The Complexity of Simple and Optimal Deterministic Mechanisms for an Additive Buyer

Xi Chen, George Matikas, Dimitris Paparas, Mihalis Yannakakis

Seller has n items for sale









Seller has n items for sale



Buyer has (private) value for each item \$50 \$25 \$78 \$135 \$53

Probability distribution of value for each item, known to seller

$$F_1$$
  $F_2$   $F_3$   $F_4$   $F_5$ 

Valuation of buyer drawn randomly from  $F = F_1 \times F_2 \times ... \times F_n$ 

Seller has n items for sale



\$53

Buyer has (private) value for each item \$50 \$25 \$78 \$135

Additive buyer:

Value of a subset S of items = sum of values of items in S

• Seller can assign a price to each subset



or offers a menu of only some subsets (bundles)

- Buyer's Utility for a subset S: u(S) = value(S) price(S)
- Buyer buys subset S with maximum utility, if ≥ 0 (break ties say by highest value rule)

#### **Optimal Pricing Problem**

Optimal Pricing (Revenue Maximization) Problem
 Find pricing that maximizes the expected revenue

$$\max E[\text{Revenue}] = \sum_{v \sim F} \Pr(v) \cdot \operatorname{price}(S_v)$$

where  $S_v$  = bundle bought by buyer with valuation v

#### **Single Item Pricing Scheme**

• Set a price for each item



Price for each subset S :  $\sum \{ \text{price}(i) \mid i \in S \}$ 

Optimal price for each item *i* :
 value p<sup>\*</sup><sub>i</sub> that maximizes p<sup>\*</sup><sub>i</sub> · Pr[value(i) ≥ p<sup>\*</sup><sub>i</sub>]
 [Myerson '81]

## **Grand Bundle Pricing Scheme**

- Can only buy the set of all items (the "grand bundle") for a given price, or nothing at all.
- There are examples where it gets more revenue than single item pricing:
  - 2 iid items with values  $\{1, 2\}$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  each
  - Single item pricing: opt revenue 2 (eg. price 1 for each)
  - Grand bundle pricing: opt revenue 9/4

price 3 for the grand bundle

### **Partition Pricing Scheme**

• Partition the items into groups and assign price to each group in partition.



- Can buy any set of groups for sum of their prices
- Includes single item and grand bundle pricing as special cases
- Can get more revenue than both in some examples

## Randomized Schemes (Lottery Pricing)

- Lottery = vector (q<sub>1</sub>,...,q<sub>n</sub>) of probabilities for the items If buyer buys the lottery then she gets each item i with probability q<sub>i</sub>
- Lottery pricing: Menu = set of (lottery, price) pairs.



• Buyer buys lottery with maximum expected utility

• There are examples where lottery pricing gives more revenue than the optimal deterministic pricing

#### Pricing schemes ↔ Mechanism design

- Buyer submits a bid for each item
- Mechanism determines *allocation* the buyer receives and the *price* she pays Mechanism must be incentive compatible and individually rational
- Bundle pricings  $\leftrightarrow$  deterministic mechanisms
- Lottery pricings  $\leftrightarrow$  randomized mechanisms

## Past Work

- Lots of work both in economic theory and in computer science
- 1 item: well-understood (also for many buyers) Myerson'81; randomization does not help
- 2 items: much more complicated; randomization can help

Work on

- Simple pricing schemes and their power/limitations
- Approximation of revenue
- Complexity
- Other models, e.g. unit-demand buyers, many buyers, correlated distributions

## **Past Work: Approximation**

- Single item pricing: Θ(logn) approximation to optimal revenue [Hart-Nisan'12, Li-Yao'13]
- Grand bundle: O(1) approximation for IID distributions [LY13]
- Better of single item/grand bundle: 6-approximation for any (independent) distributions [Babaioff et al'15]
- Approximation schemes for subclasses of distributions [Daskalakis et al '12, Cai-Huang'13]
- Reduction of many buyers to one, and O(1) approximation [Yao'15]

## Past Work: Complexity

- Grand Bundle: Computing the best price for the grand bundle is #P-hard [Daskalakis et al '12]
- Partition pricing: Computing the best partition and prices is NP-hard. But PTAS for best revenue achievable by any partition mechanism [Rubinstein '16]
- Randomized mechanisms: #P-hard to compute the optimal solution/revenue [Daskalakis et al '14]

### Questions

- Is there an efficient algorithm that finds an optimal (deterministic) pricing?
- Is there such an algorithm when the instance has a "simple" optimal pricing?
- Is there a simple (i.e. easy to check) characterization of when single item pricing is optimal?
- For grand bundle pricing?

