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Dedicated to...
It is the harmony of the diverse parts, their symmetry, their happy balance; in a word it is all that introduces order, all that gives unity, that permits us to see clearly and to comprehend at once both the ensemble and the details.

Henri Poincaré
Preface

But if you realize all the time what’s kind of wonderful - that is, if we expand our experience into wider and wider regions of experience - every once in a while, we have these integrations when everything’s pulled together into a unification, in which it turns out to be simpler than it looked before.

Richard P. Feynman

Over the past few decades category theory has been used in many different areas of mathematics, physics, and computers. The applications of category theory have arisen in (to name just a few) quantum field theory, database theory, abstract algebra, formal language theory, quantum algebra, theoretical biology, knot theory, universal algebra, string theory, quantum computing, self-referential paradoxes, etc. This book will introduce the category theory necessary to understand large parts of all these different areas.

Category theory studies categories, which are collections of structures and ways of changing those structures. They have been used to describe many different phenomena in mathematics and science. Our central focus will be monoidal categories, which are souped-up categories that allow one to describe even more phenomena. The theory of monoidal categories has emerged as a theory of structures and processes.

Category theory is a simple, extremely clear and concise language in which these various different fields of science can be discussed. It is also a unifying language. It unifies different fields by utilizing a single language where one sees common themes and properties. It also brings together different fields by actually establishing connections between them. Category theory is very good at showing the “big picture.” Once this language is understood, one is capable of easily learning an immense amount of science, mathematics, and computing.

This book is an introduction to category theory. It begins with the basic definitions of category theory and takes the reader all the way up to cutting-edge research topics. Rather than going “down the rabbit hole” with a lot of very technical “pure” category theory, our central focus will be on examples and applications. In fact, an alternative title of this text could be Category Theory By Example. A major goal is to show the ubiquity of category theory and finally put an end to the silly canard that category theory is “general abstract nonsense.” Another important goal is to show how many fields are related through category theory.

Within each chapter, whenever there is a definition or theorem, it is immediately followed by
examples and exercises that clarify the categorical idea and makes it come alive. The fun is in the examples, and our examples are from many diverse disciplines.

This text contains fourteen self-contained mini-courses on various fields. They are short introductions to major fields such as quantum computing, self-referential paradoxes, quantum algebra, etc. These sections do not introduce new categorical ideas, rather, they use the category theory already presented to describe an entire field. The point we are making with these mini-courses is that with the language of category theory in your toolbox, one can master totally new and diverse fields with ease.

This book is different from other books in category theory. In contrast to them, we do not assume that the reader is already a physicist, a mathematician, or a computer scientist. Rather, this book is for anyone who wants to learn the wonders of category theory. We assume the reader is broad-minded and interested in many areas. We also assume that the reader wants to see how diverse areas are related to each other. The reader will not only learn all about category theory, she will also learn an immense amount of science, mathematics, and computers.

Another major difference between this book and other introductory category theory books is the way the book is organized. While in most books, the concept of category, functor, and natural transformation is introduced in the first few pages, here we slowly present each idea separately and in its correct time. This means that it takes us some time to get to the more interesting aspects of category theory. However, the reader will not be overwhelmed at the beginning. Structuring the book like this is more pedagogically sound.

Organization

The text commences with an introductory chapter that places category theory in historical and philosophical context. Chapters 2, 3, and 4 are a simple introduction to category theory. Chapter 2 contains the basic definitions and properties of categories. Chapter 3 deals with special structures within a category. The real magic begins in Chapter 4 where we see how different categories relate to each other.

Chapters 5, 6, and 7 comprise the second part of the book. Chapter 5 describes monoidal categories, which are categories with extra structure. Chapter 6 deals with the relationships between monoidal categories. The core of the book is Chapter 7, where several variations of monoidal categories are presented with many of their applications.

The final three chapters contain some advanced topics. The many categorical ways of describing structures are dealt with in Chapter 8. Chapter 9 is a collection of more mini-courses from many different areas. We conclude with Chapter 10, which has a sampling of current research areas in advanced category theory.

At the end of each chapter, there is a self-contained mini-course on a single topic. Every mini-course ends with several pointers to where you can learn more about the particular topic.

Appendix A is a giant Venn diagram that shows all of the different types of monoidal categories and many of the examples found in the text.

Appendix B is an index of categories that appear in the text.

Appendix C is a guide to further study of category theory and its applications.

Appendix D has answers to selected exercises.
Guide for the Reader

The trick to reading this book is to find the examples of the theory that you like the most. Every reader has a favorite area. I suggest reading the theory part and then focusing on one’s area of interest. Our examples are usually separated into math examples, physics examples, and computer and logic examples. However, as we shall see, separating these different fields is not easy.

Every reader will probably see examples of structures that they already know. They might find those parts slow and pedantic. Please bear with me. Realize that there are others who do not know that area well. Learn to skim.

The presentation of some of our categories are spread over the entire book. An example will grow from being a category (Chapter 2), to having certain properties (Chapter 3), to having different relationships with other categories (Chapter 4), to being a monoidal category (Chapter 5), to having relationships with other monoidal categories (Chapter 6), to having even more structure (Chapter 7), ... If you do not remember seeing a particular category, backtrack to where it was first mentioned. Read the book with one eye on the category index in Appendix B to find earlier references to that category and one eye on the Venn diagram in Appendix A. There you will see what structures a category has and its relation with other structures.

If there is a particular example or idea in a certain field that interests you, consider looking at Appendix C to see where you can find more information about those concepts.

The reader is strongly urged to do all the exercises in the book. The only way to have category theory at your fingertips is to do category theory!

Ancillaries

This text does not stand alone. I maintain a web page for the text at www.sci.brooklyn.cuny.edu/noson/mctext. The web page contains:

(i) links to interesting books and articles on category theory and monoidal categories;
(ii) some answers to exercises not solved in Appendix D; and
(iii) errata.

The reader is encouraged to send any and all corrections and suggestions to noson@sci.brooklyn.cuny.edu.

Help me make this book better!
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Chapter 1

Introduction

The language of categories is affectionately known as abstract nonsense, so named by Norman Steenrod. This term is essentially accurate and not necessarily derogatory; categories refer to nonsense in the sense that they are all about the ‘structure’, and not about the ‘meaning’, of what they represent.

Paolo Aluffi
Page 18 of [1]

1.1 Categories

Category theory began with the intention of relating two different areas of study. The aim was to characterize and classify certain types of geometric objects by assigning to each of them certain types of algebraic objects as depicted in Figure 1.1. (In detail, the geometric objects are structures called topological spaces, manifolds, bundles, etc. The algebraic objects are called groups, rings, abelian groups, etc. The assignments have exotic names like homology, cohomology, homotopy and K-theory, etc.) Researchers realized that if they were going to relate geometric objects with algebraic objects they needed a language that is neither specialized to a geometric content nor an algebraic content. Only with such a general language can one speak of both fields.

Category theory was invented by Samuel Eilenberg and Saunders Mac Lane [16]. They described various souped-up collections of mathematical objects. Each collection was called a category. There was a collection of geometric objects and a collection of algebraic objects. They were interested in many different categories and in order to relate one category with another, they formulated the notion of a functor which — like a function — assigns to each entity in one category an entity in another category. They went further and formulated the notion of a natural transformation which is a way of relating one functor to another functor. (In a sense, a natural transformation transfers the results of one functor into the results of another functor.) These structures can be visualized as in Figure 1.2. There is category A and category B. Relating these categories are functor $F$ and functor $G$. And, finally, relating these functors is a natural transformation $\alpha$.

What is a category? It is not simply a collection of structures (called objects) of a particular type. Rather, a category is a collection of (i) objects and (ii) transformations or processes between the objects. We call these transformations or processes morphisms or maps. If $a$ and $b$ are objects and $f$ is a morphism from $a$ to $b$ we write it as $f: a \rightarrow b$ or $a \xrightarrow{f} b$. We can visualize part of
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Geometric Objects

- homology
- cohomology
- homotopy
- ...

Algebraic Objects

- homology
- cohomology
- homotopy
- ...

Figure 1.1: Relating geometric objects to algebraic objects.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{functor } F & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & \text{functor } G \\
\text{category } A & \text{natural transformation } & \text{category } B.
\end{array}
\]

Figure 1.2: Categories, functors and natural transformations.

A category as Figure 1.3. The morphisms are to be thought of as ways of transforming objects. As time went on, the morphisms between objects took central stage. Category theory not only became the study of structures but also the study of transformations or processes between structures. One of the main properties of processes is that they can be combined. That is, one process followed by another process can be combined into a single process. In a category, if there is a morphism from object \( a \) to object \( b \) called \( f \) and a morphism from object \( b \) to object \( c \) called \( g \), then there will be an associated morphism from object \( a \) to object \( c \) written as \( g \circ f \) and called “\( g \) composed \( f \),” or “\( g \) following \( f \),” or “\( g \) after \( f \).” This can be drawn as follows:

\[
a \xrightarrow{f} b \xrightarrow{g} c.
\]

(1.1)

This is one of the defining properties of a category. We shall consider the others in a few pages.

Categories are related to more familiar structures called directed graphs. A directed graph is a structure that has objects (also called vertices, nodes, and points) and morphisms (also called arrows, and directed edges) between them. One can view a category as a souped-up directed
1.1. CATEGORIES

Categories, like directed graphs, also have objects and morphisms, but within categories, one morphism after another can be composed. A directed graph is used to deal with various phenomena of interconnectivity. A category, with its extra structure, will deal with more sophisticated notions of interconnectivity (such as reachability.) A category can also be seen as a generalization of a group (more properly of a monoid, however monoids are less well known than groups). Group theory is the science of combining entities. A group is a set where one can combine elements to form other elements. In a category, one can combine morphisms that follow one another. This combining can be thought of as having one process follow another process. Graphs and groups are ubiquitous in modern science and mathematics. Categories — as generalizations of both structures — are even more pervasive.

