### Modeling and Solving Planning Problems With Picat

#### **Neng-Fa Zhou**

(CUNY Brooklyn College & GC)

Planning with Picat, N.F. Zhou

# **Classical Planning**

■ P = (S,∑,f,δ,s₀,F)

- □ S : A set of states (finite or countably infinite)
- $\Box$   $\Sigma$  : A set of actions
- $\Box$  f : A transition function or relation (S× $\Sigma \rightarrow$  S)
- $\Box \ \delta : A \ cost \ function \ (S \times \Sigma \to \Re)$
- □ s₀: An initial state
- □ F : A set of goal states

# **Planning Formalisms**

#### Logic programming

PLANNER [Hewitt69], "a language for proving theorems and manipulating models in a robot"

Prolog for planning [Kowalski79,Warplan76]

ASP-based planners [Lifschitz02]

#### STRIPS-based PDDL

- The de facto language [McDermott98]
- □ Many solvers (Arvand, LAMA, FD, SymBA\*-2,...)
- □ Extensions of PDDL (e.g., HTN)
- Planning as SAT and model checking

# **Planning With Picat**

#### A logic programming approach

- □ Unlike PDDL and ASP, structured data can be used.
- Domain-specific heuristics and control knowledge about determinism, dependency, and symmetry can be encoded.
- Tabled backtracking search
  - Every state generated during search is tabled.
    - Same idea as state-marking used in IDA\* and other algorithms.
  - □ Term sharing: common ground terms are tabled only once.
    - Alleviate the *state explosion problem*.
  - Resource-bounded search
    - Unlike IDA\*, results from previous rounds are reused.

# Picat's planner Module

#### Resource-bounded search

- □ plan(State,Limit,Plan,PlanCost)
- D best\_plan(State,Limit,Plan,PlanCost)
  - Iterative deepening (unlike IDA\*, results from early rounds are reused)

#### Depth-unbounded search

- □ plan\_unbounded(State,Limit,Plan,PlanCost)
- D best\_plan\_unbounded(State,Limit,Plan,PlanCost)
  - Like Dijkstra's algorithm

### How to Use the Planner?

#### Import the planner module

- Specify the goal states
  - □ final(State)
    - True if State is a goal state.
- Specify the actions
  - □ action(State,NextState,Action,ActionCost)
    - Encodes the state transition relation
    - States are tabled, and destructive updates of states (using :=) are banned.
- Define a heuristic function if necessary

□ heuristic(State) = H => ...

Call a built-in on an initial state to find a plan

### **Ex: The Farmer's Problem**

import planner.

# **Modeling Techniques**

#### Find a good representation for states

- Keep the information minimal.
- Use good data structures that facilitate
  - sharing
  - computation of heuristics
  - symmetry breaking
- Use heuristics and domain knowledge
  - A state should not be expanded if the travel from it to the final state costs more than the limit.
  - Identify deterministic actions and macro actions.
  - Use landmarks.

### Modeling Examples picat-lang.org/projects.html



# 15-Puzzle

4		3	6
12	1	11	7
9	5	10	15
13	8	14	2

8	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15

#### State representation

### 15-Puzzle: Actions

```
action([P0@(R0,C0)|Tiles],NextS,Action,Cost) =>
    Cost = 1,
    (R1 = R0-1, R1 >= 1, C1 = C0, Action = up;
     R1 = R0+1, R1 = < 4, C1 = C0, Action = down;
     R1 = R0, C1 = C0-1, C1 \ge 1, Action = left;
     R1 = R0, C1 = C0+1, C1 = < 4, Action = right),
    P1 = (R1, C1),
    slide(P0,P1,Tiles,NTiles),
    NextS = [P1|NTiles].
% slide the tile at P1 to the empty square at P0
slide(P0,P1,[P1|Tiles],NTiles) =>
    NTiles = [P0|Tiles].
slide(P0,P1,[Tile|Tiles],NTiles) =>
    NTiles=[Tile|NTilesR],
    slide (PO, P1, Tiles, NTilesR).
```

# 15-Puzzle: Heuristics and Performance

```
heuristic([_|Tiles]) = Dist =>
final([_|FTiles]),
Dist = sum([abs(R-FR)+abs(C-FC) :
{(R,C),(FR,FC)} in zip(Tiles,FTiles)]).
```

### **Rush Hour Puzzle**



Move the red car to the exit (4,2).

### **Rush Hour Puzzle**

#### State representation

{RedLoc, L11, L12, L21, L13, L31}

- □ L11 -- an ordered list of locations of the spaces.
- □ Lwh -- an ordered list of locations of the w×h cars.
- □ Symmetries are removed.

#### Goal states

final({[4|2],\_,\_,\_,\_}) => true.

### **Rush Hour Puzzle**

#### Actions

```
% move the red car
action({LocRed,L11,L12,L21,L13,L31},NewS,Action,Cost) ?=>
    Cost=1,
    move_car(2,1,LocRed,NLocRed,L11,NL11,Action),
    NewS = {NLocRed,NL11,L12,L21,L13,L31}.
% move a 1*2 car
action({LocRed,L11,L12,L21,L13,L31},NewS,Action,Cost) ?=>
    Cost=1,
    select(Loc,L12,L12R),
    move_car(1,2,Loc,NLoc,L11,NL11,Action),
    NL12 = L12R.insert_ordered(NLoc),
    NewS = {LocRed,NL11,NL12,L21,L13,L31}.
```

•••



In the ASP'13 version, there may be more stones than goal locations. This makes *reversed solving* difficult.

source: takaken

#### State representation

[] {SoLoc,GStLocs,NonGStLocs}

- SoLoc the location of the man.
- GStLocs an ordered list of locations of the goal stones.
- NonGStLocs an ordered list of locations of the non-goal stones.

#### Goal states

```
final({_,GStLocs,_}) =>
   foreach(Loc in GStLocs)
      goal(Loc)
   end.
```

#### Actions

#### Experimental Results

- $\square$  30 instances from ASP'13 were used.
- Picat (using plan\_unbounded) solved all the 30 instances (on average less than 1s per instance).
- Depth-unbounded search is faster than depthbounded search.
- Potassco solved only 14 of the 30 instances.
- Not as competitive as Rolling Stone, a specialized Sokoban planner.

### **Ricochet Robots**



source:Martin Gebser et al.

### **Ricochet Robots**

#### State representation

{[CurLoc|TargetLoc],ORobotLocs}



#### $\{ [(1,1) | (2,5)], [(1,8), (8,1), (8,8)] \}$

Non-target robots are represented as an ordered list of locations. This representation breaks symmetries.

#### Goal states

final({[Loc|Loc],\_}) => true.

Planning with Picat, N.F. Zhou

### **Ricochet Robots**

#### Actions

```
action({[From|To],ORobotLocs},NextState,Action,Cost) ?=>
NextState = {[Stop|To],ORobotLocs},
Action = [From|Stop], Cost = 1,
choose_move_dest(From,ORobotLocs,Stop).
action({FromTo@[From|_],ORobotLocs},NextState,Action,Cost) =>
NextState = {FromTo,ORobotLocs2},
Action = [RFrom|RTo], Cost = 1,
select(RFrom, ORobotLocs,ORobotLocs1),
choose_move_dest(RFrom,[From|ORobotLocs1],RTo),
ORobotLocs2 = insert ordered(ORobotLocs1,RTo).
```

# Logistics

#### IPC domains

- Nomystery
- Airport pickup
- Drivelog
- Elevator planning
- Petrobrass planning

□ ...

- There is only one truck involved.
- The truck has a fuel level.



- A number of packages need to be transported between nodes in a graph.
- The graph is weighted and the weight of an edge is the fuel cost.