## Results

- The optimal deterministic pricing problem is #P-hard, even if all distributions have support 2, and if the optimal is guaranteed to have a very simple form (we call it "discounted item pricing"): single item prices & price for grand bundle. Buyer can buy any subset for sum of its item prices or the grand bundle at its price
  - Also #P-hard to compute the optimal revenue.
- It is #P-hard to determine for a given instance
  - if single item pricing is optimal,
  - if grand bundle pricing is optimal

## Results

- For IID distributions of support 2, the optimal revenue (even among randomized solutions) can be achieved by a discounted item pricing (i.e., single item prices & price for grand bundle), and it can be computed in polynomial time.
- For constant number of items (and any independent distributions), the problem can be also solved in polynomial time.

#### **Integer Linear Program**

- Let  $D_i$  = support of  $F_i$  and  $D = D_1 \times ... \times D_n$  (exponential size)
- Variables:  $x_{v,1}, ..., x_{v,n} \in \{0,1\}, \pi_v, \forall v \in D$
- $(x_{v,1},...,x_{v,n})$  = characteristic vector of bundle bought for valuation v,  $\pi_v$  its price

 $\max \sum_{v \in D} \pi_{v} \cdot \Pr[v]$ Subject to  $1. \forall v \in D : x_{v,i} \in \{0,1\}$  $2. \forall v \in D : \sum_{i \in [n]} v_{i} \cdot x_{v,i} - \pi_{v} \ge 0$  $3. \forall w, v \in D : \sum_{i \in [n]} w_{i} \cdot x_{w,i} - \pi_{w} \ge \sum_{i \in [n]} w_{i} \cdot x_{v,i} - \pi_{v}$ (w does not envy the bundle of v)

• The LP (  $x_{v,i} \in [0,1]$  ) models the optimal lottery problem

### IID with support size 2

- Can assume wlog that support={1,b} with b>1
   (If support={0,b} then trivial: price all items at b. Otherwise rescale.)
- Let p = Pr(value=b), 1-p = Pr(value=1)

• Let 
$$Q_i = {n \choose i} \cdot p^i (1-p)^{n-i} = \Pr(i \text{ items at value } b)$$

- Lemma: There exists an integer k∈[0:n] such that

   (n-i)Q<sub>i</sub> (b-1)(Q<sub>i+1</sub> + ... + Q<sub>n</sub>)
   is < 0 for all i : 0 ≤ i ≤ k, and is ≥ 0 for all i : k ≤ i ≤ n.</li>
- Optimal Pricing S\*: Price every item at b, and offer the grand bundle at price kb+n-k

## **Proof Sketch**

- Expected revenue of S\* is  $R^* = \sum_{1 \le i < k} bi \cdot Q_i + (kb + n k) \sum_{k \le i \le n} Q_i$
- Since IID, the LP for the optimal lottery has a symmetric optimal solution [DW12], and the LP can be simplified to a more compact symmetric LP.
- Variables: x<sub>i</sub>, i=1,...,n : probability of getting a value b item when the valuation has i items at b

 $y_i$ , i=0,...,n-1: probability of getting a value 1 item when the valuation has *i* items at b

 $\pi_i$ ,  $i=0,\ldots,n$ : price of lottery for a valuation with *i* items at b

## Proof Sketch ctd.

The symmetric LP maximizes  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \pi_i \cdot Q_i$ 

Relax the LP by keeping only some of the constraints

- 1.  $0 \le x_i \le 1$  and  $0 \le y_i \le 1$  for all *i*
- 2.  $\pi_0 \le ny_0$  (the utility of the all-1 valuation is  $\ge 0$ )
- 3. For each  $i \in [n]$ , the valuation w with  $w_j = b$  for  $j \le i$  and  $w_j = 1$  for j > i does not envy the lottery of the valuation v with  $v_j = b$  for  $j \le i-1$  and  $w_j = 1$  for j > i-1

 $bix_{i} + (n-i)y_{i} - \pi_{i} \ge b(i-1)x_{i-1} + (n-i+b)y_{i-1} - \pi_{i-1}$ 

 Combine the inequalities to upper bound every π<sub>i</sub> in terms of the *x*, *y* variables

#### Proof Sketch ctd.

)

$$\pi_0 \le ny_0$$
  
$$\pi_i \le bix_i + (n-i)y_i - (b-1)(y_{i-1} + y_{i-2} + \dots + y_1 + y_0)$$

Replacing in the objective function every  $\pi_i$  by its upper bound  $\rightarrow$  linear form in  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  that upper bounds optimal value

- Coefficient of  $x_i$  is  $biQ_i > 0 \implies$  expression maximized if  $x_i = 1$
- Coefficient of y<sub>i</sub> is (n-i)Q<sub>i</sub> (b-1)(Q<sub>i+1</sub> + ... + Q<sub>n</sub>), which is
   < 0 if i <k, and ≥ 0 if i ≥ k ⇒ expression maximized if</li>
   we set y<sub>i</sub> = 0 for all i <k and y<sub>i</sub> =1 for all i ≥ k
  - Substituting these values in the expression that upper bounds the objective function gives precisely *R*\*

#### **#P-Hardness**

- Reduction from the following problem, COMP. Input:
  - 1. Set B of integers  $0 < b_1 \le b_2 \le \ldots \le b_n \le 2^n$
  - 2. Subset W⊂[n] of size |W|=n/2. Let  $w=\sum_{i \in W} b_i$
  - 3. Integer t

Question: Is the number of subsets S  $\subset$ [n] of size |S|=n/2 such that  $\sum_{i \in S} b_i \ge w$  at least t ?

#### Construction

- n+1 items: n items  $\leftrightarrow$  b<sub>i</sub>'s + special item
- First n items: almost iid with support {1, big}
   Item i: value 1 with probability p=1/2(h+1), where h=2<sup>2n</sup>
   value h+1+b<sub>i</sub>δ, where δ=1/2<sup>3n</sup>, with probability 1-p
- Item n+1: support {σ, σ+α}, where σ=1/p<sup>n</sup>, α=(n/2)h+wδ (<<σ) value σ with probability (α/(σ+α))+ε for some ε=ε(t)=o(1/σ) value σ+α with probability (σ/(σ+α))-ε (=almost 1)</li>

### **Two Candidate Solutions**

- Solution 1: Grand bundle at price  $n+\sigma = sum$  of low values Equivalently, single item pricing with all prices= low values
- Solution 2: Discounted item pricing where all item prices=high values, and grand bundle price =  $n + \sigma + \alpha$

Theorem: One of these two solutions is the unique optimal solution. #P-hard to tell which one of the two.

Solution 1 is optimal if the answer to the COMP question is No (  $| \{S \subset [n] \text{ of size } |S|=n/2 \text{ such that } \Sigma_{i \in S} b_i \ge w \} | < t )$ 

Solution 2 is optimal if the answer to the COMP question is Yes (  $| \{S \subset [n] \text{ of size } |S|=n/2 \text{ such that } \Sigma_{i \in S} b_i \ge w \} | \ge t$  )

### **Two Candidate Solutions**

- Solution 1: Grand bundle at price  $n+\sigma = sum$  of low values Equivalently, single item pricing with all prices= low values
- Solution 2: Discounted item pricing where all item prices=high values, and grand bundle price =  $n + \sigma + \alpha$

Theorem: One of these two solutions is the unique optimal solution. #P-hard to tell which one of the two.