Since categories are disassociated from any specific field or area, category theory received the reputation of being a language without content or “general abstract nonsense.” However, it was precisely this independence from any field which gave category theory its power. By not being formulated for one particular field, it is capable of dealing with any field. At first category theory was extremely successful in dealing with various fields of mathematics. As time went on, researchers realized that many branches of science which deal with structures or processes can be discussed in the language of category theory. Computer science is the study of computational processes, and hence, has taken a deep interest in category theory. More recently, category theory has been shown to be very adept at discussing structures and processes in physics. In the coming pages, we shall also see examples of structures and processes from chemistry, biology, and linguistics.

Many diverse fields are shown to be related because they are discussed in the single language of category theory. Researchers have found similar theorems and patterns in areas that were thought to be unrelated. Moreover, in the past few decades, category theory has further unified different fields by revealing amazing relationships between them. There are functors from a category in one field to a category in a totally different field that preserve properties and structures. These property
preserving functors show that the two fields are similar. For example, quantum algebra is a field that uses categorical language to show how certain algebraic structures are related to geometric structures like knot theory. Another prominent example is quantum field theory, which is a branch of physics that uses functors to unite relativity and quantum theory. Quantum computing is a field that sits at the intersection of computer science, physics, and mathematics and can easily be understood with various categorical structures.

1.2 Monoidal Categories

In the early 1960s, Jean Bénabou and Saunders Mac Lane realized that there are categories that have more structure. Monoidal categories are categories with extra structure. In certain categories, one can “multiply” or “combine” objects. Such categories were called monoidal categories. In symbols, within a monoidal category, object $a$ and object $b$ can be combined to form object $a \otimes b$ (read “$a$ tensor $b$”). As always in category theory, one is not only interested in combining objects but also in combining morphisms. With morphisms $f: a \to a'$ and $g: b \to b'$, there will be objects $a \otimes b$, $a' \otimes b'$, and there will also be a morphism $f \otimes g$ which we can write as

$$
\begin{array}{c}
a \\
\otimes
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
a' \\
f
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
b \\
g
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
b' \\

\begin{array}{c}
a \otimes b \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
a' \otimes b' \\
f \otimes g
\end{array}
\end{array}

$$

Notice that there are two ways of combining morphisms in a monoidal category. There is $f \circ g$ and there is $f \otimes g$. In physics, the combination $f \circ g$ will correspond to performing one process after another while the combination $f \otimes g$ will correspond to performing two independent processes. In computers, the combination $f \circ g$ will correspond to sequential processes, while $f \otimes g$ will correspond to parallel processes. In mathematics, the interplay of the two combinations of morphisms will be very important.

Classical algebra is the branch of mathematics that deals with sets and operations on those sets. For sets of numbers and the addition operation, we have the rule that $x + y = y + x$ while in general, for subtraction, $x - y \neq y - x$. In the theory of monoidal categories we must describe rules that govern the relationship between $a \otimes b$ and $b \otimes a$. What about the relationship between $(a \otimes b) \otimes c$ and $a \otimes (b \otimes c)$? Within monoidal categories there are many more possible relationships when dealing with these combined objects. For every possible rule relating these operations, there will be a different type of monoidal category. In Chapter 7, we will see many different types of monoidal categories. This variability ensures that many phenomena can be described by monoidal categories. The area that deals with the different types of rules among operations is called “coherence theory” (i.e., how the various operations cohere with each other) or “higher-dimensional algebra.” This area of study has become pervasive, and it is believed that higher-dimensional algebra will arise even more frequently in the science and mathematics of the coming decades.

1.3 The Examples and the Mini-Courses

This text is centered on the examples. Our goal is to show the pervasiveness of categories, and in particular, monoidal categories. We also want to emphasize how categories can reveal the interconnectedness of various fields. We do this by introducing lots of examples from many different areas.
1.3. THE EXAMPLES AND THE MINI-COURSES

Immediately following a definition or a theorem of category theory there are lots of worked examples that illustrate the idea. There are also some examples that are left to the reader as exercises. It is important to realize that although this book is chock-full of examples, we have barely scratched the surface. The literature of category theory has many more examples. We chose the examples that arise most frequently or are the easiest to understand. The reader will be directed to places in the literature where other examples are described. We are showing the beauty of category theory but only revealing the tip of the iceberg.

Most of the examples can be loosely split into three broad groupings: mathematics, physics, and computers. There will also be examples from fields like chemistry, biology, and linguistics. The problem is that the boundaries between these different areas are hazy. For example, is quantum computing part of computer science, physics or abstract mathematics? Is knot theory part of mathematics or physics? There are no simple answers to these questions.

Since most readers are familiar with sets and functions between sets, we usually try to first show an idea or definition in terms of sets. In later chapters, it will become apparent that sets and functions between sets are not the right context to examine certain phenomena. This is where category theory really gets interesting.

The examples are spread throughout the book. To illustrate, in Chapter 2, a category of logical circuits will be introduced. In Chapter 3, some properties of this category will be described. This category will be related to other categories in Chapter 4. In Chapter 5 we will show that the category of logical circuits has a monoidal structure, and we will see how that monoidal structure relates to the monoidal structure of other categories in Chapter 6. This same category and variations of this category will be shown to have even more structure in Chapter 7. We will also see how this simple category arises in the mini-course on quantum computing. By the time the reader finishes the book, the category of logical circuits will be an old friend. The category of logical circuits is just one such example. However, in order not to have too much material in the beginning, we will introduce many categories in later chapters as well. Our aim is readability.

These examples will take the reader rather far. In mathematics, the reader will meet lots of algebra and topology. In physics we will see the basics of quantum theory as well as some string theory and quantum field theory. In computers we will see how categories are good for describing certain models of computation and some advanced logic.

Due to space limitations and by concentrating on examples, we are going to omit some results in pure category theory. We only demonstrate the category theory required to understand the examples. In Appendix C we point out various places where one can learn more (pure) category theory.

Category theory is a language that can deal with many different areas of science. The really fun part of category theory is that once one has this language in their toolbox, one can easily pick up whole new branches of science. We show this flexibility with little mini-courses. At the end of every chapter is a little self-contained section that describes whole fields with the category theory already learned. Mini-courses in later chapters depend on the knowledge of earlier mini-courses. In Chapter 10, we offer several other mini-courses. At the end of each mini-course, we will point out where to learn more about that particular topic.

It must be noted that this is not a history book. We are not going to say who thought of some particular construction or example first. Some of the examples in this book came from other books and papers. Some examples are just known in the folklore of category theory. And some examples, we made up. The history is too complicated for us to disentangle and is of absolutely
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no pedagogical use to the novice. We will name some places to learn about the history of category theory in Appendix C.

This book owes a tremendous debt to previous works.

• I “cut my teeth” learning category theory from Saunders Mac Lane’s *Categories for the Working Mathematician* [41]. This is the classic text by one of the founders of category theory. It is a vision of clarity! It influenced my thinking and this book in the most profound way. As the title implies, Mac Lane assumed that the reader knows large parts of mathematics before opening his book. My goal with this book is to give over the beauty of category theory as Mac Lane did but for a wider audience.

• John Baez and Michael Stay wrote a wonderful paper “Physics, Topology, Logic and Computation: A Rosetta Stone” [6] that highlights the connections between many different fields. I would like to think of this book as an explanation and an expansion of that paper.

• I learned much from Christian Kassel’s text book *Quantum Groups* [29]. His clarity and exactness is an inspiration.

• This book attempts to be as readable as Michael Barr and Charles Wells’ textbook *Category Theory for Computing Science* [8]. Their work goes through large segments of category theory with many examples along the way. We try to do the same.

There are many potential topics that could have gone into this book. Painful choices had to be made. In the end, topics were chosen based on a desire to provide as diverse a set of examples as possible to satisfy a broad readership across disciplines, with a natural bias to those areas which the author feels more confident to address. I would like to believe that the topics chosen will be important as we march into the unfathomable future.

Finally, I would like to apologize to all my friends in the category theory community if I neglected their favorite example or did not discuss an area in which they did great work. It was not my intention to omit anyone’s work. I hope they can find it in their hearts to forgive this poor sinner.

1.4 Notation

In order to improve readability, for the most part, we keep to the following notation.

- Categories are in boldface: $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{Circuit}, \mathbf{Set}, \ldots$
- Objects in general categories are the first few lowercase Latin letters: $a, b, c, d, a', b', a'' \ldots$
- Morphisms in general categories are lowercase Latin letters: $f, g, h, i, j, k, f', g'', \ldots$
- Functors are capital Latin letters: $F, G, H, I, J, \ldots$
- Natural transformations are lowercase Greek letters: $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta, \kappa, \ldots$
- Higher dimensional morphisms will be capital Greek letters: $\Gamma, \Delta, \Theta, \Phi, \Psi, \ldots$
- Sets of numbers are $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$. 
1.5 Mini-course: Sets and Categorical Thinking

Category theory is not just a language that is capable of describing an immense amount of science and mathematics. Rather, it is a new and innovative way of thinking. One of the central ideas in category theory is that the morphisms of a category help describe the properties and the structure of the objects in a category.

Important Categorical Idea 1.5.1 Properties and structures in a category can be described by the morphisms of the category. That is, the objects do not stand alone. One must see how the objects relate to each other with morphisms. The objects have to be seen in context of the morphisms.

In order to get a feel for this, we take an in-depth look at the familiar world of sets and functions between sets. We show that many of the usual ideas and constructions about sets can be described with functions between sets. This mini-course will also be a gentle reminder of many concepts that are needed in the rest of the text.

I am grateful to Ralph Wojtowicz for recommending that I write this mini-course and stressing its importance for a new student of category theory.

