

## COMPOSITION AND INHERITANCE

### Today

- Today we will look at:
  - Composition; and
  - Inheritance
- These are the cornerstones of object oriented programming.
- This material is taken from Pohl, Chapter 8.

### An example

- Consider the program `rabbit.cpp` which you can download from the class web site (Unit IV).
- This models a small ecosystem which holds:
  - A rabbit
  - Some carrots
- The rabbit runs around looking for carrots and eating them.
- The class definition for the `rabbit` class is as follows

```
class rabbit {  
  
private:  
    point location;  
    int consumed;  
  
public:  
    rabbit(){consumed = 0;};  
    int getX() const;  
    int getY() const;  
    void set(int x, int y);  
    void print() const;  
    void move();  
    void move(direction d);  
    void eat();  
    bool hungry();  
};
```

## Composition

- The `rabbit` class includes a member of the `point` class, which we have played with before.
- We say that `rabbit` is related to `point` by *composition*.
- This just means what we see here — one class has an instance of another as a data member.
- Another example of composition in `rabbit.cpp` is that the class `world` contains instances of both `carrot` and `rabbit`.

- Several of the function members (methods) of `rabbit` look like those for `point`.

- `getX()`
  - `getY()`
  - `set(int x, int y)`

- These data members provide a to alter the values of the attributes of the instance of `point` that is a member of `rabbit`.
- Since the data member `location` is `private` within `rabbit`, any program we write can't just use the function members of `point`.

- So this, for example:

```
rabbit peter;  
peter.location.getX();
```

would not compile.

- Instead we have to write *accessor* functions like `rabbit::getX()` which calls `point::getX()`
- This is the reason behind the functions that look like they only exist to call similar functions in `point`.

- Other methods are new:

- `move()`
  - `eat()`
  - `hungry()`

- These give us the functionality we want from `rabbit`, allowing it to move, to report whether it is hungry, and to eat.
- If you haven't done so already, you should run the program `rabbit` and see how it works.
- Now imagine that we want to extend the program to include a fox, which runs around the world and eats rabbits.
- One way we could do this is to write a `fox` class that looks like the following.

```

class fox {

private:
    point location;
    int consumed;


public:
    fox(){consumed = 0;};
    int getX() const;
    int getY() const;
    void set(int x, int y);
    void print() const;
    void move();
    void move(direction d);
    void eat();
    bool hungry();
};

```

- This is exactly like the rabbit class since fox and rabbit are so similar.
- Both have a location in the world, move around, and eat things.
- Since they are so similar, writing both out seems a bit repetitive, and dull with it.
- It turns out that there is an alternative to doing this.
- The alternative is to use *inheritance* and this is considered better style than having lots of classes with (more or less) the same functionality.

## Inheritance

- A program that handles the fox and rabbit example using *inheritance* is rabbit3.cpp on the class web page.
- The relationship between the classes is summarised by:



- That is the class rabbit and the class fox are both *subclasses* of the class animal.
- Alternatively, every instance of a rabbit is an instance of animal and every instance of fox is an instance of animal.

- We define fox as:

```

class fox : public animal {

};

```

- This is the syntax for saying that fox has exactly the same members as animal.
- The keyword public indicates that all the public members of animal remain public in fox.
- If we replaced public with private, then all the public members of animal would become private in fox.
- We will say more about this next lecture.

- Normally we want to do more than have a subclass just be a copy of the superclass.
- What we often want to do is to have the subclass add things to the superclass.
- (In Java this is explicit. When we define a subclass it is by saying it extends the superclass).
- `rabbit` is an example of this.

```
class rabbit : public animal {
private:
    bool eaten;
public:
    rabbit(){eaten = false;};
    void beEaten();
};

void rabbit::beEaten(){
    cout << "Drat that fox!" << endl;
    eaten = true;
}
```

- Here `rabbit` extends `animal` with:
  - A private data member `eaten`, which records whether the rabbit has been eaten by the fox; and
  - A public function member `beEaten` that takes appropriate action when the rabbit is eaten.
- Thus `rabbit` has all of the data members of `animal` as well as the additional ones listed here.

- As a result we can do this:

```
rabbit peter;
peter.set(2, 3);
```

which calls the `set` method on the rabbit `peter`.

- `rabbit` *inherits* the `set` method from `animal`.

### Overriding and inheritance

- A sub-class definition can re-define a function member defined in the super-class.
- This is called *overriding*.
- We can, for example, override the definition of `move` in `fox`.
- The program `rabbit3.cpp` has:

```
class fox : public animal {
public:
    void move();
    void move(direction d);
};
```

giving new definitions for how the fox moves.

### Aside: "protected"

- It turns out (as you can see in `rabbit3.cpp`) that we need to make the `animal` class a little different from the `rabbit` class that we started with.
- The problem is that to allow `move` to be overridden in `fox`, we have to change the value of `location` in `fox`.
- Now, `location` is `private` to `animal`, so `fox` cannot alter it.
- One answer is to make `location` not `private` but `protected`.
- `protected` data members sit somewhere between `public` members, which are accessible to any object, and `private` members, which are only accessible within that class.

- Roughly speaking, `protected` members are like `private` data members but are also accessible by members of derived classes.
- So a `protected` data member in `animal` is accessible by `rabbit` and `fox`, but not by `carrot`.
- In contrast, if we made `location` `public`, it would also be accessible by objects of class `carrot`.
- We will talk more about `protected` later on.

### More inheritance

- Since `rabbit` is a subclass of `animal`, we can carry out any operation on a `rabbit` that we can on a `animal`.
- We already know that this is the case where the operations are function members of `animal` with simple parameters.
- Thus we can do:

```
rabbit peter;  
peter.set(2, 3);  
peter.move();
```

calling methods from `animal` on `rabbit`.

- It turns out we can go a bit further than this also.
- If we have:

```
bool animal::hungrier(animal a1, animal a2){  
    if(a1.consumed < a2.consumed){  
        return true;  
    }  
    else {  
        return false;  
    }  
}
```

we can pass this two rabbits, two foxes, or a rabbit and a fox.

- This is an example of *polymorphism*. We met this concept back in lecture II.2.

## Summary

- This lecture has looked at a number of issues related to object oriented programming in C++.
- In particular we looked at:
  - Composition of classes
  - Inheritance
  - Overriding;
- We will continue with these ideas next time.