text{Isaac's car}) \rightarrow D(\text{Issac's car})$ . Given the premise " $\neg C(\text{Isaac's car})$ ", this argument is of the form:  $((p \rightarrow q) \lor \neg p) \rightarrow \neg q$ . This uses the fallacy of denying the hypothesis.

#### С

Let A(x) denote "x is an action movie" and let Q(x) denote "Quincy likes movie x". Then the premise is  $\forall x (A(x) \to Q(x))$ . By applying universal instantiation, we get  $A(\text{Eight Men Out}) \to Q(\text{Eight Men Out})$ . Given the premise "Q(Eight Men Out)", this argument is of the form  $((p \to q) \land q) \to p$ . This uses the fallacy of affirming the conclusion.