Sets and Operations

A set is a collection of elements. If $S$ is a set and $x$ is an element of $S$, we write $x \in S$. If $x$ is not an element of $S$ we write $x \notin S$. We will deal with both infinite sets and finite sets. Some of the most important infinite sets of numbers are

- The natural numbers, $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.
- The integers, $\mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.
- The rational numbers, $\mathbb{Q} = \{m/n : m$ and $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $n \neq 0\}$.
- The real numbers, $\mathbb{R}$, that is, all numbers on the real number line.
- The complex numbers, $\mathbb{C} = \{a + bi : a$ and $b$ in $\mathbb{R}\}$.

The most interesting property about a finite set is the number of elements in the set. For every set $S$, we write $|S|$ to denote the number of elements in $S$.

We begin by discussing several operations on sets. Let $S$ and $T$ be sets. If $s$ is in $S$ and $t$ is in $T$ we write an ordered pair of the elements as $(s, t)$. The set of all ordered pairs is called the Cartesian product of $S$ and $T$

$$S \times T = \{(s, t) : s \in S, t \in T\}. \quad (1.4)$$
Example 1.5.2. If \( \text{Pants} = \{\text{black, blue1, blue2, gray}\} \) is the set of pants that you own, and \( \text{Shirts} = \{\text{white, blue, orange}\} \) is the set of shirts that you own, then the set of \( \text{Outfits} \) is

\[
\text{Pants} \times \text{Shirts} = \begin{cases} 
(\text{black, white}), (\text{black, blue}), (\text{black, orange}), \\
(\text{blue1, white}), (\text{blue1, blue}), (\text{blue1, orange}), \\
(\text{blue2, white}), (\text{blue2, blue}), (\text{blue2, orange}), \\
(\text{gray, white}), (\text{gray, blue}), (\text{gray, orange})
\end{cases}
\]

(1.5)

(True scholarly category theorists do not care if their clothes fail to match!)

Technical Point 1.5.3 One must realize that the most important aspect of an ordered pair is its order. In contrast, sets are just collections, and as such, do not have an order. The set \( \{s, t\} \) is considered to be the same set as \( \{t, s\} \). In contrast, the pair \( (s, t) \) is not considered the same as \( (t, s) \). Hence, we cannot simply use two curly brackets to describe ordered pairs. There are other ways of describing an ordered pair of elements from \( S \) and \( T \). For example, we could write them as \( \langle s, t \rangle \) or \( \{s, t, \{s\}\} \) (where we collect the elements but indicate the first element by putting it into a set by itself), or even \( \{s, t, \{t\}\} \) (where we indicate the second element by putting it into a set by itself). There is nothing special about the notation \( (s, t) \). (We will see why this is important in Section 3.1)

If there are \( m \) elements in \( S \) and \( n \) elements in \( T \) then there are \( mn \) elements in \( S \times T \). In symbols we write this as

\[
|S \times T| = |S||T|.
\]

(1.6)

Exercise 1.5.4. How many elements were in \( \text{Pants} \)? How many elements were in \( \text{Shirts} \)? How many elements in \( \text{Outfits} \)? Show that the above formula works.

Solution: 4,3,12 = 4 \cdot 3.

We can generalize the notion of ordered pairs to ordered triples, ordered 4-tuples, ordered 5-tuples, etc. If there are \( n \) sets, \( S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n \), then an ordered \( n \)-tuple is written as \( \langle s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \rangle \) where \( s_i \) is in \( S_i \). The set of all \( n \)-tuples is \( S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_n \). The number of \( n \)-tuples follows a generalization of Equation (1.6):

\[
|S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_n| = |S_1||S_2|\cdots|S_n|.
\]

(1.7)

Exercise 1.5.5. In addition to pants and shirts, an outfit might consist of a hat, socks, and shoes. How many outfits are there if there are \( m \) hats, \( n \) pairs of socks, \( p \) pairs of shoes, \( q \) pants and \( r \) shirts?

Solution: \( m \times n \times p \times q \times r \).

Let \( S \) and \( T \) be sets. The union of \( S \) and \( T \) is the set \( S \cup T \) which contains those elements that are in \( S \) or in \( T \).

\[
S \cup T = \{x: x \in S \text{ or } x \in T\}.
\]

(1.8)
It is important to notice that if there is some element that is in both $S$ and in $T$ then it will occur only once in $S \cup T$. This is because when dealing with a set, repetition does not matter. The set \{a, b, c, b\} is considered the same set as \{a, b, c\}.

Another operation is the disjoint union. Given sets $S$ and $T$, one forms $S \coprod T$ which contains the elements from $S$ and $T$ but considers elements that are in both sets as different elements. One way this is done is by tagging every element with extra information that says which set it comes from. This way an element that is both in $S$ and in $T$ would be considered two different elements. For example, if $S = \{a, b, c, x, y\}$ and $T = \{q, w, b, e, r\}$, then

$$S \coprod T = \{(a, 0), (b, 0), (c, 0), (x, 0), (y, 0), (q, 1), (w, 1), (b, 1), (x, 1), (e, 1), (r, 1)\}$$

(1.9)

where the elements of $S$ are tagged with a 0 and the elements of $T$ are tagged with a 1. In general for sets $S$ and $T$, we have

$$S \coprod T = (S \times \{0\}) \cup (T \times \{1\})$$

(1.10)

The formula for the number of elements in the disjoint union is $|S \coprod T| = |S| + |T|$.

**Exercise 1.5.6.** When does the union of two sets have the same number of elements as the disjoint union of those same sets?

**Solution:** When the two sets have nothing in common, i.e., when the intersection of the two sets is empty.

Functions

The central idea of this mini-course is the notion of functions between sets.

**Definition 1.5.7.** Let $S$ and $T$ be sets. A function, $f$, from $S$ to $T$, written $f : S \to T$ is a rule that assigns to every element of $S$ an element of $T$. The value of $f$ on the element $s$ is written as $f(s)$. If $f(s) = t$ we write $s \mapsto t$.

It is important to understand the difference between the symbol $\to$ and the symbol $\mapsto$. The $\to$ symbol goes between two sets. It describes a function from one set to another. In contrast, the symbol $\mapsto$ goes from an element in the first set to an element in the second set. It describes how the function is defined.

**Example 1.5.8.** For every set $S$, there is an identity function $\text{id}_S : S \to S$ which takes every element to itself. In symbols it is defined as $\text{id}_S(s) = s$ or $s \mapsto s$.

Functions can be used as a way of describing or choosing elements of a set. Consider a one-element set \{\} (there are many one-element sets such as \{a\}, \{b\}, \{Bill\}, etc.) For a set $S$, a function $f : \{\} \to S$ picks out one element of $S$. The single element * goes to $s$ in $S$. In symbols, $f(*) = s$ or $* \mapsto s$.

**Example 1.5.9.** Let $S$ be the set \{Jack, Jill, Joane, June, Joe, John\}. The element Joe in $S$ can be described as a function $f : \{\} \to S$ where $f(*) = Joe$. We might want to distinguish this function by calling it $f_{\text{Joe}} : \{\} \to S$. There will be other functions like $f_{\text{Jill}} : \{\} \to S$ where $f_{\text{Jill}}(*) = Jill$. For this set of six elements, there will be six different functions from \{\} to $S$. 

$\square$
If we were interested in choosing two elements of $S$, we can look at functions from a two element set to $S$. So $f : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow S$ will choose two elements of $S$. The first element is $f(0)$ and the second element is $f(1)$. If $f(0) \neq f(1)$ then $f$ will choose two different elements of $S$. Every such function chooses two elements of $S$. Functions from $\{a, b, c\}$ to $S$ will choose three elements of $S$. This can go on: if we wanted to choose $n$ elements of a set, we would look at functions of the form

$$\{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow S.$$  \hfill (1.11)

**Definition 1.5.10.** $T$ is a **subset** of $S$ if every element of $T$ is an element of $S$. We write this as $T \subseteq S$. If $T$ is a subset of $S$ but not equal to $S$, we call $T$ a **proper subset** and write $T \subset S$ or $T \subset S$. If $T$ is a subset of $S$, there is an **inclusion function** that takes every element of $T$ to its corresponding element of $S$ and is written as $\text{inc}: T \hookrightarrow S$. There is a special set that has no elements. It is called the **empty set** and is denoted $\emptyset$. The empty set is a subset of every set. Furthermore, for every set $S$, there is a unique function from the empty set to $S$. This function is denoted $!: \emptyset \hookrightarrow S$. \hfill ♦

**Definition 1.5.11.** For a set $S$, the set of all subsets of $S$ is called the **powerset** of $S$ and is denoted $\mathcal{P}(S)$. \hfill ♦

**Example 1.5.12.** For the set $\{a\}$, the powerset is $\mathcal{P}(\{a\}) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$. The powerset of a two element set $\{a, b\}$ is $\mathcal{P}(\{a, b\}) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. The powerset of a three element set $\{a, b, c\}$ is $\mathcal{P}(\{a, b, c\}) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Whenever we add an element to a set, we double the number of elements in the powerset. There is the following rule: if $S$ has $n$ elements, then $\mathcal{P}(S)$ has $2^n$ elements. In symbols, $|S| = n$ implies $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = 2^n$. We can also write this as $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = 2^{|S|}$. \hfill \square

Functions can also be used to describe subsets. For any set $S$ and subset $T$ there is an associated **characteristic function** $\chi_T : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ which assigns each element $s$ of set $S$ to 1, if $s$ is in $T$, and 0 if $s$ is not in $T$, i.e.,

$$\chi_T(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & : s \in T \\ 0 & : s \notin T. \end{cases}$$  \hfill (1.12)

($\chi$ is the Greek letter “chi” that should remind you of the first syllable of “characteristic".) $\chi_T$ is a function that tells which elements of $S$ are in $T$ and which elements are not in $T$.