Corollaries:

- 1. #P-hard to tell if single item pricing is optimal
- 2. #P-hard to tell if grand bundle pricing is optimal

### **Proof Sketchy Outline**

• Integer Linear Program, using the allocation variables  $x_{v,i}$ and utility variables  $u_v$  instead of price variables  $\pi_v$ 

$$(u_v = \sum_{i \in [n]} v_i \cdot x_{v,i} - \pi_v)$$

- Denote a valuation by (S,σ) (or (S,σ+α)), for S⊆[n] if S=set of first n items that have high value and n+1th item has value σ (or σ+α)
- In solution 1, all variables  $x_{v,i} = 1$

For 
$$v = (S, \sigma)$$
,  $u_v = \sum_{i \in S} h_i$   
For  $v = (S, \sigma + \alpha)$ ,  $u_v = \alpha + \sum_{i \in S} h_i$ 

#### Proof Sketchy Outline ctd.

- In Solution 2:
  - 1. If  $v = (S, \sigma + \alpha)$ , all  $x_{v,i} = 1, u_v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i$
  - 2. If  $v = (S, \sigma)$  and  $\sum_{i \in S} h_i \ge \alpha$  then all  $x_{v,i} = 1$ ,  $u_v = \sum_{i \in S} h_i \alpha$
  - 3. If  $v = (S, \sigma)$  and  $\sum_{i \in S} h_i < \alpha$  then  $x_{v,i} = 1$  for all  $i \in S$ ,

 $x_{v,i} = 0$  for all  $i \notin S$  and for i = n + 1, and  $u_v = 0$ 

- Every S with |S| > n/2 satisfies case 2,
- every S with |S| < n/2 satisfies case 3,
- a set S with |S| = n/2 satisfies case 2 if  $\sum_{i \in S} b_i \ge w$ and case 3 otherwise

## Proof Sketchy Outline ctd.

- Relaxed ILP keep only a subset of the envy constraints
  - (S, $\sigma$ + $\alpha$ ) does not envy ( $\emptyset$ ,  $\sigma$ + $\alpha$ ), for all S $\neq \emptyset$
  - $(\emptyset, \sigma + \alpha)$  does not envy  $(S, \sigma)$ , and vice-versa, for all  $S \subseteq [n]$ ,
  - for all T $\subset$ S $\subset$  [n], (S, $\sigma$ ) does not envy (T, $\sigma$ )

• Long sequence of lemmas shows that the optimal solution to the relaxed ILP must be either solution 1 or solution 2

- For v=( $\emptyset,\sigma+\alpha$ ), if  $x_{v,n+1} = 0$  then it must be Solution 1,

if  $x_{v,n+1} = 1$  then it must be Solution 2

#### **Constant Number of Items**

- #items =k =constant, support size m for each item
- V = set of m<sup>k</sup> possible valuation vectors (polynomial)
- d=2<sup>k</sup> possible bundles (constant)
- Space R<sup>d</sup><sub>+</sub> of possible price vectors p for the bundles partitioned by hyperplanes into cells such that ∀cell C ∀ valuation v buys the same bundle for all p∈C
- Hyperplanes:

$$\forall v \in V \ \forall j \in [d] : \sum_{l \in B_j} v_l - p_j = 0$$
  
$$\forall v \in V \ \forall j, j' \in [d] : \sum_{l \in B_j} v_l - p_j = \sum_{l \in B_{j'}} v_l - p_j$$
  
$$\forall i, i' \in [d] : p_i = p_i$$

 $\forall j, j' \in [d]: p_j = p_{j'}$ 

#### **Constant Number of Items**

- The supremum revenue for price vectors in C is given by an LP, and is achieved at a vertex of C.
- $\Rightarrow$  Optimum overall is achieved at a vertex of the subdivision
- Polynomial number of hyperplanes, constant dimension d
   ⇒ polynomial number of vertices.
- Try them all and pick best.

# Conclusions

- Showed that the optimal (deterministic) pricing problem is hard, and this holds even when the optimal solution is very simple : single item pricing + discount for grand bundle
- Can we find a polynomial time approximation scheme, or can we rule it out?
   When there is a simple optimal solution?
- IID case?

Is there a PTAS?