

KINEMATICS II

What went before

- Last time we looked at two parts of the mathematics of robot motion.
- Overall motion, making simplifying assumptions
 - Robot as a body moving in a plane.
 - Forward and reverse kinematic models.
- Motion of individual wheels.
 - Equations relating to wheel spin and slideways motion.
 - Fixed and steerable standard wheels have constraints.
 - Swedish and spherical wheels, as well as castors, do not have constraints.

Today

- Take individual constraints on wheels, and use these to establish constraints on the robot as a whole.
- Gives us a more accurate kinematic picture of the whole robot.
 - Robot as a constrained body moving in a plane.
- Tells us how the design of the robot constrains its ability to move.
- Gives us precise notions of:
 - Mobility
 - Steerability
 - Maneuverability

Kinematic constraints

- How does the design of a robot with M wheels constrain how the robot moves?
 - How does a differential drive robot move compared with a bicycle?
- Five categories of wheel:
 - Fixed standard
 - Steerable standard
 - Castors
 - Swedish
 - Spherical
- Only fixed and steerable standard wheels have any constraints.

- A fixed standard wheel, radius r , polar coordinates l and α to some reference point on the chassis, wheel at β to chassis, chassis moving at $\dot{\xi}_I$ has a rolling constraint:

$$[\sin(\alpha + \beta) - \cos(\alpha + \beta)(-l) \cos \beta] R(\theta) \dot{\xi}_I - r \dot{\varphi} = 0$$

where $\dot{\varphi}$ is the rate of rotation of the wheel about its axle and:

$$R(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

translates from the global frame of reference to the local frame.

- This says that the wheel does not slip at its point of contact with the ground.

- Similarly, we have a sliding constraint:

$$[\cos(\alpha + \beta) \sin(\alpha + \beta) l \sin \beta] R(\theta) \dot{\xi}_I = 0$$

- This says that the wheel does not move perpendicular to its plane of rotation.
- We can write identical expressions for a steerable standard wheel.

From constraints on wheels to constraints on robots

- Consider we have a robot with N wheels.
 - N_f are fixed standard wheels
 - N_s are steerable standard wheels
- Deal with this by considering fixed wheels all together, and steerable wheels all together.
- $\beta_s(t)$ is a vector that describes the steering angles of all N_s steerable wheels.
- β_f is a vector that describes the orientation of all N_f fixed wheels.

- $\varphi_f(t)$ is a vector that describes the rotation of the fixed wheels.
- $\varphi_s(t)$ is a vector that describes the rotation of the steerable wheels.
- $\varphi(t)$ collects these together:

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_f(t) \\ \varphi_s(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

- We can now write the rolling constraints of all the wheels in a way analogous to the constraint for one wheel:

$$J_1(\beta_s) R(\theta) \dot{\xi}_I - J_2 \dot{\varphi} = 0$$

where J_2 gives wheel radii, and J_1 relates wheels to the motion along their planes:

$$J_2 = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{N_f+N_s} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_1(\beta_s) = \begin{bmatrix} J_{1f} \\ J_{1s}(\beta_s) \end{bmatrix}$$

- J_{1f} and $J_{1s}(\beta_s)$ are relate wheels to motion for fixed and steerable wheels respectively.

- We can do the same thing for sliding constraints, giving:

$$C_1(\beta_s)R(\theta)\dot{\xi}_I = 0$$

where

$$C_1(\beta_s) = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1f} \\ C_{1s}(\beta_s) \end{bmatrix}$$

- These two expressions then summarize all the constraints on the root due to its wheels.
- The sliding constraint (the second one) has the biggest impact on what a robot can do.

Maneuverability of a mobile robot

- The mobility of a chassis is its ability to directly move in the environment.
- An unconstrained robot can move in any direction at any time, and therefore follow any path through the environment.
- There are two parts to mobility:
 - Instantaneous motion
 - Steering
 which is (roughly speaking) the difference between omni-drive and a car chassis.
- The overall maneuverability of a chassis is a combination of freedom from sliding constraints and steerability.