#### State representation

- [] {TruckLoc,LCGs,WCGs}
  - LCGs an ordered list of destinations of loaded cargoes
  - WCGs an ordered list of source-destination pairs of waiting cargoes

#### Goal states

final({\_,[],[]}) => true.

#### Actions

```
action({Loc,LCGs,WCGs},NextState,Action,Cost),
    select(Loc,LCGs,LCGs1)
=>
    Action = $unload(Loc),
    Cost = 0,
```

```
NextState= {Loc,LCGs1,WCGs}.
action({Loc,LCGs,WCGs},NextState,Action,Cost),
    select([Loc|CargoDest],WCGs,WCGs1)
```

```
=>
Action = $load(Loc,CargoDest),
Cost = 0,
NextState = {Loc,LCGs1,WCGs1},
LCGs1 = insert_ordered(LCGs,CargoDest).
action({Loc,LCGs,WCGs},NextState,Action,Cost) =>
Action = $drive(Loc,Loc1),
NextState = {Loc1,LCGs,WCGs},
fuelcost(Cost,Loc,Loc1).
```

#### Domain knowledge

- If the truck is at the destination of a loaded cargo, then unload it deterministically.
- If the truck is at a location where there is a cargo that needs to be delivered, then load it deterministically.

#### Experimental results

- □ 30 instances from ASP'13 were used.
- Picat solved all the 30 instances.
  - On average less than 0.1s per instance.
- $\Box$  Potassco solved only 17 of the 30 instances.
- Picat solved all the instances used in IPC'11, including the hardest instance that was not solved by any of the participating solvers.

### **Gilbreath's Card Trick**



Each quartet contains a card from each suit

Take from "Unraveling a Card Trick", by Tony Hoare & Natarajan Shankar

# **Gilbreath's Card Trick**

#### State representation

```
init([s,h,c,d,s,h,c,d,s,h,c,d])
splitted(Deck1,Deck2)
shuffled(Cards)
```

#### Goal states

```
final(shuffled(Cards)) =>
    test_quartet(Cards,[c,d,h,s]).

test_quartet([C1,C2,C3,C4|_Cards],Suits),
    sort([C1,C2,C3,C4]) !== Suits
=> true.
test_quartet([_,_,_,_|Cards],Suits) =>
    test_quartet(Cards,Suits).
```

# **Gilbreath's Card Trick**

#### Actions

```
action(init(Cards),NewS,Action,ActionCost) =>
NewS = $splitted(Deck1,RDeck2),
Action = split,
ActionCost = 1,
append(Deck1,Deck2,Cards),
Deck1 !== [],
Deck2 !== [],
RDeck2 = Deck2.reverse().
action(splitted(Deck1,Deck2),NewS,Action,ActionCost) =>
NewS = $shuffled(Cards),
Action = shuffle,
ActionCost = 1,
shuffle(Deck1,Deck2,Cards).
```





 $12! \times 2^{12} \times 8! \times 3^8 = 43,252,003,274,489,856,000$   $8! \times 3^7 = 88,179,840$ 43 quintillion possible states!

#### State representation

pieces(Es,Cs)

- Es : A list of positions of edge pieces. Edge positions: [bd, db, ..., ru, ur].
- Cs : A list of positions of corner pieces. Corner positions: [bdl,bld,...,ufr,urf]

#### The goal state

```
final(pieces(Es,Cs)) =>
    Es = [bd,bl,br,bu,df,dl,dr,fl,fr,fu,lu,ru],
    Cs = [bdl,bdr,blu,bru,dfl,dfr,flu,fru].
```

#### Expand the goal state into a goal region

Depth	Nodes
1	18
2	243
3	3,240
4	43,254
5	577,368
6	7,706,988
7	102,876,480
8	1,373,243,544
9	$18,\!330,\!699,\!168$
10	$244,\!686,\!773,\!808$
11	3,266,193,870,720
12	$43,\!598,\!688,\!377,\!184$
13	$581,\!975,\!750,\!199,\!168$
14	7,768,485,393,179,328
15	103,697,388,221,736,960
16	1,384,201,395,738,071,424
17	18,476,969,736,848,122,368
18	246,639,261,965,462,754,048

final(S,Plan,Cost) =>
 M = get\_table\_map(),
 M.get(S,[]) = (Plan,Cost).

