

- Human "agent":
 - *environment*: physical world;
 - *sensors*: eyes, ears, ...
 - *effectors*: hands, legs, ...
- Software agent:
 - *environment*: (e.g.) UNIX operating system;
 - *sensors*: ls, ps, ...
 - effectors: rm, chmod, ...
- Robot:
 - *environment*: physical world;
 - *sensors*: sonar, camera;
 - *effectors*: wheels.

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

What to do?

Those who do not reason Perish in the act. Those who do not act perish for that reason (W H Auden)

- The key problem we have is *knowing the right thing to do*.
- Knowing what to do can *in principle* be easy: consider all the alternatives, and choose the "best".
- But any time-constrained domain, we have to make a decision *in time for that decision to be useful*!
- A tradeoff.

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01



• *Ideal rational agent:*

For each percept sequence, an ideal rational agent will act to maximise its expected performance measure, on the basis of information provided by percept sequence plus any information built in to agent.

- Note that this does not preclude performing actions to *find things out*.
- More precisely, we can view an agent as a function:

 $f: P^* \to A$

from sequences of percepts *P* to actions *A*.

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01



11





• A more general version of this program, which works for the agent architecture given above, is on the next slide.

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

Fully observable versus partially observable

- A *fully observable* environment is one in which the agent can obtain complete, accurate, up-to-date information about the environment's state.
- Such an environment is also called *accessible*.
- Most moderately complex environments (including, for example, the everyday physical world and the Internet) are only *partially observable*.
- Such environments are also known as *non-accessible*
- The more observable an environment is, the simpler it is to build agents to operate in it.

function SIMPLE-REFLEX-AGENT(percept) returns an action static: rules, a set of condition-action rules state ← INTERPRET-INPUT(percept) mula ← BULE MATEGU(state mulas)

 $rule \leftarrow RULE-MATCH(state, rules)$ action $\leftarrow rule.action$ **return** action

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

13

15

Deterministic versus non-deterministic

14

16

- A *deterministic* environment is one in which any action has a single guaranteed effect there is no uncertainty about the state that will result from performing an action.
- The physical world can to all intents and purposes be regarded as non-deterministic.
- The textbook calls non-deterministic environments *stochastic* if we quantify the non-determinism using probability theory.
- Non-deterministic environments present greater problems for the agent designer.

Episodic versus sequential

- In an *episodic* environment, the performance of an agent is dependent on a number of discrete episodes, with no link between the performance of an agent in different scenarios.
- An example of an episodic environment would be an assembly line where an agent had to spot defective parts.
- Episodic environments are simpler from the agent developer's perspective because the agent can decide what action to perform based only on the current episode it need not reason about the interactions between this and future episodes.
- Environments that are not episodic are called either *non-episodic* or *sequential*. Here the current decision affects future decisions.
- Driving a car is sequential.

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

Discrete vs continuous

- An environment is *discrete* if there are a fixed, finite number of actions and percepts in it.
- The textbook *gives* a chess game as an example of a discrete environment, and taxi driving as an example of a continuous one.





csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

Static vs dynamic

- A *static* environment is one that can be assumed to remain unchanged except by the performance of actions by the agent.
- A *dynamic* environment is one that has other processes operating on it, and which hence changes in ways beyond the agent's control.
- The physical world is a highly dynamic environment.
- One reason an environment may be dynamic is the presence of other agents.

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

17

19

Abstract Architectures for Agents

• Assume the environment may be in any of a finite set *E* of discrete, instantaneous states:

 $E = \{e, e', \ldots\}.$

• Agents are assumed to have a repertoire of possible actions available to them, which transform the state of the environment.

$$Ac = \{\alpha, \alpha', \ldots\}$$

- Actions can be non-deterministic, but only one state ever results from and action.
- A *run*, *r*, of an agent in an environment is a sequence of interleaved environment states and actions:

$$: e_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} e_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} e_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} e_3 \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{u-1}} e_u$$

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

20



- Let:
 - \mathcal{R} be the set of all such possible finite sequences (over *E* and *Ac*);
 - \mathcal{R}^{Ac} be the subset of these that end with an action; and
 - \mathcal{R}^E be the subset of these that end with an environment state.
- We will use r, r', \ldots to stand for the members of \mathcal{R}

• When actions are non-deterministic a run (or *trajectory*) is the same, but the set of possible runs is more complex.



Environments

• A *state transformer* function represents behaviour of the environment:

$$\tau: \mathcal{R}^{Ac} \to \wp(E)$$

- Note that environments are...
 - history dependent.
 - non-deterministic.
- If $\tau(r) = \{ \}$, there are no possible successor states to *r*, so we say the run has *ended*. ("Game over.")
- An environment *Env* is then a triple $Env = \langle E, e_0, \tau \rangle$ where *E* is set of environment states, $e_0 \in E$ is initial state; and τ is state transformer function.

24

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

23





• The action-selection function *action* is now defined as a mapping

action : $I \rightarrow Ac$

from internal states to actions.

• An additional function *next* is introduced, which maps an internal state and percept to an internal state:

next : $I\mathbf{x}Per \rightarrow I$

• This says how the agent updates its view of the world when it gets a new percept.

