ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE & AGENTS







- AI as *component*, rather than as end in itself.
 - "Useful first" paradigm Etzioni (NETBOT, US\$35m)
 - "Raisin bread" model Winston.

• Expert systems success stories:

- MYCIN blood diseases in humans;
- DENDRAL interpreting mass spectrometers;
- R1/XCON configuring DEC VAX hardware;
- PROSPECTOR finding promising sites for mineral deposits;
- Expert systems emphasised *knowledge representation*: rules, frames, semantic nets.
- Problems:
 - the knowledge elicitation bottleneck;
 - marrying expert system & traditional software;
 - breaking into the mainstream.

Intelligent Agents

- An *agent* is a system that is *situated* in an environment, and which is capable of *perceiving* its environment and *acting* in it to satisfy its design objectives.
- Pictorially:

7



cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02

- Human "agent":
 - *environment*: physical world;
 - *sensors*: eyes, ears, ...
 - *effectors*: hands, legs, ...
- Software agent:
 - *environment*: (e.g.) UNIX operating system;
 - sensors: ls, ps, ...
 - effectors: rm, chmod, ...
- Internet agent:
 - *environment*: the Internet;
 - sensors: http requests;
 - *effectors*: http commands.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02

- Need to know *how* and *when* to evaluate success.
- How:
 - an objective performance measure;
 - application specific;
- When:
 - in discrete episodes, or over long periods?
- Don't confuse *omniscience* with rationality.
- Real agents don't know enough to always make the best choice. (We often fall into this trap when making judgements about history.)
- Rationality concerned with *expected success* given *information available*.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02

What to do?

Those who do not reason Perish in the act. Those who do not act perish for that reason (W H Auden)

- The key problem we have is *knowing the right thing to do*.
- Knowing what to do can *in principle* be easy: consider all the alternatives, and choose the "best".
- But Auden's quote! In any time-constrained domain, we have to make a decision *in time for that decision to be useful*!
- A tradeoff.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02

• Ideal rational agent:

For each percept sequence, an ideal rational agent will act to maximise its expected performance measure, on the basis of information provided by percept sequence plus any information built in to agent.

- Note that this does not preclude performing actions to *find things out*.
- More precisely, we can view an agent as a function:

 $f: P^* \to A$

from sequences of percepts *P* to actions *A*.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02

11

12

10



• Example: Medical diagnosis system.

- percepts: symptoms, findings, patient answers;
- actions: questions, tests, treatments;
- goals: healthy patient, minimise costs;
- environment: patient, hospital.
- Example: Email manager.
 - percepts: email arrived, headers, content of email;
 - actions: delete email, reorder email, obtain user attention;
 - goals: present important email first; hide junk email;
 - environment: mail reader, operating system.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02

Deterministic vs non-deterministic

A deterministic environment is one in which any action has a single guaranteed effect — there is no uncertainty about the state that will result from performing an action.

The physical world can to all intents and purposes be regarded as non-deterministic.

Non-deterministic environments present greater problems for the agent designer.

Accessible vs inaccessible

An accessible environment is one in which the agent can obtain complete, accurate, up-to-date information about the environment's state.

Most moderately complex environments (including, for example, the everyday physical world and the Internet) are inaccessible.

The more accessible an environment is, the simpler it is to build agents to operate in it.

18

20

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02

17

19

Episodic vs non-episodic

In an episodic environment, the performance of an agent is dependent on a number of discrete episodes, with no link between the performance of an agent in different scenarios.

An example of an episodic environment would be a mail sorting system.

Episodic environments are simpler from the agent developer's perspective because the agent can decide what action to perform based only on the current episode — it need not reason about the interactions between this and future episodes.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02

Static <i>vs</i> dynamic		
A static environment is one that can be assumed to remain unchanged except by the performance of actions by the agent.		
A dynamic environment is one that has other processes operating on it, and which hence changes in ways beyond the agent's control. The physical world is a highly dynamic environment.		
cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02	21	

Discrete vs continuous

An environment is discrete if there are a fixed, finite number of actions and percepts in it.

Russell and Norvig give a chess game as an example of a discrete environment, and taxi driving as an example of a continuous one.

22

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02

23

Summary

- This lecture has looked at:
 - The history of AI
 - The notion of intelligent agents
 - A classification of agent environments.
- Broadly speaking, the rest course will cover the major techniques of AI, with special reference to agents.
- The techniques we'll look at will start with those applicable to simple environments and move towards those suitable for more complex environments.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect02