



- The program ga.cpp which you can download from the course website is an example of the use of bubblesort.
- It is also an example of *biologically inspired computing*, where ideas from biology are used to make computer programs more efficient.
- In *genetic algorithms* we breed solutions to a computing problem, and allow them to evolve until we have the best solution.
- Genetic algorithms can be a very efficient way to find solutions to some problems.

```
• Here's bubblesort in C++:
while(swapped)
{
    swapped = false;
    for(count = 0; count < 5; count++)
        if(numbers[count] > numbers[count+1])
        {
            temp = numbers[count];
            numbers[count] = numbers[count+1];
            numbers[count+1] = temp;
            swapped = true;
        }
• This sorts smallest first. As before we can easily modify it to sort largest first.
```

```
cis1.5-spring2007-parsons-lectV.4
```



cis1.5-spring2007-parsons-lectV.4



- In the worst case, it means we have to look through every element of the list to find if the number is in there.
- That is fine if the list is 6 elements long, but not so fine if it is a million elements long.
- Much more efficient is *binary search*, though binary search only works if the list is sorted.

```
cis1.5-spring2007-parsons-lectV.4
```

Analysis of algorithms

- If you try binary and linear search out on some examples, you will see that binary search usually finds the result (that the thing we want is in or out of the list) quicker than linear search.
- However, we can say more precisely what the advantage of binary search is.
- We consider how many comparisons we will have to do for an arbitrary list that holds *N* elements,
- For linear search, the worst thing that can happen is that we have to look at all *N* elements.
- Sometimes we will look at less, and on average we will end up looking at ^N/₂ elements.
- In binary search we will have to look at $\log_2(n+1)$ elements at most.

cis1.5-spring2007-parsons-lectV.4

Binary search

- Binary search works as follows, assuming the list is sorted smallest first.
- Look at the middle element of the list.
- If it is the one we are looking for, we are done.
- If the middle element is larger than the one we are looking for, then the one we are looking for must be in the first half of the list (if it is in the list).
- If the middle element is smaller than the one we are looking for, then the one we are looking for must be in the second half of the list (if it is in the list).
- Repeat in the relevant half of the list

cis1.5-spring2007-parsons-lectV.4

9

11

• We can look at the worst case number of comparisons for different values of *N*.

Ν	Linear	Binary
100	100	7
1,000	1,000	10
1,000,000	1,000,000	20

- So we can see that binary search is a lot more efficient than linear search as the size of the list increases.
- However, to use binary search, we need a sorted list.

cis1.5-spring2007-parsons-lectV.4

10



- Well if we use linear sorting on a list with N elements, we have to do N comparisons followed by N-1 comparisons, followed by N-2 comparisons, followed by ... all the way down to N-Ncomparisons.
- In total, that is:

 $\underline{N(N+1)}$

comparisons.

- The most significant part of this number is the N^2 , and we write the number of comparisons as $O(N^2)$.
- This is known as "Big O" notation.

cis1.5-spring2007-parsons-lectV.4



- Linear searching is O(N), and so will be more efficient than linear sorting since *N* is always smaller than N^2 .
- Binary searching is $O(\log N)$, and so is more efficient than either linear searching or linear sorting since $\log N$ is smaller than N and N^2 .
- However, if we sort with linear sort and and then search using binary search, overall that will be less efficient than using linear search.
- Note that there are other algorithms that sort more efficiently than either linear sort and bubblesort.

14

• There are also slgorithms that search unsorted lists more efficiently than linear search.

cis1.5-spring2007-parsons-lectV.4

13