From Richard E. Korf'97



```
action(S,NewS,Action,Cost) =>
    current_resource_plan_cost(Limit,CurPlan,_CurPlanLen),
    actions(Actions),
    Cost = 1,
    member(Action,Actions),
    not nogood_action(CurPlan,Action),
    transform(Action,S,NewS).
```

Some domain knowledge

- □ Do not turn one face consecutively.
- □ Do not turn opposite faces consecutively.

#### Experimental results

- $\Box$  2×2×2
  - Out-of-memory for table area if no goal region is used.
  - When the goal is expanded backward by 5 steps, Picat solves most instances in seconds.
- □ 3×3×3
  - Picat can solve only easy instances that require up to 14 steps.
  - Hard instances normally require 18 steps (in theory, no more than 20 steps).
  - Korf's pattern database is too big to store in the table area.



Two snapshots from the sequence of the *Frame-Stewart* algorithm

Planning with Picat, N.F. Zhou

Remove correctly-positioned largest disks





#### State representation

{N,CurTower,GoalTower}
CurTower = [CPeg1,CPeg2,CPeg3,CPeg4]
GoalTower = [GPeg1,GPeg2,GPeg3,GPeg4]
Pegi = [D1,D2,...,Dk], D1 > D2 > ... > Dk

```
table (+, -, \min)
hanoi4({0, , },Plan,Cost) => Plan=[],Cost=0.
% reduce the problem if the largest disk already is on the right peg
hanoi4({N,[[N|CPeg1]|CPegs],[[N|GPeg1]|GPegs]},Plan,Cost) =>
    NewS = {N-1, [CPeq1|CPeqs], [GPeq1|GPeqs]},
    hanoi4(NewS, Plan, Cost).
hanoi4({1,CT,GT},Plan,Cost) =>
    nth(From,CT,[]),
    nth(To,GT,[]),
    Plan = [\$move(From, To)],
    Cost = 1.
% divide the problem into sub-problems
hanoi4({N,CState,GState},Plan,Cost) =>
    partition disks(N,CState,GState,ItState,M,Peg),
                                                     % set up a landmark
    remove larger disks(CState,M) = CState1,
    hanoi4({M,CState1,ItState},Plan1,Cost1),
                                                        % sub-problem1
    remove smaller or equal disks(CState,M) = CState2,
    remove smaller or equal disks(GState,M) = GState2,
    N1 is N-M,
                                                        % sub-problem2, 3-peg version
    hanoi3({N1,CState2,GState2,Peg},Plan2,Cost2),
    remove larger disks(GState,M) = GState1,
    hanoi4({M,ItState,GState1},Plan3,Cost3),
                                                        % sub-problem3
    Plan = Plan1 ++ Plan2 ++ Plan3,
    Cost = Cost1 + Cost2 + Cost3.
```

#### Experimental results

- □ 15 instances from ASP'11 were used
- Picat solved all
  - In less than 0.1s when no partition heuristic was used.
  - Is even faster if a partition heuristic was used.
- □ Clasp also solved all 15 instances
  - On average 20s per instance

# Summary Modeling Techniques

Use an ordered list to represent positions

- Rush Hour, Sokoban, Ricochet Robots, and Nomystery.
- Breaks symmetry and facilitates sharing
- Use heuristics (15-puzzle and Ricochet)
- Identify deterministic actions (Nomystery)
- Goal expansion (Rubik's cube)
- Use landmarks (4-peg Hanoi Tower)