cis32-ai — lecture # 14 — mon-20-mar-2006

today's topics:

• knowledge representation

Introduction

- Using logic is one approach to knowledge representation.
- Another possibility is to design specific mechanisms for representing the kind of knowledge we need in AI.
- Leads to an area of AI called *knowledge representation*.
- This lecture will look at some general aspects of knowledge representation, and also the specific example of production rules.

The Knowledge Principle

• Ed Feigenbaum:

"... power exhibited ... is primarily a consequence of the specialist knowledge employed by the agent and only very secondarily related to ... the power of the [computer]"

"Our agents must be knowledge rich, even if they are methods poor."

The Role of Knowledge

- Knowledge about a domain allows problem solving to be *focussed* not necessary to exhaustively search.
- *Explicit* representations of knowledge allow a *domain expert* to understand the knowledge a system has, add to it, edit it, and so on.

Knowledge engineering.

• Comparatively *simple* algorithms can be used to *reason* with the knowledge and derive *new* knowledge.

Knowledge Representation

- Question: How do we *represent* knowledge in a form amenable to computer manipulation?
- Desirable features of KR scheme:
 - representational adequacy;
 - inferential adequacy;
 - inferential efficiency;
 - well-defined syntax & semantics;
 - naturalness.

Representational Adequacy

- A KR scheme must be able to actually represent the knowledge appropriate to our problem.
- Some KR schemes are better at some sorts of knowledge than others.
- There is no one ideal KR scheme!

Inferential Adequacy

- KR scheme must allow us to make new *inferences* from old knowledge.
- It must make inferences that are:
 - sound the new knowledge actually does follow from the old knowledge;
 - complete it should make all the right inferences.
- Soundness usually easy; completeness very hard!

 Example. Given knowledge... Michael is a man. All men are mortal.
 the inference Simon is mortal.
 is not sound, whereas Michael is mortal.
 is sound.

Inferential Efficiency

- A KR scheme should be *tractable* make inferences in reasonable (polynomial) time.
- Unfortunately, *any* KR scheme with interesting *expressive power* is not going to be efficient.
- Often, the more *general* a KR scheme is, the *less efficient* it is.
- Use KR schemes tailored to problem domain less general, but more efficient.
- (Any KR scheme with expressive power = first-order logic is *undecidable*.)

Syntax and Semantics

- It should be possible to tell:
 - whether any construction is "grammatically correct".
 - how to read any particular construction no *ambiguity*.

Thus KR scheme should have well defined syntax.

• It should be possible to precisely determine, for any given construction, exactly what its meaning is.

Thus KR scheme should have well defined semantics.

• Syntax is easy; semantics is hard!

Naturalness

- Ideally, KR scheme should closely correspond to our way of thinking, reading, and writing.
- Allow knowledge engineer to read & check knowledge base.
- Again, more general a KR scheme is, less likely it is to be readable & understandable.

Rules

- Knowledge is specified as a collection of *production rules*.
- Each rule has the form

 $condition \longrightarrow action$

which may be read

if *condition* then *action*.

- The *condition* (antecedent) is a *pattern*.
- The action (consequent) is an operation to be performed if rule fires.

- A rule-based (production) system has a *working memory* of *facts* against which *condition* is matched.
- Action is often a *fact* to be added to working memory.
- Rule fires if match is successful; Mechanism that fires rules is *inference engine*.



• Example rule base:

- R3: IF animal has feathers THEN animal is a bird
- R4: IF animal is a bird THEN animal can fly
- R5: IF animal can fly THEN animal is not scared of heights

Relation to search

- Using rules can be thought of as just another form of search.
- Facts are states.
- Working memory is the agenda.
- Rules are the operations on states.
- This suggests that there are schemes for applying rules which are similar to breadth-first search etc.
- We will look at these next.

• Another example:

- R1: IF animal has hair THEN animal is a mammal
- R2: IF animal gives milk THEN animal is mammal
- R3: IF animal has feathers THEN animal is a bird
- R4: IF animal can fly AND animal lays eggs THEN animal is bird

- R5: IF animal eats meat THEN animal is carnivore
- R6: IF animal has pointed teeth AND animal has claws THEN animal is carnivore
- R7: IF animal is mammal AND animal has hoofs THEN animal is ungulate
- R8: IF animal is mammal AND animal chews cud THEN animal is ungulate

R9: IF animal is mammal AND animal is carnivore AND animal has tawny colour AND animal has dark spots THEN animal is cheetah

R10: IF animal is mammal AND animal is carnivore AND animal has tawny colour AND animal has black stripes THEN animal is tiger

R11: IF animal is ungulate AND animal has long legs AND animal has dark spots THEN animal is giraffe

R12: IF animal is ungulate AND animal has black stripes THEN animal is zebra

R14: IF animal is bird AND animal does not fly AND animal has long legs AND animal has long neck THEN animal is ostrich

R14: IF animal is bird AND animal does not fly AND animal can swim AND animal is black and white THEN animal is penguin

R15: IF animal is bird AND animal is good flyer THEN animal is albatross

Forward Chaining

- Given a set of rules like these, there are essentially two ways we can use them to generate new knowledge:
 - forward chaining data driven;
 - backward chaining goal driven.
- In what follows...
 - let (c,a) be a rule.
 - let fires(c,WM) be true if condition c fires against working memory WM.
- Forward chaining algorithm is as follows.

```
var WM : set of facts
var goal : goal we are searching for
var RuleBase : set of rules
var firedFlag : BOOLEAN
repeat
  firedFlag = FALSE
  for each (c,a) in RuleBase do
    if fires(c,WM) then
      if a == goal then return success
      end-if
      add a to WM
      set firedFlag to TRUE
    end-if
  end-for
until firedFlag = FALSE
return failure
```

 Example. Suppose
 WM = { animal has hair, animal eats meat, animal has tawny colour, animal has dark spots}
 and goal is

animal is cheetah

- Note that all rules which can fire do fire.
- Can be inefficient lead to spurious rules firing, unfocussed problem solving (cf. breadth-first search).
- Set of rules that can fire known as *conflict set*.
- Decision about which rule to fire *conflict resolution*.
- Number of strategies possible (cf. heuristic search):
 - most specific rule first (with most antecedents).
 - most recent first;
 - user specified priorities.