**Example 1.5.13.** Let $S$ be the set $\{\text{Jack, Jill, Joane, June, Joe, John}\}$. Consider the subset $T = \{\text{Jack, Joe, John}\}$ of $S$ that contains all the boys in $S$. This subset can be described by the
function $\chi_T : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ which can be visualized as

\begin{align}
\chi_T(x) = \begin{cases}
1 & : x \text{ is rational} \\
0 & : x \text{ is not rational}
\end{cases}
\end{align}

\hspace{1cm} (1.14)

The others are done similarly.

\hspace{1cm} \blacksquare

**Exercise 1.5.14.** For the sets of numbers, we know that $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Give the characteristic function for each of these proper subsets.

**Solution:** Let us just focus on the subset $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$. The characteristic function $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is defined as follows:

\begin{align}
\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(r) = \begin{cases}
1 & : r \text{ is rational} \\
0 & : r \text{ is not rational}
\end{cases}
\end{align}

\hspace{1cm} (1.14)

The others are done similarly.

\hspace{1cm} \blacksquare

A characteristic function assigns the elements of $S$ to one of two possible values. There might be a need to assign one of many values to every element of $S$. For example, a function $S \rightarrow \{a, b, c, d\}$ assigns to every element of $S$ one of these letters which can stand for different things. In general, a function

$$S \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$$

\hspace{1cm} (1.15)

assigns every element of $S$ one of $n$ numbers. We can also assign to every element of $S$ an element of $[0, 1]$, the real interval between 0 and 1. This can correspond to assigning a probability to every element.

**Example 1.5.15.** In school, every student usually has an associated grade point average (GPA). This is written as a function $\text{Students} \rightarrow [0, 4]$.

If $S$ is a set, then there is a function called the **diagonal function** $\Delta : S \rightarrow S \times S$ which takes every element to an ordered pair of the same element. In symbols, for $s$ in $S$ we have

$$\Delta(s) = (s, s).$$

\hspace{1cm} (1.16)

If $f : S \rightarrow S'$ and $g : T \rightarrow T'$ are functions then there exists a function $f \times g : S \times T \rightarrow S' \times T'$ that takes an ordered pair of elements and applies $f$ to the first element and $g$ to the second. In
symbols, the function is defined for elements \( s \) of \( S \) and \( t \) of \( T \) as

\[
(f \times g)((s, t)) = (f(s), g(t)) \in S' \times T'.
\] (1.17)

In a sense, this process is a parallel process. We process \( s \) with \( f \) and \( t \) with \( g \).

**Exercise 1.5.16.** Let \( f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R} \) be defined by \( f(n) = \sqrt{n} \) and \( g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z} \) be the ceiling function denoted as \( g(r) = \lceil r \rceil \). What is \( (f \times g)((5, -5.1)) \)?

**Solution:** \( (\sqrt{5}, -5) \).

**Definition 1.5.17.** There are some special types of functions. We say \( f: S \to T \) is

- **one-to-one** or **injective** if different elements in \( S \) go to different elements in \( T \). That is, for all \( s \) and \( s' \) in \( S \), if \( s \neq s' \) then \( f(s) \neq f(s') \). Another way to say this is that if \( f(s) = f(s') \) then it must be that \( s = s' \). In English, this means that if the function takes elements to the same output, the elements must have started off equal.
- **onto** or **surjective** if for every element \( t \) in \( T \), there is an \( s \) in \( S \) such that \( f(s) = t \).
- an **isomorphism** or a **one-to-one correspondence** or a **bijection** if \( f \) is one-to-one and onto. That is, for every element \( s \) of \( S \) there is a unique element \( t \) of \( T \) so that \( f(s) = t \) and for every element \( t \) of \( T \) there is a unique element \( s \) of \( S \) so that \( f(s) = t \).

**Definition 1.5.18.** Sets \( S \) and \( T \) are **isomorphic** if there is an isomorphism between them. We write this as \( S \simeq T \).

**Exercise 1.5.19.** Explain why two finite sets that have the same number of elements are isomorphic.

**Solution:** It is easy to make an isomorphism from one set to the other.

**Exercise 1.5.20.** Show that \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{C} \).

**Solution:** The isomorphism will take \((r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) to \( r_1 + ir_2 \in \mathbb{C} \) where \( i = \sqrt{-1} \).

One of the central ideas about sets is that given sets \( S \) and \( T \) we can form a set which consists of all functions from \( S \) to \( T \). We denote this **set of functions** as \( \text{Hom}(S, T) \) or \( T^S \).

**Exercise 1.5.21.** Write down the set of all the functions from the set \( \{a, b, c\} \) to the set \( \{0, 1\} \).

**Solution:** Each of the following lines is a function.
We saw that every element in a set \( S \) can be described as a function \( \{\ast\} \to S \). The correspondence between elements of \( S \) and functions from \( \{\ast\} \) to \( S \) shows that

\[
S \simeq S^{\{\ast\}} = \text{Hom}(\{\ast\}, S)
\]  

(1.18)

Using characteristic functions, we saw that there is a correspondence between subsets of \( S \) and functions from \( S \) to \( \{0, 1\} \). This correspondence can be stated as

\[
\mathcal{P}(S) \simeq \{0, 1\}^S = \text{Hom}(S, \{0, 1\}).
\]  

(1.19)

We will denote the set \( \{0, 1\} \) as 2 and then write this line as

\[
\mathcal{P}(S) \simeq 2^S = \text{Hom}(S, 2).
\]  

(1.20)

**Example 1.5.22.** Consider the simple binary addition operation \(+: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \). Let us write this function with its inputs clearly marked as follows

\[
(\quad) + (\quad): \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}.
\]  

(1.21)

Now consider the function \((\quad) + 5: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \). This is a function with only one input. We could also make another function of one variable \((\quad) + 7: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \). In fact we can do this for any natural number. We can define a function that inputs a natural number and outputs a function from natural numbers to natural numbers. That is, there is a function \( \Phi: \mathbb{N} \to \text{Hom}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}) \) which is defined as \( \Phi(n) = (\quad) + n \). It is easy to see that the assignment described by the + function is the same as the assignment described by the \( \Phi \) functions.

Notice that what we said about + really applies to every function with two inputs. If \( f: T \times U \to S \) is a function from \( T \times U \), then for every \( u \in U \) there is a function \( f(\cdot, u): T \to S \). This shows that there is a function \( f': U \to \text{Hom}(T, S) \). It is easy to see that the assignment described by any function \( f \) is the same as the assignment described by all the functions \( f' \).

This example brings to light the following important theorem about sets.
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

**Theorem 1.5.23.** For sets $S$, $T$ and $U$ there is an isomorphism

$$Hom(T \times U, S) \simeq Hom(U, Hom(T, S))$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.22)

or

$$S^{T \times U} \simeq (S^T)^U$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.23)

**Proof.** To show that these two sets are isomorphic, consider $f : T \times U \rightarrow S$. From this function let us define an $f' : U \rightarrow Hom(T, S)$. For a $u$ in $U$ we have the function $f'(u) : T \rightarrow S$ which is defined as follows: for $t$ in $T$, set $f'(u)(t) = f(t, u)$. This function has the same information as $f$. It is not hard to go from $f'$ back to $f$.

Let us count how many functions there are between two finite sets. Consider $S$ with $|S| = m$ and $T$ with $|T| = n$. Consider a function $f : S \rightarrow T$. For each element $s$ in $S$ there are $n$ possible values of $f(s)$ in $T$. For two elements in $S$ there are $n \times n$ possibilities of choices in $T$. In total, there are $n \times n \times \cdots \times n$ ($m$ times) possible maps. So

$$|Hom(S, T)| = |T^S| = n^m = |T|^{|S|}. \hspace{1cm} (1.24)$$

For three sets $S$, $T$, and $U$, we have

$$|Hom(S \times T, U)| = |U^{S \times T}| = |U|^{|S \times T|} = |U|^{|S|^{|T|}} = (|U|^{|S|})^{|T|} = |Hom(T, Hom(S, U)|. \hspace{1cm} (1.25)$$

We see that an identity about exponentials usually learned in elementary school is also an identity about sets and functions.

**Operations on Functions**

There are many times that we are going to take two functions and perform an operation to get a third function. Three such operations are composition, extension and lifting. Remarkably, many ideas about functions can be understood as operations in one of these three forms.

The simplest operation is **composition**. If there is a function $f : S \rightarrow T$ and a function $g : T \rightarrow U$, then the composition of them is a function $h = g \circ f : S \rightarrow U$ that is defined on an $s$ in $S$ as $h(s) = g(f(s))$. We write these functions as

$$S \xrightarrow{f} T \xleftarrow{g} U,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.26)

This diagram is a called a **commutative diagram.** It means that if you start with any element $s$ in $S$ and you apply the two functions from $S$ to $U$, you will get to the same resulting element
in $U$. In detail, for all $s$, we have that $g(f(s)) = h(s)$. There will be many such diagrams in the coming pages. In all the cases, start from any set and follow all the paths of composable functions and you will get the same element. Throughout this text, unless otherwise stated, all diagrams are commutative. We say that $f$ and $g$ factors through $h$.

**Exercise 1.5.24.** Show that function composition is associative. That is, let $f: S \rightarrow T$, $g: T \rightarrow U$ and $h: U \rightarrow V$, show that $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$.

