

software engineering: time.

- you never have enough time
- software is often underbudgeted
- the marketing department always wants it tomorrow
- even though they don't know how long it will take to write it and test it
- "Why can't you add feature X? It seems so simple..."
- "I thought it would take a week ... "
- "We've got to get it out next week. Hire 5 more programmers..."

software engineering: people.

- you can't do everything yourself
- e.g., your assignment: "write an operating system"
- where do you start?
- what do you need to write?
- do you know how to write a device driver?
- do you know what a device driver is?
- should you integrate a browser into your operating system?
- how do you know if it's working?

cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp

5

software engineering: complexity.

- software is complex!
- or it becomes that way
 - feature bloat
 - patching
- e.g., the evolution of Windows NT
 - NT 3.1 had 6,000,000 lines of code
 - NT 3.5 had 9,000,000
 - NT 4.0 had 16,000,000
 - Windows 2000 has 30-60 million
 - Windows XP has at least 45 million...

cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp

software engineering: necessity.

• you will need these skills!

- risks of faulty software include
 - loss of money
 - loss of job

cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp

- loss of equipment
- loss of life



examples: ariane 501 (2).

- perfect launch
- ariane 501 flies much faster than ariane 4
- horizontal velocity component goes out of bounds
- IRS in both main and redundant systems go into diagnostic mode
- control system receives diagnotic data but interprets it as wierd position data
- attempts to correct it ...
- ka-boom!
- failure at altitude of 2.5 miles
- 25 tons of hydrogen, 130 tons of liquid oxygen, 500 tons of solid propellant

examples: ariane 501 (3).

- expensive failure:
 - ten years
 - \$7 billion
- horizontal velocity conversion was deliberately left unchecked
- who is to blame?

cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp

- "mistakes were made"
- · software had never been tested with actual flight parameters
- problem was easily reproduced in simulation, after the fact

cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp

13

no silver bullet.

- paper written in 1986 (Brooks)
- "There is no single development, in either technology or management technique, which by itself promises even one order-of magnitude improvement within a decade of productivity, in reliability, in simplicity."
- why? software is inherently complex
- lots of people disagree(d), but there is no proof of a counter-argument
- Brooks' point: there is no *revolution*, but there is *evolution* when it comes to software development

the mythical man-month.

- Fred Brooks (1975)
- book written after his experiences in the OS/360 design
- major themes:
 - Brooks' Law: "Adding manpower to a late software project makes it later."
 - the "black hole" of large project design: getting stuck and getting out
 - organizing large team projects and communication
 - documentation !!!
 - when to keep code; when to throw code away
 - dealing with limited machine resources
- most are supplemented with practical experience



requirements phase. specifi cation phase. • what are we doing, and why? • the "contract" - frequently a legal document • need to determine what the client needs, not what the client wants or thinks they need • what the product will do, not how to do it • worse — requirements are a moving target! • should NOT be: • common ways of building requirements include: - ambiguous, e.g., "optimal" - incomplete, e.g., omitting modules - prototyping - contradictory - natural-language requirements document · detailed, to allow cost and duration estimation • use interviews to get information (not easy!) · classical vs object-oriented (OO) specification • example: your online store - classical: flow chart, data-flow diagram - object-oriented: UML • example: your online store 22 cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp 21 cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp design phase. implementation phase. • the "how" of the project • implement the design in programming language(s) · fills in the underlying aspects of the specification • observe standardized programming mechanisms • design decisions last a long time! • testing: code review, unit testing • even after the finished product · documentation: commented code, test cases - maintenance documentation • integration considerations - try to leave it open-ended - combine modules and check the whole product • architectural design: decompose project into modules - top-down vs bottom-up? - testing: product and acceptance testing; code review • detailed design: each module (data structures, algorithms) - documentation: commented code, test cases • UML can also be useful for design - done continually with implementation (can't wait until the last minute!) • example: your online store • example: your online store

cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp

maintenance phase.

- defined by Schach as any change
- by far the most expensive phase
- poor (or lost) documentation often makes the situation even worse
- programmers hate it
- several types:
 - corrective (bugs)
 - perfective (additions to improve)
 - adaptive (system or other underlying changes)
- testing maintenance: regression testing (will it still work now that I've fixed it?)
- documentation: record all the changes made and why, as well as new test cases
- example: your on-line store how might the system change once it's been implemented?

cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp

25

cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp

26

planning and estimation.

- we still need to deal with the bottom line
 - how much will it cost?
 - can you stick to your estimate?
 - how long will it take?
 - can you stick to your estimate?
- how do you measure the product (size, complexity)?

reusability.

retirement phase.

- lack of trust

• impediments:

- logistics of reuse

• the last phase, of course

- no documentation

- hardware obsolete

changes too drastic (e.g., redesign)too many dependencies ("house of cards")

• true retirement rate: product no longer useful

• why retire?

- loss of knowledge base
- mismatch of features
- how to:
- libraries
- APIs
- system calls
- objects (OOP)
- frameworks (a generic body into which you add your particular code)



cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp

fi rst program: hello.cpp	the four main object-oriented programming (OOP) concepts
<pre>#include <iostream.h> #include <stdio.h> main() { cout << "hello world\n"; cout << "hello" << " world" << "\n"; printf("hello yet again!\n"); } • compile using: g++ hello.cpp -o hello • like gcc (default output file is a.out)</stdio.h></iostream.h></pre>	 abstraction creation of well-defined interface for an object, separate from its implementation e.g., Vector in Java e.g., key functionalities (init, add, delete, count, print) which can be called independently of knowing how an object is implemented encapsulation keeping implementation details "private", i.e., inside the implementation hierarchy an object is defined in terms of other objects composition → larger objects out of smaller ones inheritance → properties of smaller objects are "inherited" by larger objects polymorphism use code "transparently" for all types of same class of object i.e., "morph" one object into another object within same hierarchy
cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp	33 cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp 34
you don't need typedef in c++	iostream: new I/O library
<pre>• struct, enum and union tags are type names struct User { char *name; char *password; }; User myuser; enum Color { red, white, blue }; Color foreground; union Token { int ival; double dval; char *sval; }; Token mytoken;</pre>	 it's preferred not to use C's stdio (though you can), because it's not "type safe" (i.e., compiler can't tell if you're passing data of the wrong type, as you know from getting run-time errors) stdio functions are not extensible note << is left-shift operator, which iostream "overloads" you can string multiple <<'s together, e.g.: cout << "hello" << " world" << "\n"; cout is like stdout cerr is like stderr for now, use <stdio> for features like:</stdio> for matting output read input file I/O
cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp	35 cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp 36



39

comparing c and c++

- comments in c++: / / and / * * /
- you cannot use int variables in c++ as char (like you can in C)
- you cannot use enum vars in c++ as int (like you can in C)
- file suffix convention: .cpp (we'll use this, but others exist like .cc)
- keywords that are in c++ but not in c: asm, class, delete, new, private, public, throw, try, catch, friend, inline, operator, protected, this, template, virtual

cs3157-spring2005-sklar-cpp