Meta Knowledge

```
• Another solution: meta-knowledge, (i.e., knowledge about knowledge) to guide search.
```

```
IF
   conflict set contains any rule (c,a) such that
   a = ''animal is mammal''
THEN
   fire (c,a)
```

- So meta-knowledge encodes knowledge about how to guide search for solution.
- Explicitly coded in the form of rules, as with "object level" knowledge.

Backward Chaining

- Backward chaining means reasoning from *goals* back to *facts*.
- The idea is that this focusses the search.
- Thinking of the rules as building a tree connecting facts,
- ... in backward chaining, every path ends with the goal.
- Since, in general, there are more initial facts that goals, ...
- ... more of the paths built will be solutions than in forward chaining (we hope :-).

```
var WM : set of facts
var RuleBase : set of rules
var firedFlag : BOOLEAN
function prove(g : goal)
  if g in WM then
return TRUE
  if there is some (c,a) in WM
        such that a == g then
     for each precondition p in c do
        if not prove(p,WM) then return FALSE
     return TRUE
  else
     return FALSE
end-function
```

• Example. Suppose

WM = { animal has hair, animal eats meat, animal has tawny colour, animal has dark spots}

• and goal is

animal is cheetah

Semantic Networks

- Taxonomic reasoning can be more efficient not in logic.
- Developed by Quillian in 1968, for *semantic memory*.
- Models the "associations" between ideas that people maintain.
- Semantic net is a *labelled graph*.
 - nodes in graph represent *objects*, *concepts*, or *situations*;
 - arcs in graph represent *relationships between objects*.

Key types of arc:

x subset y
"x is a kind of y" (⊂)
Example: penguin subset bird
x member y
"x is a y"
Example: opus member penguin
x R → y
"x is R-related to y"
Example: bill friend opus
Inference is then by traversing arcs.



- Binary relations are easy and natural to represent.
- Others kinds of relation are harder.
- Unary relations (properties).

Example: "Opus is small".

• Three place relations.

Example: "Opus brings tequila to the party."

• Some binary relations are problematic ...

"Opus is larger than Bill."

- *Quantified* statements are very hard for semantic nets. Examples:
 - "every dog has bitten a postman"
 - "every dog has bitten every postman"
- Partitioned semantic nets can represent these.
- Of course, expressions like this are very easy to represent in first order logic.





Frames

- Frames are a kind of *structured* knowledge representation mechanism.
- All information relevant to a particular concept is stored in *frame* which resembles C struct, PASCAL record, Java object...
- Each frame has a number of *slots*.
- Each slot may be *filled* by:
 - a value;
 - a pointer to another frame;
 - a procedure.
- Slots may have *default values* associated with them.
- Frames = 00!

- Frames are typically used to represent the *properties* of objects, and the relationships between them.
- Frames may represent:
 - generic concepts (cf classes) or
 - specific items (cf objects).
- Most important kind of link between frames:

is-a

- Facilitates reasoning about object properties.
- Allows *default values* to be *inherited*.



- How to reason with frame systems?
- Easy to answer questions such as *is x a y*?

Simply follow the *is-a* links.

- Example: Is snoopy a laser printer.
- (Problem of *multiple inheritance* Nixon diamond.)
- Also useful for *default* reasoning.

Simply *inherit* all default values that are not explicitly provided.

• Example: Does snoopy the printer have a wall outlet?

- Scripts are a variant of frames, for representing stereotypical sequences of events.
- A script is thus a frame with a set of prescribed slots, for example:
 - Some initial conditions;
 - Some final conditions;
 - Some state description;
 - Some actions; and
 - Some actors
- The structure of the script is heavily domain dependent.

• Example:

SCRIPT Name: RESTAURANT Roles: Customer, Waiter, Cook, Cashier Entry condition: Customer is hungry Props: Food, table, money, menu, tip Events: 1/ Customer enters restaurant 2/ Customer goes to table 3/ Waiter brings menu 4/ Customer orders food 5/ Waiter brings food 6/ Customer eats food . . .

```
...
10/ Customer leaves restaurant
```

```
Main concept: 6
```

Results: Customer not hungry, Customer has less money, Restaurant has more money, Waiter gets tip

- Scripts developed by Roger Schank for *understanding stories*.
- Used to help *understand language*.
- Scripts provide *context* information without which sentences cannot be understood:
 - sentences are not unconstrained sequences of words;
 - stories are not unconstrained sequences of sentences.
- Schank developed SAM (Script Applier Mechanism) that could *fill in gaps* in stories.
- Also able to "explain" elements of stories, e.g., people get upset or angry when story deviates from script.

Problems with Frames & Semantic Nets

- Both frames and semantic nets are essentially *arbitrary*.
- Both are useful for representing certain sorts of knowledge.
- But both are essentially *ad hoc* lack precise meaning, or *semantics*.
- Inference procedures poorly defined & justified.
- The *syntax* of KR scheme is *irrelevant*.
- Logic generalises these schemes... and that is both an advantage and a disadvantage.

Summary

- This lecture has introduced the idea of knowledge representation, and some of the requirements of a knowledge representation scheme.
- We also looked at several knowledge representation schemes:
 - production rules
 - semantic nets
 - frames
 - scripts