**Solution:** The fact that function composition is associative will be used many many times throughout this text. So we will go through it here very carefully. There are two ways of associating the functions: $h \circ (g \circ f)$ and $(h \circ g) \circ f$. We claim that these two function are the same. Both functions on input $s$ of $S$ have the value $h(g(f(s)))$. □

When dealing with the identity function, the input is the same as the output. This has an interesting consequence when dealing with composition. If you compose a function with an identity map, then you do not change the function. In detail, for $f: S \rightarrow T$, $id_S: S \rightarrow S$, and $id_T: T \rightarrow T$, then we have

$$f \circ id_S = f \text{ and } id_T \circ f = f. \quad (1.27)$$

We can see these equations as the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_S} & S \\
\downarrow f = f \circ \text{id}_S & & \downarrow f = \text{id}_T \circ f \\
T & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_T} & T
\end{array}
$$

(1.28)

**Example 1.5.25.** Evaluation of a function can be seen as composition. Let $f: S \rightarrow T$ be a function and let an element be described by the function $g: \{\ast\} \rightarrow S$. Then the value of $f$ on the element that $g$ chooses is $f \circ g$ as in

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\{\ast\} & \xrightarrow{g} & S \\
\downarrow f \circ g & & \downarrow f \\
T & & T
\end{array}
$$

(1.29)

$f \circ g: \{\ast\} \rightarrow T$ picks out the output of $f$. If $g$ performs the function $\ast \mapsto s$ then $f \circ g$ performs the $\ast \mapsto f(s)$ function. □

**Example 1.5.26.** If $f: S \rightarrow T$ and $A$ is a subset of $S$ with inclusion function $i: A \hookrightarrow S$, then
the restriction of \( f \) to \( A \) is the function \( f|_A : A \to T \) which is given as the composition

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \xymatrix{ \ar[r]^-i & S } \ar[d]^-f \\
T. \ar[u]_-f
\end{array}
\]

\( \square \)

**Theorem 1.5.27.** The three properties of functions that we saw in Definition 1.5.17 can be described with function composition. \( f : S \to T \) is

- **one-to-one** if and only if there exists a \( g : T \to S \) such that \( g \circ f = \text{id}_S \).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S \xymatrix{ \ar[r]^-f & T } \ar[d]^-g \\
S. \ar[u]_-\text{id}_S
\end{array}
\]

(Proof: The existence of a \( g \) implies one-to-one. If \( f(s) = f(s') \) then apply \( g \) to both sides of the equation and get \( g(f(s)) = g(f(s')) \). But \( g \circ f = \text{id}_S \) implies \( s = s' \). If \( f \) is one-to-one, then there exists a \( g \). Let \( g(t) \) be the unique \( s \) such that \( f(s) = t \). If \( t \) is not in the image of \( f \) then it does not matter what value you give to \( g(t) \).)

- **onto** if and only if there exists a \( g : T \to S \) such that \( f \circ g = \text{id}_T \).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
T \xymatrix{ \ar[r]^-g & S } \ar[d]^-f \\
T. \ar[u]_-\text{id}_T
\end{array}
\]

(Proof: The existence of a \( g \) implies onto. \( f \) is onto because for any \( t \), \( g(t) = s \) and \( f(s) = f(g(t)) = \text{id}_T(t) = t \).)

Onto implies the existence of \( g \). Let \( g(t) \) equal any \( s \) such that \( f(s) = t \). There must be one because \( f \) is onto.

- **one-to-one correspondence** if and only if there exists a \( g : T \to S \) such that \( g \circ f = \text{id}_S \)
and \( f \circ g = id_T \). Or putting the previous two triangles together, we have

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S \\ id_S \\
\downarrow f\\
T \\
\downarrow id_T \\
\downarrow g \\
S \\ f\downarrow \\
\downarrow \downarrow T.
\end{array}
\]

(1.33)

A second operation of functions is an extension. In detail, if \( f: R \longrightarrow T \) is a function and \( R \) is a subset of \( S \) with the inclusion function \( inc: R \hookrightarrow S \), then an extension of \( f \) along \( inc \) is a function \( \hat{f}: S \longrightarrow T \) such that the following commutes

\[
\begin{array}{c}
R \\
\downarrow inc\\
\downarrow \downarrow S \\
\downarrow f \\
\downarrow \downarrow T. \\
\end{array}
\]

(1.34)

In English, \( \hat{f} \) extends \( f \) to a different (larger) domain.

**Example 1.5.28.** As a simple example, consider \( R \) to be a set of students and

\[
f: R \longrightarrow \{A, B, C, D, F\}
\]

assigns every student a grade. If some new students came into the class, the teacher would have to extend \( f \) to give grades to all the students (including the new ones) as \( \hat{f}: S \longrightarrow \{A, B, C, D, F\} \). We want \( \hat{f} \) to assign the same grades as \( f \) did for any of the original students. This is clear with the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\{\text{original students}\} \\
\downarrow inc\\
\downarrow \downarrow \{\text{original and new students}\} \\
\downarrow f \\
\downarrow \downarrow \{A, B, C, D, F\}. \\
\end{array}
\]

(1.36)

**Example 1.5.29.** Let \( \{3, 5\} \) be a set of two real numbers. There is an obvious inclusion of the two real numbers into the set of all numbers \( inc: \{3, 5\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \). Let \( f: \{3, 5\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be any function
that picks two values. Then, there exists a linear function $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ that extends $f$.

\[
\{3, 5\} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} \mathbb{R}
\]

\[
\text{inc} \quad \hat{f} \quad f \quad j
\]

This is just the simple idea that given any two points on the plane, there is a straight line that connects them. In detail

\[
\hat{f} = mx + b = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} x + b = \frac{f(5) - f(3)}{5 - 3} x + \frac{5f(3) - 3f(5)}{5 - 3} = \frac{f(5) - f(3)}{2} x + \frac{5f(3) - 3f(5)}{2}.
\] (1.38)

Thinking of a straight line as a linear function, the previous example of an extension can be extended...

**Example 1.5.30.** Let $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a set of $n + 1$ different real numbers and let $\text{inc}: \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the inclusion function. Every $f: \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \to \mathbb{R}$ has an extension along $\text{inc}$ called $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is a polynomial function of degree at most $n$.

\[
\{x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} \mathbb{R}
\]

\[
\text{inc} \quad \hat{f} \quad f \quad j
\]

$\hat{f}$ is called the “Lagrange interpolating polynomial” of the points described by $f$. (We will not use this in the text.)

While extensions are usually about inclusion functions, we can also use the setup of an extension for functions that are not inclusion functions.

**Example 1.5.31.** A function $f: S \to T$ is a **constant function** if it outputs the same value for any input. That means there is some $t_0 \in T$ such that for all $s \in S$ we have $f(s) = t_0$. We can describe a constant function by using the same notation of an extension but forget about the inclusion function. In detail, $f$ is a constant function if there exists an extension $\hat{f}: \{\ast\} \to T$ of $f$ as in the diagram

\[
S \xrightarrow{\text{!}} \{\ast\}
\]

\[
f \quad \hat{f} \quad j
\]

\[
\{\ast\} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} T
\]
where the function \(!: S \rightarrow \{*\}\) is the unique function that always outputs \(*\), the only element it can output. Another way of saying this, is that \(f\) is a constant function if it can be written as a function that factors through some function from \({}\{*\}\).

**Exercise 1.5.32.** Show that if \(id_S : S \rightarrow S\) can be extended along the function \(f : S \rightarrow T\), then \(f\) is a one-to-one function.

**Solution:** This is essentially the content of Diagram [1.31].

The third operation of functions is a **lifting**. Consider an onto function \(p : T \rightarrow T'\). Let \(f : S \rightarrow T'\) be any function. A lifting of \(f\) along \(p\) is a function \(\hat{f} : S \rightarrow T\) that makes the following triangle commute.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & T \\
\downarrow{f} & & \downarrow{p} \\
T'
\end{array}
\]

(1.41)

**Example 1.5.33.** Here is a cute example of a lifting from the world of politics. Let \(T\) be the set of 300 million American citizens and let \(T'\) be the set of 50 states. The function \(p\) takes every citizen to the state they live in. Let \(S\) be a set of 3 elements such as \(\{a, b, c\}\). The function \(f : S \rightarrow T'\) chooses 3 states. A lifting of \(f\) along \(p\) is a function \(\hat{f} : S \rightarrow T\) which will choose three citizens, one from each of the states \(f\) chose. There are obviously many such liftings.

**Example 1.5.34.** Let us build on the last example. Let \(T, T'\) and \(p\) be as in the last example. Let \(S\) be the set \(\{a, b, c\} \times T'\), i.e., pairs of letters and states. The \(f: S \rightarrow T'\) functions is defined as follows: \(f(b, \text{New Jersey}) = \text{New Jersey}\) i.e., \(f\) takes a letter and a state and outputs the same state. Notice that for each state, there are three elements in \(S\) that go to that state. For example

\[
f(a, \text{New Jersey}) = f(b, \text{New Jersey}) = f(c, \text{New Jersey}) = \text{New Jersey}
\]

A lifting of \(f\) along \(p\) is a function \(\hat{f} : S \rightarrow T\) which will choose three citizens from each state. There are many such liftings.

**Exercise 1.5.35.** Let \(f : S \rightarrow T\) and \(id_T : T \rightarrow T\) be functions. Let \(g : T \rightarrow S\) be a lifting of \(id_T\) along \(f\). Show that \(g\) is an onto function.

**Solution:** This is essentially the content of Diagram [1.32].

One can see these three operations — composition, extension and lifting — as three sides of a
triangle:

![Diagram of lifting, composition, and extension operations]

Eac h side uses the other two sides as the input to the operation. We will see (especially in Section 9.1) that these operations are very important in many contexts besides sets and functions.

**Equivalence Relations**

We are not only interested in how a set is related to other sets. Sometimes the elements of a set are related to each other in interesting ways.

**Definition 1.5.36.** Let $S$ be a set. A relation on $S$ is a subset $R$ of the set $S \times S$. $(s_1, s_2)$ in $R$ means $s_1$ and $s_2$ are related.

