KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION

Introduction

- Using logic is one approach to knowledge representation.
- Another possibility is to design specific mechanisms for representing the kind of knowledge we need in AI.
- Leads to an area of AI called *knowledge representation*.
- This lecture will look at some general aspects of knowledge representation, and also the specific example of production rules.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

The Knowledge Principle

• Ed Feigenbaum:

"... power exhibited ... is primarily a consequence of the specialist knowledge employed by the agent and only very secondarily related to ... the power of the [computer]" "Our agents must be knowledge rich, even if they are methods poor."

The Role of Knowledge

- Knowledge about a domain allows problem solving to be *focussed* not necessary to exhaustively search.
- *Explicit* representations of knowledge allow a *domain expert* to understand the knowledge a system has, add to it, edit it, and so on.

Knowledge engineering.

• Comparatively *simple* algorithms can be used to *reason* with the knowledge and derive *new* knowledge.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12



- Question: How do we *represent* knowledge in a form amenable to computer manipulation?
- Desirable features of KR scheme:
 - representational adequacy;
 - inferential adequacy;
 - inferential efficiency;
 - well-defined syntax & semantics;
 - naturalness.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

Inferential Adequacy

- KR scheme must allow us to make new *inferences* from old knowledge.
- It must make inferences that are:
 - *sound* the new knowledge actually does follow from the old knowledge;
 - *complete* it should make all the right inferences.
- Soundness usually easy; completeness very hard!

Representational Adequacy

- A KR scheme must be able to actually represent the knowledge appropriate to our problem.
- Some KR schemes are better at some sorts of knowledge than others.
- There is no one ideal KR scheme!

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

• Example. Given knowledge... *Michael is a man. All men are mortal.* the inference *Simon is mortal.* is not sound, whereas *Michael is mortal.* is sound.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

Inferential Efficiency

- A KR scheme should be *tractable* make inferences in reasonable (polynomial) time.
- Unfortunately, *any* KR scheme with interesting *expressive power* is not going to be efficient.
- Often, the more general a KR scheme is, the less efficient it is.
- Use KR schemes tailored to problem domain less general, but more efficient.
- (Any KR scheme with expressive power = first-order logic is *undecidable*.)

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

Syntax and Semantics It should be possible to tell: whether any construction is "grammatically correct". how to read any particular construction — no ambiguity. Thus KR scheme should have well defined syntax. It should be possible to precisely determine, for any given construction, exactly what its meaning is. Thus KR scheme should have well defined semantics. Syntax is easy; semantics is hard!

10









- R1: IF animal has hair THEN animal is a mammal
- R2: IF animal gives milk THEN animal is mammal
- R3: IF animal has feathers THEN animal is a bird
- R4: IF animal can fly AND animal lays eggs THEN animal is bird

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

R5:	IF animal eats meat THEN animal is carnivore		R9:
R6:	IF animal has pointed teeth AND animal has claws THEN animal is carnivore		
R7:	IF animal is mammal AND animal has hoofs THEN animal is ungulate		R10:
R8:	IF animal is mammal AND animal chews cud THEN animal is ungulate		
cis32-fall2005-par	rsons-lect12	17	cis32-fall2005-pai
R11:	IF animal is ungulate AND animal has long legs AND animal has dark spots		

- IF animal is mammal AND animal is carnivore AND animal has tawny colour AND animal has dark spots THEN animal is cheetah
- IF animal is mammal AND animal is carnivore AND animal has tawny colour AND animal has black stripes THEN animal is tiger

18

20

rsons-lect12

- THEN animal is giraffe
- R12: IF animal is ungulate AND animal has black stripes THEN animal is zebra
- R14: IF animal is bird AND animal does not fly AND animal has long legs AND animal has long neck THEN animal is ostrich

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

- R14: IF animal is bird AND animal does not fly AND animal can swim AND animal is black and white THEN animal is penguin
- R15: IF animal is bird AND animal is good flyer THEN animal is albatross

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12



```
var WM : set of facts
   var goal : goal we are searching for
   var RuleBase : set of rules
   var firedFlag : BOOLEAN
   repeat
     firedFlag = FALSE
     for each (c,a) in RuleBase do
       if fires(c,WM) then
         if a == goal then return success
         end-if
         add a to WM
         set firedFlag to TRUE
       end-if
     end-for
   until firedFlag = FALSE
   return failure
cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12
                                                      22
```

- Note that all rules which can fire do fire.
- Can be inefficient lead to spurious rules firing, unfocussed problem solving (cf. breadth-first search).