- Sliding constraints require that:

$$C_{1f}R(\theta)\dot{\xi}_I = 0$$

and

$$C_{1s}(\beta_s)R(\theta)\dot{\xi}_I = 0$$

- These put constraints on $R(\theta)\dot{\xi}_I$, and thus on the motion that the robot can perform.
- One view:

$R(\theta)\dot{\xi}_I$ must belong to the null space of $C_1(\beta_s)$

meaning that motion is in some space N such that for any $n \in N$

$$C_1(\beta_s)n = 0$$

- Another view: instantaneous center of rotation.

Instantaneous center of rotation

Back to maneuverability

- The range of possible motion is determined by the set of *independent* constraints.
- Related to the *rank* of $C_1(\beta_s)$.
- More constraints = greater rank of $C_1(\beta_s)$
- More constraints = less flexibility in mobility of the robot.

- A robot with one fixed standard wheel.
 - One constraint.
 - $C_1(\beta_s)$ has rank one.
- A robot with two fixed standard wheels in differential drive:
 - Two constraints, but not independent:
 - $C_1(\beta_s)$ has rank one.
- A robot with two fixed standard wheels in bicycle drive:
 - Two constraints.
 - $C_1(\beta_s)$ has rank two

- Range of possible values for *rank* [$C_1(\beta_s)$]:
$$0 \leq C_1(\beta_s) \leq 3$$
- $C_1(\beta_s) = 0$. No constraints, and no standard wheels.
- $C_1(\beta_s) = 3$. Fully constrained since only three dimensions to constrain.
- $C_1(\beta_s) = 3$. No motion possible.

Degree of mobility

- The null space N of $C_1(\beta_s)$ defines how the robot can move just by changing wheel velocity.
- The dimensionality of N measures the degrees of freedom under the robot's control just by altering velocity.
- Define *degree of mobility* δ_m :

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_m &= \dim N [C_1(\beta_s)] \\ &= 3 - \text{rank} [C_1(\beta_s)]\end{aligned}$$

- Differential drive robot:
 - $\delta_m = 2$
 - Robot can change both orientation and position on its current path just by changing wheel speed.
- Bicycle drive robot:
 - $\delta_m = 1$
 - Robot can only change position on its current path by changing wheel speed.
 - Needs steerable wheel to change orientation.

Degree of steerability

- Degree of mobility captures what can be done just with changes in wheel velocity.
- What about steerable wheels?
- They don't have an instantaneous effect, but they do have an effect over time.
- Degree of steerability δ_s

$$\delta_s = \text{rank} [C_{1s}(\beta_s)]$$

$C_{1s}(\beta_s)$ is the "steerable" bit of $C_1(\beta_s)$.

- The bigger the rank of $C_{1s}(\beta_s)$, the more steerable the robot.

- $0 \leq \delta_s \leq 2$
- $\delta_s = 0$ implies no steerable wheels.
- $\delta_s = 1$ implies one independent steerable wheel.
 - As in a car where two steerable wheels share one axle
- $\delta_s = 2$ only possible if no standard wheels
 - "Two-steer"

Degree of maneuverability

- The *degree of maneuverability* depends on both mobility and steerability:

$$\delta_M = \delta_m + \delta_s$$

- Maneuverability includes both the degrees of freedom that can be manipulated instantaneously through changes in wheel velocity, and those that can be manipulated through steering.
- As a result, robots with the same δ_M are not necessarily equivalent.
- We can see that by looking at different wheel configurations.

On to workspace

- What is important is how the robot can move in its environment.
- Degrees of freedom (DOF)
 - Feature of the workspace
- Differentiable degrees of freedom
 - Feature of the robot
 - Number of independently achievable velocities
 - Equal to degree of mobility δ_m .

Summary

- These notes have discussed robot kinematics.
- In particular, they have considered how we can develop constraints on a whole robot from constraints on a set of wheels.
- Furthermore, they have discussed how this set of constraints on a robot can be used to develop notions of *mobility*, *steerability*, and *maneuverability*.