**Example 1.5.37.** Let $S$ be the set of citizens of the United States. Consider the following relations on this set.

- $R_1$ consists of those $s$ and $t$ that are cousins.
- $R_2$ consists of those $s$ and $t$ where $s$ is the same age or older than $t$.
- $R_3$ consists of those $s$ and $t$ that live in the same state.
- $R_4$ consists of those $s$ and $t$ that belong to the same political party.

The following three properties will characterize the notion of “sameness.” When do two elements in a set have some property that is the “same”? 

**Definition 1.5.38.** The relation $R \subseteq S \times S$ on a set $S$ is

- **reflexive** if every element is related to itself: for all $s$ in $S$, $(s, s)$ is in $R$. 
- **symmetric** if one element is related to another, then the other is related to the first: for all $s$ and $t$ in $S$, if $(s, t)$ is in $R$, then $(t, s)$ is in $R$. 
- **transitive** if $s$ is related to $t$ and $t$ is related to $u$, then $s$ is related to $u$: for all $s$, $t$ and $u$ in $S$, if $(s, t)$ is in $R$ and $(t, u)$ is in $R$, then $(s, u)$ is in $R$.

**Example 1.5.39.** Let us look which properties are satisfied from the relations of Example 1.5.37.

- The cousin relation $R_1$ is not reflexive (no one is their own cousin); it is symmetric; but it is not transitive ($x$ can be a cousin to $y$ through $y$’s mother’s side and $y$ can be a cousin to $z$ from $y$’s father’s side. In this case $x$ will, in general, not be cousins to $z$.)
- The older relation $R_2$ is reflexive (everyone is the same age as themselves); not symmetric (if $x$ is older than $y$ then $y$ is not older than $x$) and it is transitive.
• The state relation $R_3$ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.
• The political party relation $R_4$ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Many times we will have a set of elements that are all different but each element has a property and we can split them up (“partition them”) into different subsets where each subset will have all the elements with that property. The collection of all such subsets will form a set in itself. Formally this can be said as follows.

**Definition 1.5.40.** A relation on a set is an equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. We write the relation as $\sim$. With an equivalence relation on the set $S$, we can describe subsets of $S$ called equivalence classes. If $s$ is an element of $S$, then the equivalence class of $s$ is the set of all elements that are related to it:

$$[s] = \{ r \in S : r \sim s \} \quad (1.43)$$

That is, $[s]$ is the set of all elements that are “the same” as $s$. For a given set $S$ and an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $S$ we form a quotient set denoted $S/\sim$. The objects of $S/\sim$ are all the equivalence classes of elements in $S$. There is an obvious quotient function from $S$ to $S/\sim$ that takes $s$ to $[s]$.

**Example 1.5.41.** Let us look at the relations for Example 1.5.37.

• Each equivalence class for the state relation $R_3$ consists of all the residents of a particular state. The quotient set contains the 50 equivalence classes corresponding to the 50 States (we are ignoring abnormalities like Guam and Washington D.C.). The quotient function takes every citizen to the state in which they reside.
• Each equivalence class for the political party relation $R_4$ consists of all the people in a particular political party. The quotient set consists of a set whose elements correspond to political parties. The quotient function takes every citizen to the political party to which they belong (we are ignoring independents.)

**Graphs**

Directed graphs are a common structure (based on sets) that have applications everywhere. They also have many similarities to categories.

**Definition 1.5.42.** A directed graph $G = (V(G), A(G), src_G, trg_G)$ is a set of vertices, $V(G)$, a set of arrows, $A(G)$, and

• every arrow has a source: there is a function $src_G: A(G) \to V(G)$ and
• every arrow has a target: there is a function $trg_G: A(G) \to V(G)$.

If $f$ is an element of $A(G)$ with $src_G(f) = x$ and $trg_G(f) = y$, we draw this arrow as

$$x \xrightarrow{f} y. \quad (1.44)$$
An example of a graph is Figure 1.5.43.

**Example 1.5.43.** Graphs are everywhere.

- A street map can be thought of as a directed graph where the vertices are street corners and there is an arrow from one corner to the other if there is a one-way street between them. When there is a two-way street we might write it like this
  \[ * \rightarrow * \quad \text{or} \quad * \leftarrow * . \] (1.46)
  Such an arrow is called a **symmetric edge**.

- A Feynman diagram is a type of souped-up directed graph where the arrows correspond to particles traveling in space and time. The vertices are at the beginnings or endings of the diagram or they correspond to interactions of the particles. The direction of the arrows are typically going up because they are going forward in time. There are also arrows going down meaning they are going backward in time. There will be more about this on page 34.

- An electrical circuit can be viewed as a directed graph. The vertices are the branching points or the resistors, batteries, capacitors, diodes, etc. The arrows describe the direction of the flow of electricity.

- Computer networks can be seen as a graph where the vertices are computers and there is an arrow from one computer to another if there is a way for the first computer to communicate with the second.

- The billions of web pages in the World Wide Web form a graph. The vertices are the web pages and there is an arrow if there is a link from one web page to another.

- Facebook can be seen as a graph. Every personal Facebook account is a vertex, and there are arrows between two Facebook accounts if they are friends. Notice that if \( x \) is friends with \( y \) then \( y \) must be friends with \( x \). So all the arrows are symmetric edges and the graph is called symmetric.
• All the people on Earth form a graph. The vertices are the people. There is an arrow from $x$ to $y$ if $x$ knows $y$. (We are not being specific as to what it means to “know” someone.) There is an idea called “six degrees of separation,” which says that in this graph, you never need to traverse more than six arrows to get from any person to any other person. We are all connected!

• The set of all sets and functions form a giant graph. In detail, the vertices are all possible sets. The arrows are functions from a set to another set.

A graph homomorphism is a way of mapping one graph to another. This will be similar to what happens when we talk about mapping one category to another category. Basically the vertices map to the vertices and the arrows map to the arrows but we insist that they match up well. In detail:

**Definition 1.5.44.** Let $G = (V(G), A(G), src_G, trg_G)$ and $G' = (V(G'), A(G'), src_{G'}, trg_{G'})$ be graphs. A **graph homomorphism** $H: G \rightarrow G'$ consists of

• A function that assigns vertices, $H_V: V(G) \rightarrow V(G')$
• A function that assigns arrows, $H_A: A(G) \rightarrow A(G')$

These two maps must respect the source and target of each arrow. That means:

• For all $f$ in $A(G)$, $H_V(src_G(f)) = src_{G'}(H_A(f))$.
• For all $f$ in $A(G)$, $H_V(trg_G(f)) = trg_{G'}(H_A(f))$.

Saying that these axioms are satisfied is the same as saying that the following two squares commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A(G) & \xrightarrow{H_A} & A(G') \\
\downarrow{src_G} & & \downarrow{src_{G'}} \\
V(G) & \xrightarrow{H_V} & V(G')
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
A(G) & \xrightarrow{H_A} & A(G') \\
\downarrow{trg_G} & & \downarrow{trg_{G'}} \\
V(G) & \xrightarrow{H_V} & V(G')
\end{array}
\]

(1.47)

Another way to understand these requirements is to see what the maps $H_V$ and $H_A$ do to a single arrow $f$ (that is $f \mapsto H_A(f)$):

**Graph $G$**

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
src_G(f) & \mapsto & H_V(src_G(f)) = src_{G'}(H_A(f)) \\
f & \mapsto & H_A(f) \\
trg_G(f) & \mapsto & H_V(trg_G(f)) = trg_{G'}(H_A(f))
\end{array}
\]

(1.48)
Just as we can determine many properties of sets by examining functions, we can also determine many properties of graphs by examining graph homomorphisms.

**Example 1.5.45.**

- A vertex of a graph $G$ can be described by a graph homomorphism from the one-vertex graph $(\ast)$ as follows $H : \ast \to G$.
- A directed edge of a graph can be determined by a graph homomorphism from the graph $* \rightarrow *$ to $G$.
- A triangle in a graph $G$ can be determined by a graph homomorphism from the graph

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\ast \\
\downarrow \\
\ast
\end{array}
$$

(1.49)

to the graph $G$.
- A path of length $n$ in a graph $G$ can be determined by a graph homomorphism from the "snake" graph

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\ast \\
\rightarrow \\
\ast \\
\rightarrow \\
\ast \\
\rightarrow \\
\ast \\
\rightarrow \\
\ast
\end{array}
$$

(1.50)
of length $n$ to $G$.

\[ \square \]

**Exercise 1.5.46.** Prove that a graph $G$ is weakly connected (for any two vertices $x$ and $y$, there is either a path from $x$ to $y$ or a path from $y$ to $x$) if there does not exist a surjective (on vertices) graph homomorphism from $G$ to the graph

$$
\begin{array}{c}
f_a \\
a \\
f_b \\
b
\end{array}
$$

(1.51)

**Solution:** If $G$ is not weakly connected, then we will be able to split the graph into two parts with no arrow from one part to the other part. There is then a function from the graph to this two-vertex graph where one part of the graph has value $a$ and all the arrows of that part to the single arrow $f_a$ and the nodes of the other part have values in $b$ and all the other arrows to $f_b$. If the graph is weakly connected, then this partitioning would not be possible.

\[ \square \]

**Exercise 1.5.47.** Use graph homomorphisms to determine different types of paths in a graph.

1. How do you describe a simple path in a graph (a simple path is a path that does not have repeated vertices)?
2. What about a cycle of length $n$ (a cycle is a path that starts and ends at the same vertex)?
3. Do the same for a simple cycle of length $n$ (a simple cycle is a cycle in which the only repeating vertex is the starting point which is the ending point).
Solution:

1. One-to-one functions from the “snake” graph, Diagram (1.50), to any graph will correspond to simple paths.
2. Functions from “ring” graphs of the form

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
\bullet \\
\uparrow \downarrow & \uparrow \downarrow \\
\bullet & \bullet \\
\downarrow \uparrow & \downarrow \uparrow \\
\bullet & \bullet \\
\end{array}
\]

will describe such cycles.
3. One-to-one functions from the “ring” graphs will correspond to simple cycles.