24

- Set of rules that can fire known as *conflict set*.
- Decision about which rule to fire *conflict resolution*.
- Number of strategies possible (cf. heuristic search):
 - *most specific rule first* (with most antecedents).
 - most recent first;
 - user specified priorities.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

23

• Example. Suppose

```
WM = { animal has hair,
      animal eats meat,
      animal has tawny colour,
      animal has dark spots}
```

and goal is

```
animal is cheetah
```



Meta Knowledge

• Another solution: *meta-knowledge*, (i.e., *knowledge about knowledge*) to guide search.

IF

conflict set contains any rule (c,a) such that
 a = ``animal is mammal''
THEN

```
fire (c,a)
```

- So meta-knowledge encodes knowledge about how to guide search for solution.
- Explicitly coded in the form of rules, as with "object level" knowledge.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12



Backward Chaining Backward chaining means reasoning from *goals* back to *facts*. The idea is that this focusses the search. Thinking of the rules as building a tree connecting facts, in backward chaining, every path ends with the goal. Since, in general, there are more initial facts that goals, more of the paths built will be solutions than in forward chaining (we hope :-).

```
WM = { animal has hair,
animal eats meat,
animal has tawny colour,
animal has dark spots}
```

• and goal is

25

27

animal is cheetah

Semantic Networks

- Taxonomic reasoning can be more efficient not in logic.
- Developed by Quillian in 1968, for *semantic memory*.
- Models the "associations" between ideas that people maintain.
- Semantic net is a *labelled graph*.
 - nodes in graph represent *objects, concepts,* or *situations;*
 - arcs in graph represent *relationships between objects*.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12



Key types of arc: • $x \xrightarrow{subset} y$ "x is a kind of y" (\subset) Example: penguin \xrightarrow{subset} bird • $x \xrightarrow{member} y$ "x is a y" Example: opus \xrightarrow{member} penguin • $x \xrightarrow{R} y$ "x is *R*-related to y" Example: bill \xrightarrow{friend} opus • Inference is then by traversing arcs.

- *Binary* relations are easy and natural to represent.
- Others kinds of relation are harder.
- Unary relations (properties).
- Example: "Opus is small".
- Three place relations.

Example: "Opus brings tequila to the party."

• Some binary relations are problematic ... "Opus is larger than Bill."

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

29

31



- "every dog has bitten a postman"
- "every dog has bitten every postman"
- *Partitioned* semantic nets can represent these.
- Of course, expressions like this are very easy to represent in first order logic.



cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

Frames

- Frames are a kind of *structured* knowledge representation mechanism.
- All information relevant to a particular concept is stored in *frame* which resembles C struct, PASCAL record, Java object...
- Each frame has a number of *slots*.
- Each slot may be *filled* by:
 - a value;
 - a pointer to another frame;
 - a procedure.
- Slots may have *default values* associated with them.
- Frames = OO!

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

- Frames are typically used to represent the *properties* of objects, and the relationships between them.
- Frames may represent:

33

35

- *generic concepts* (cf classes) or
- specific items (cf objects).
- Most important kind of link between frames:

is-a

- Facilitates reasoning about object properties.
- Allows *default values* to be *inherited*.







 10/ Customer leaves restaurant Main concept: 6
Results: Customer not hungry, Customer has less money, Restaurant has more money, Waiter gets tip
cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

Problems with Frames & Semantic Nets

- Both frames and semantic nets are essentially *arbitrary*.
- Both are useful for representing certain sorts of knowledge.
- But both are essentially *ad hoc* lack precise meaning, or *semantics*.
- Inference procedures poorly defined & justified.
- The *syntax* of KR scheme is *irrelevant*.
- *Logic* generalises these schemes... and that is both an advantage and a disadvantage.

- Scripts developed by Roger Schank for *understanding stories*.
- Used to help *understand language*.
- Scripts provide *context* information without which sentences cannot be understood:
 - sentences are not unconstrained sequences of words;
 - stories are not unconstrained sequences of sentences.
- Schank developed SAM (Script Applier Mechanism) that could *fill in gaps* in stories.
- Also able to "explain" elements of stories, e.g., people get upset or angry when story deviates from script.

42

44

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12

41

43

Summary

- This lecture has introduced the idea of knowledge representation, and some of the requirements of a knowledge representation scheme.
- We also looked at several knowledge representation schemes:
 - production rules
 - semantic nets
 - frames
 - scripts
- Next lecture will look the role of logic in knowledge representation.

cis32-fall2005-parsons-lect12