- The *see* function is the agent's ability to observe its environment, whereas the *action* function represents the agent's decision making process.
- *Output* of the *see* function is a *percept*:

see :
$$E \rightarrow Per$$

which maps environment states to percepts.

• The agent has some internal data structure, which is typically used to record information about the environment state and history.

30

32

• Let *I* be the set of all internal states of the agent.

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

- 1. Agent starts in some initial internal state i_0 .
- 2. Observes its environment state e_i and generates a percept see(e).
- 3. Internal state of the agent is then updated via *next* function, becoming *next*(*i*₀, *see*(*e*)).
- 4. The action selected by the agent is $action(next(i_0, see(e)))$. This action is then performed.
- 5. Goto (2).

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01





- TILEWORLD changes with the random appearance and disappearance of holes.
- Utility function defined as follows:

 $u(r) = \frac{\text{number of holes filled in } r}{\text{number of holes that appeared in } r}$

• TILEWORLD captures the need for *reactivity* and for the advantages of exploiting opportunities.

• The agent starts to push a tile towards the hole.



Expected Utility

- Write *P*(*r* | *Ag*, *Env*) to denote probability that run *r* occurs when agent *Ag* is placed in environment *Env*.
- In a non-deterministic environment, for example, this can be computed from the probability of each step.

• For a run
$$r = (e_0, \alpha_0, e_1, \alpha_1, e_2, \ldots)$$
:

$$\Pr(\mathbf{r} \mid \mathbf{Ag}, \mathbf{Env}) = \Pr(\mathbf{e}_1, \mid \mathbf{e}_0, \alpha_0) \Pr(\mathbf{e}_2 \mid \mathbf{e}_1, \alpha_1) \dots$$

and clearly:

$$\sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}(Ag, Env)} P(r \mid Ag, Env) = 1.$$

• The *expected utility* of agent *Ag* in environment *Env* (given *P*, *u*), is then:

$$EU(Ag, Env) = \sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}(Ag, Env)} u(r)P(r \mid Ag, Env).$$

40

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

39



Optimal Agents

• The optimal agent *Ag_{opt}* in an environment *Env* is the one that *maximizes expected utility*:

$$Ag_{opt} = \arg \max_{Ag \in AG} EU(Ag, Env)$$

(1)

43

- Of course, the fact that an agent is optimal does not mean that it *will* be best; only that *on average*, we can expect it to do best.
- To see the difference between these two ideas, remember the Patriots 4th down against the Colts the other season.

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

• Also note that though this characterises an optimal agent, it does not tell us how to build an optimal agent.



Bounded Optimal Agents

- Some agents cannot be implemented on some computers
- A function $Ag : \mathcal{R}^E \to Ac$ may need more than available memory to implement.
- Write \mathcal{AG}_m to denote the agents that can be implemented on machine *m*:

 $\mathcal{AG}_m = \{ Ag \mid Ag \in \mathcal{AG} \text{ and } Ag \text{ can be implemented on } m \}.$

• The *bounded optimal* agent, *Ag*_{bopt}, with respect to *m* is then...

$$Ag_{bopt} = \arg \max_{Ag \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}_m} EU(Ag, Env)$$
(2)

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01



- A special case of assigning utilities to histories is to assign 0 (false) or 1 (true) to a run.
- If a run is assigned 1, then the agent *succeeds* on that run, otherwise it *fails*.
- Call these *predicate task specifications*.
- Denote predicate task specification by Ψ :

 $\Psi: \mathcal{R} \to \{0,1\}$

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

Write *R*_Ψ(*Ag*, *Env*) to denote set of all runs of the agent *Ag* in environment *Env* that satisfy Ψ:

 $\mathcal{R}_{\Psi}(Ag, Env) = \{r \mid r \in \mathcal{R}(Ag, Env) \text{ and } \Psi(r) = 1\}.$

• We then say that an agent Ag succeeds in task environment $\langle Env, \Psi \rangle$ if

 $\mathcal{R}_{\Psi}(Ag, Env) = \mathcal{R}(Ag, Env)$

• In other words, an agent succeeds if every run satisfies the specification of the agent.

Task Environments

• A *task environment* is a pair (*Env*, Ψ), where *Env* is an environment, and

$$\Psi: \mathcal{R} \to \{0, 1\}$$

- is a predicate over runs.
- Let \mathcal{TE} be the set of all task environments.
- A task environment specifies:
 - the properties of the system the agent will inhabit;
 - the criteria by which an agent will be judged to have either failed or succeeded.

46

48

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

45

47

• We might write this as:

 $\forall r \in \mathcal{R}(Ag, Env), \text{ we have } \Psi(r) = 1$

- This is a bit pessimistic.
- If the agent fails on a single run, we say it has failed overall.
- A more optimistic idea of success is:

 $\exists r \in \mathcal{R}(Ag, Env), \text{ we have } \Psi(r) = 1$

which counts an agent as successful as soon as it completes a single successful run.



csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01

Summary

- This lecture has looked at:
 - The notion of intelligent agents
 - A classification of agent environments.
 - A simple model of agents and environments.
- Broadly speaking, the rest course will cover the some of the more advanced techniques of AI, with special reference to agents.
- The techniques we'll look at will start with those applicable to simple environments and move towards those suitable for more complex environments.

50

csc74010-fall2011-parsons-lect01