Exercise 1.5.48. Show that the composition of graph homomorphisms is a graph homomorphism. Show that the composition is associative.

Solution: Let \( H : G \rightarrow G' \) and \( H' : G' \rightarrow G'' \) be graph homomorphisms. Then \( H' \circ H : G \rightarrow G'' \). The fact that \( H' \circ H \) preserves the sources of arrows amounts to the commutativity of the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A(G) & \xrightarrow{H_A} & A(G') \\
\downarrow_{srcc_G} & & \uparrow_{H'_A} \\
V(G) & \xrightarrow{H_V} & V(G').
\end{array}
\]

which is assured because each square commutes. A similar argument must be made to show that \( H' \circ H \) preserves targets. The proof of the associativity of the composition of graph homomorphisms comes from the fact that they are functions and is similar to the solution to Exercise 1.5.24.

Exercise 1.5.49. Define the identity graph homomorphism, \( I_G \), for any graph \( G \). Show that if \( H : G \rightarrow G' \) is a graph homomorphism then \( H \circ I_G = H \) and \( I_{G'} \circ H = H \).

Solution: \( I_{G,A}(x) = x \) and \( I_{G,V}(f) = f \). This graph homomorphism preserves the sources and targets of the arrows for trivial reasons. The fact that it acts like a unit to composition is because it is a function.
Groups

Another important structure that is based on sets and related to categories is groups. It is nice to see the definition of a group from a function perspective.

First a discussion of operations. We all know what we mean by operations on numbers. If you take numbers $x$ and $y$, you can perform the addition operation and get $x + y$. You can also perform other operations like $x - y$ or $y \times x$. All these are examples of binary operations. Operations are really just functions. For a given set, $S$, a binary operation is a function $f: S \times S \rightarrow S$. For two elements $s$ and $s'$, we write the value of $f$ as $f(s, s')$. A unary operation is a function that takes one element of $S$ and outputs one element of $S$, i.e., $f: S \rightarrow S$. An example of a unary operation is the inverse operation that takes $x$ and returns $x^{-1}$. There are also trinary operations $f: S \times S \times S \rightarrow S$ and $n$-ary operations for all natural numbers $n$:

$$f: S \times S \times \cdots \times S \rightarrow S.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.53)

If $n = 0$ then we write the 0-ary product as the set with one element $\{\ast\}$ and a 0-ary operation is written as $f: \{\ast\} \rightarrow S$ which basically picks out an element of $S$. Such an operation describes something that does not change, i.e., a constant. (We will see in a few lines that the identity element, $e$, of a group is an example of a constant.)

Let us put this all together and give the formal definition of a group.

**Definition 1.5.50.** A group $(G, \ast, e, (\ )^{-1})$ is a set $G$ with the following operations:

- A multiplication operation: a binary operation $\ast: G \times G \rightarrow G$.
- An identity: there is a special element $e$ in $G$ called the identity of the group, i.e., a 0-ary operation $u: \{\ast\} \rightarrow G$.
- An inverse operation: a unary operation $(\ )^{-1}: G \rightarrow G$

These operations satisfy the following axioms:

1. The multiplication is associative: for all $x, y$ and $z$, we have $(x \ast y) \ast z = x \ast (y \ast z)$.
2. The identity acts like a unit of the multiplication (That is, when you multiply a number with 1, the result does not change, i.e., $1 \times n = n$ and 1 is a “unit”): for all $x$, $x \ast e = x = e \ast x$.
3. The inverse gives the identity: For all $x$ in $G$, $x \ast x^{-1} = e = x^{-1} \ast x$

\[\diamondsuit\]

**Example 1.5.51.** Here are some examples of groups.

- The additive integers: $(\mathbb{Z}, +, 0, -)$. Addition and subtraction are the usual operations.
- The additive real numbers: $(\mathbb{R}, +, 0, -)$. Addition and subtraction are the usual operations.
- The multiplicative positive reals: $(\mathbb{R}^+, \times, 1, (\ )^{-1})$ where $\mathbb{R}^+$ are the positive real numbers, $\times$ is multiplication, and the function $(\ )^{-1}$ takes $r$ to $\frac{1}{r}$.
- Clock arithmetic: $(\{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 11\}, +, 0, -)$ where addition and subtraction is going around the clock. 0 is the unit because when you add 0 to any number you get back to the original number. Notice that 11 did not play an important role and that this would be true for any non-negative integer.
- The trivial group: $(\{0\}, +, 0, -)$. This is the world’s smallest group. It has only one element and the operations work as expected.
Part of the three axioms of a group can be seen as commutative diagrams in Figures 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7. We write each of the three axioms as commutative diagram and show where the elements of the sets go outside of the diagrams.

\[ x, y, z \rightarrow x \ast y, z \]  
\[ G \times G \times G \xrightarrow{\ast \times id} G \times G \]  
\[ id \times \ast \]  
\[ G \times G \xrightarrow{\ast} G \]  
\[ x, y \ast z \rightarrow x \ast (y \ast z) = (x \ast y) \ast z \]  

Figure 1.5: Axiom showing the associativity of group multiplication

\[ x \rightarrow x, \ast \]  
\[ G \xrightarrow{\simeq} G \times \{\ast\} \]  
\[ id \]  
\[ G \xleftarrow{\ast} G \times G \]  
\[ x = x \ast e \xleftarrow{} x, e \]  

Figure 1.6: Axiom showing that the identity acts like a unit

\textbf{Exercise 1.5.52.} Figure 1.6 shows the \( x = x \ast e \) axiom. Give a commutative diagram for the \( x = e \ast x \) axiom.

\textbf{Solution:} It is essentially the same diagram but change the \( G \times \{\ast\} \) to \( \{\ast\} \times G \).

\textbf{Exercise 1.5.53.} Figure 1.7 shows the \( e = x \ast x^{-1} \) axiom. Give the commutative diagram for the \( e = x^{-1} \ast x \) axiom.

\textbf{Solution:} It is essentially the same diagram with the \( id \times (\_)^{-1} \) map switched to \( (\_)^{-1} \times id \).
Important Categorical Idea 1.5.54 Many times, even when we have a nice, clear definition or description of an mathematical structure in terms of elements, we still desire a description in terms of morphisms. The reason why such a description is important is that once we have it, we can use the morphism description in many different categories. Whereas a description in terms of elements is good only in one context, a description in terms of morphisms can be used in many different categories and contexts. We will see this definition of group arise in other contexts besides sets and functions.

Just as a function is a way of mapping one set to another, and a graph homomorphism is a way of mapping one graph to another, a group homomorphism is a way of mapping one group to another.

Definition 1.5.55. Let \((G, \ast, e, (\ast)^{-1})\) and \((G', \ast', e', (\ast')^{-1})\) be groups. A \textbf{group homomorphism} \(f: (G, \ast, e, (\ast)^{-1}) \longrightarrow (G', \ast', e', (\ast')^{-1})\) is a function \(f: G \longrightarrow G'\) that satisfies the following two axioms

- The function respects the group operation: for all \(x, y \in G\), \(f(x \ast y) = f(x) \ast' f(y)\)
- The function respects the unit: \(f(e) = e'\)

We can write these two requirements as the following two commutative diagrams.
Technical Point 1.5.56 We did not insist that \( f \) respect inverses. Do not worry about it. It is true without saying it because it is a consequence of the other two axioms. First notice that in any group, \( x^{-1} \) is the unique inverse of the element \( x \). To see this, imagine that \( x \) has two inverses \( y \) and \( y' \). Consider the following sequence of equalities

\[
y = y \star e \quad \text{unit axiom} \\
= y \star (x \star y') \quad y' \text{ is the inverse of } x \\
= (y \star x) \star y' \quad \text{associativity axiom} \\
= e \star y' \quad y \text{ is the inverse of } x \\
= y' \quad \text{unit axiom}
\]

This shows that \( y = y' \). Now let us use this fact to show that inverses are preserved by group homomorphisms. First consider

\[
e' = f(e) = f(x \star x^{-1}) = f(x) \star f(x^{-1}). \quad (1.58)
\]

This shows that the inverse of \( f(x) \) can not only be written as \( f(x)^{-1} \) but also as \( f(x^{-1}) \). But since inverses are unique, we proved \( f(x)^{-1} = f(x^{-1}) \). 

Example 1.5.57. Here are some examples of group homomorphisms.

- There is always a unique group homomorphism from any group to the trivial group where every element of the group goes to \( 0 \) of the trivial group.
- There is always a unique group homomorphism from the trivial group to any group in which the \( 0 \) of the trivial group goes to the identity of the group.
- There is an inclusion group homomorphism \( \text{inc} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \).
- There is a group homomorphism \( \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0,1,2,3,\ldots,11\} \) that takes every whole number \( x \) and sends it to the remainder when \( x \) is divided by 12.
- Let \( b \) be some positive real number called the “base”. There is an exponential function

\[
b^(:) : (\mathbb{R}^+, +, 0, -) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+, \times, 1, ( )^{-1}) \quad (1.59)
\]

that takes a real number \( r \) and sends it to \( b^r \). The two requirements to be a group homomorphism turn out to mean that \( b^{r+r'} = b^r \times b^{r'} \) (\( b^(:) \) takes addition to multiplication) and \( b^0 = 1 \).

- There is a logarithm function that is the inverse of the exponential function.

\[
\log_b : (\mathbb{R}^+, \times, 1, ( )^{-1}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, +, 0, -). \quad (1.60)
\]

\( \log_b \) takes a positive real number \( r \) to \( \log_b(r) \). The requirements to be a group homomorphism are the well-known facts that \( \log_b(r \times r') = \log_b(r) + \log_b(r') \) and \( \log_b(1) = 0 \).

Exercise 1.5.58. Show that the composition of group homomorphisms is a group homomorphism. Show that the composition is associative.
Solution: Let \( f : G \to G' \) and \( f' : G' \to G'' \) be group homomorphisms. Then \((f' \circ f)(x) = f'(f(x))\). To show that it preserves the group operations:

\[
(f' \circ f)(x \star x') = f'(f(x \star x')) = f'(f(x) \star' f(x')) = f'(f(x)) \star'' f'(f(x')) = (f' \circ f)(x) \star'' (f' \circ f)(x')
\]

and

\[
(f' \circ f)(e) = f'(f(e)) = f'(e') = e''.
\]

Exercise 1.5.59. Define the identity group homomorphism, \( id_G \), for every group \((G, \star, 0, ( \_ )^{-1})\). Show that if \( f : (G, \star, e, ( \_ )^{-1}) \to (G', \star', e', ( \_ )^{\prime-1}) \) is a group homomorphism then \( f \circ id_G = f \) and \( id_{G'} \circ f = f \).

Solution: The identity trivially respects the group operations. The last part follows from the fact that group homomorphisms are simply functions (that satisfy certain properties.)

Further Reading

Most of the material found in this Section can be found in any discrete mathematics or finite mathematics textbook e.g. [56, 55]. They can also be found in many pre-calculus textbooks.

This idea of showing the centrality of functions between sets was taken from two books co-authored by one of the leaders of category theory, F. William Lawvere. With Robert Rosebrugh he wrote *Sets for Mathematics* [37] and with Stephen H. Schanuel he wrote a textbook titled *Conceptual Mathematics* [39].

The novice can find basic group theory in any introduction to modern algebra or abstract algebra, e.g., [1, 18].

This idea that most of the operations on functions can be seen as compositions, extensions and liftings (as in Diagram (1.42)) was taken from [62] where much of category theory is built out of these operations. We will see more of extensions and liftings in Section 9.1.

However, if you really want to learn more about categorical thinking, roll up your sleeves and keep on reading the rest of this book!
Chapter 2

Categories

A good stack of examples, as large as possible, is indispensable for a thorough understanding of any concept, and when I want to learn something new, I make it my first job to build one.

Paul Halmos

2.1 Basic Definitions and Examples

Before formally defining a category, let us summarize what we saw in Section 1.5 concerning sets and functions. The collection of sets and functions form a category. By carefully examining this collection, we will see what is needed in the definition of a category.

Example 2.1.1. Consider the collection of all sets. There are functions between sets. If $f$ is a function from set $S$ to set $T$, then we write it as $f: S \to T$ and we call $S$ the domain of $f$ and $T$ the codomain of $f$. Certain functions can be composed: for $f: S \to T$ and $g: T \to U$, there exists a function $g \circ f: S \to U$ which is defined for $s$ in $S$ as $(g \circ f)(s) = g(f(s))$. This composition operation is associative. That means that for $f: S \to T$, $g: T \to U$ and $h: U \to V$, both ways of associating the functions $h \circ (g \circ f)$ and $(h \circ g) \circ f$ are equal to the function described as follows

$$s \mapsto f(s) \mapsto g(f(s)) \mapsto h(g(f(s))). \quad (2.1)$$

That is, $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$ and on $s$ of $S$ this function gives the value $h(g(f(s)))$. For every set $S$ there is a function $id_S: S \to S$ which is called the identity function and is defined for $s$ in $S$ as $id_S(s) = s$. These identity functions have the following properties: for all $f: S \to T$, it is true that $f \circ id_S = f$ and $id_T \circ f = f$. The collection of sets and functions form a category called $\text{Set}$. This category is easy to understand and we use it to hone our ideas about many structures of category theory.

Now for the formal definition of a category.

Definition 2.1.2. A category $\mathcal{A}$ is a collection of objects, $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$, and a collection of morphisms, $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{A})$, which has the following structure:

- Every morphism has an object associated to it called its domain: there is a function $\text{Dom}_\mathcal{A}: \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}) \to \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$. 
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• Every morphism has an object associated to it called its codomain: there is a function $\text{Cod}_A: \text{Mor}(A) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(A)$. We write
  \[ f: a \rightarrow b \quad \text{or} \quad a \xrightarrow{f} b \]  (2.2)
  for the fact that $\text{Dom}_A(f) = a$ and $\text{Cod}_A(f) = b$.

• Adjoining morphisms can be composed: if $f: a \rightarrow b$ and $g: b \rightarrow c$, then there is an associated morphism $g \circ f: a \rightarrow c$. We can describe these morphisms as
  \[ a \xrightarrow{g \circ f} c. \]  (2.3)

• Every object has an identity morphism: there is a function $\text{Ident}_A: \text{Ob}(A) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(A)$. The domain and codomain of the identity morphism for $a$ is $a$. We denote the identity of $a$ as $\text{id}_a: a \rightarrow a$ or
  \[ a \xrightarrow{\text{id}_a} a. \]  (2.4)

This structure must satisfy the following two axioms:

1. Composition is associative: given $f: a \rightarrow b$, $g: b \rightarrow c$ and $h: c \rightarrow d$, the two ways of composing these maps are equal:
  \[ h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f: a \rightarrow d. \]  (2.5)

2. Composition with the identity does not change the morphism: for any $f: a \rightarrow b$ the composition with $\text{id}_a$ is $f$, i.e., $f \circ \text{id}_a = f$ and composition with $\text{id}_b$ is also $f$, i.e., $\text{id}_b \circ f = f$.

Basic Examples

Example 2.1.3. Let us mention three examples of categories that we already saw in this text. Although we did not call them categories, the examples and exercises showed that they have the structure of a category.

- Sets and functions between them form the category $\text{Set}$.
- Directed graphs and graph homomorphisms give us $\text{Graph}$.
- Groups and group homomorphisms make up $\text{Group}$.

The definition of a category is a “mouthful” that has many parts to it. There are several important comments concerning this definition.

- $\text{Ob}(A)$ and $\text{Mor}(A)$ are called “collections” rather than the more set theoretical “set” or “class”. The reason for this is because we do not want to get bogged down in the language of set theory. If you know the language of set theory, then realize that sometimes our objects and morphisms will be sets and sometimes proper classes. Often we will not specify which and just use the word “collection.”
• It is important to notice that if we have morphisms \( f: a \to b \) and \( g: b \to c \) then we write the composition as \( g \circ f \) rather than \( f \circ g \). We do this because in many categories the morphisms will be types of functions and with functions, when we apply the composition of functions, it looks like this \( g(f(\_)) \) which is closer to \( g \circ f \) than \( f \circ g \). As we get more and more used to the language we will write \( gf \) rather than \( g \circ f \).

• Another way of seeing the definition of a category is to discuss collections of morphisms. For objects \( a \) and \( b \) in category \( A \), there is a collection of all the morphisms from \( a \) to \( b \) which we write \( \text{Hom}_A(a,b) \). The word \( \text{Hom} \) comes from the word “homomorphism” which is a vestige of the algebraic and topological background of category theory. We call these collections \textbf{hom sets} even though the collections might not be a set. Composition in the category in terms of the hom sets becomes the operation

\[
\circ: \text{Hom}_A(b,c) \times \text{Hom}_A(a,b) \to \text{Hom}_A(a,c)
\]

\[
(g,f) \mapsto g \circ f.
\]

The fact that every element \( a \) of \( A \) has an identity element means that there is a special morphism in \( \text{Hom}_A(a,a) \) that satisfies certain properties. As time goes on, and it is obvious what category we mean, we will drop the subscript and write \( \text{Hom}(a,b) \).

The categories \( \text{Set} \), \( \text{Graph} \) and \( \text{Group} \) each have collections of objects and morphisms that are infinite. Let us look at some examples of categories with finite collections of objects and morphisms.

**Example 2.1.4.** Figure [2.1] has several finite categories which will be of interest. In detail,

• \( 0 \), the empty category, has no objects and no morphisms. All the axioms of being a category are trivially true.

• \( 1 \) has one object and the single identity morphism on that object.

• \( 2 \) has two objects and three morphisms. Two of the morphisms are identity morphisms on the two objects and the third morphism goes from one object to the other.

• \( 2_o \) has the two objects and the two identity maps but does not have the non-identity morphism.

• \( 2_I \) is like \( 2 \) but there are two non-identity morphisms and their combinations are the identity morphisms. In total, it has two objects and four morphisms.

• \( 3 \) is a category with three objects, three identity morphisms, and three non-identity morphisms. The non-identity morphisms form a commutative triangle.

\[\square\]

**Example 2.1.5.** Not only does the collection of all sets form a category, but each individual set can also be thought of as having the structure of a category. Let \( S \) be a set. \((S \text{ can be finite or infinite})\). We form the category \( d(S) \) where the objects are the elements of \( S \) and the only morphisms are identity morphisms. The composition operation can only compose identity maps with themselves. We call a category with only identity morphisms a \textbf{discrete category}. For

\[\text{In fact } S \text{ can also a proper class, if you understand that jargon.}\]
example the set \( S = \{a, b, c, d\} \) becomes the category:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{id}_a & \text{id}_b & \text{id}_c \\
\text{id}_d & \\
\end{array}
\]

(2.7)

Figure 2.1: Several finite categories.

Let us go through more examples of categories.

An Example from Physics

**Example 2.1.6.** An example of a category that will warm the heart of every physicist is \( \text{Feynman} \), the category of Feynman diagrams. A Feynman diagram is a way of visualizing the interactions of particles in physics. The directed lines in the diagram correspond to particles and the vertices correspond to interactions of particles. A simple example of a Feynman diagram is

A GENERAL PICTURE OF A FEYNMAN DIAGRAM