cisc3665 game design fall 2011 lecture # II.1 introduction to game AI and agents

topics:

- introduction to game AI
- introduction to agents

references:

- notes on agents from An Introduction to Multiagent Systems, by Michael Wooldridge, Wiley (2002), chapter 1-2
- notes on game Al from *Al for Game Developers*, by David M. Bourg and Glenn Seamann, O'Reilly (2004), chapter 1

cisc3665-fall2011-sklar-lecll.1

fuzzy logic:

allows definition of less precise states, i.e., states within bounds for example: $\label{eq:constraint}$

a FSM might define a state where $distance_to_goal = 10$ and energy = 5 whereas fuzzy logic might define the same state as $distance_to_goal = close$ and energy = low, where close is defined as a range of distances and low is defined as a range of energy values

• *path-planning*: technique for non-player characters to navigate within a virtual world; stems from robotics

 artificial life: swarming and flocking techniques for controlling the behaviors of multiple (typically many) non-player characters

- machine learning: techniques for non-player characters to learn from experience
- autonomous agents: techniques for controlling the behavior of intelligent non-player characters

introduction to game AI
4.1 = artificial intelligence
ame AI typically refers to giving non-player characters some level of intelligence, the appearance of emotion, different personalities, etc.
two categories of game AI techniques: *deterministic*: specified and predictable; non-player character is specifically coded to always do the same thing in the same situation *non-deterministic*: unpredictable and has a degree of uncertainty; non-player character may vary its behavior when faced with the same situation multiple times *cheating* in game AI:
giving a non-player character access to all information stored in the game (beyond what the human player has and beyond what the character might realistically know)
finite state machines (FSM):
used to enumerate possible game states and design possible transitions between them

introduction to agents

- an *agent* is a computer system that is capable of *autonomous* action within its environment
- an agent figures out what needs to be done to satisfy its *goals*, on its own—rather than being told what to do by a human user
- a multiagent system is one that consists of multiple agents which interact with each other
- \bullet multiagent systems (MAS) involve cooperation, coordination and negotiation amongst agents

cisc3665-fall2011-sklar-lecll.1

cisc3665-fall2011-sklar-lecll.1

properties of agents

- the main aspect of an agent is that it is *autonomous*—capable of acting independently, exhibiting control over its internal state
- an agent exists in an environment
- \bullet an agent receives input from its environment perception
- an agent makes decisions about what to do, based on its perceptions of its environment, its knowledge about its internal state, and its goals
- an agent's output *actions* can effect its internal state, its environment and/or other agents
- an example of a trivial agent is a light switch
- key properties:
 - $-\ensuremath{\textit{intelligence:}}$ an agent can make decisions on its own
 - $-\ensuremath{\textit{reactive:}}$ an agent responds to changes in its environment
 - $-\ensuremath{\textit{pro-active:}}$ an agent attempts to achieve goals
 - social: an agent interacts with other agents

cisc3665-fall2011-sklar-lecll.1

agents vs objects

- an object:
 - encapsulates some *state*
 - $-\ensuremath{\mathsf{communicates}}$ via message passing
 - $\mbox{ has methods that correspond to operations to be performed with a given state }$
- an agent:
 - ${\rm \ is\ autonomous}$
 - is intelligent
 - $\,$ is active (has at least one thread of control—not necessarily true of an object)
- "objects do it for free; agents do it because they want to..."
- even though an agent is not an object, an agent can be implemented using objects

- additional (optional) properties:
 - $-\ rationality:$ an agent acts in order to achieve its goals, and will not act so as to thwart or prevent its goals
 - $\ adaptive:$ an agent learns through experience
 - intentional: an agent has beliefs (knowledge about itself and its environment) and desires (goals for what it "wants" to achieve)

cisc3665-fall2011-sklar-lecll.1

properties of environments

- *accessible* vs *inaccessible*: can the agent obtain accurate, current information about its environment?
- deterministic vs non-deterministic: does each action have a single, guaranteed effect?
- *episodic* vs *non-episodic*: can the agent's performance be broken down into independent, discrete episodes?
- *static* vs *dynamic*: does the environment change only in response to actions performed by an agent?
- *discrete* vs *continuous*: can the environment be represented as a set of fixed, finite number of distinct states?

cisc3665-fall2011-sklar-lecll.1

cisc3665-fall2011-sklar-lecll.1



• So, a sequence: $(e_0, \alpha_0, e_1, \alpha_1, e_2, \ldots)$ represents a run of agent Ag in environment $Env = \langle E, e_0, \tau \rangle$ if: 1. e_0 is the initial state of Env 2. $\alpha_0 = Ag(e_0)$ and 3. $e_u \in \tau((e_0, \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{u-1})),$ where u > 0 and $\alpha_u = Ag((e_0, \alpha_0, \ldots, e_u))$

- an *environment*, Env, is a tuple: $\langle E, e_0, \tau \rangle$, where $e_0 \in E$ is the initial state and τ is the transformer function
- an *agent*, Ag, is a function which maps runs to actions:

$$Ag: \mathcal{R}^E \to Ac$$

and \mathcal{AG} is the set of all agents Ag

- a system is a pair containing an agent, Ag, and an environment, Env
- associated with any system is a set of runs of Ag in Env:

 $\mathcal{R}(Ag, Env)$

• we make the assumption that $\mathcal{R}(Ag, Env)$ contains only runs that have ended

cisc3665-fall2011-sklar-lecll.1

