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What is Monte Carlo?

1.1

Introduction

The name Monte Carlo was applied to a class of mathematical methods first used by scientists working on the development of nuclear weapons in Los Alamos in the 1940s. The essence of the method is the invention of games of chance whose behavior and outcome can be used to study some interesting phenomena. While there is no essential link to computers, the effectiveness of numerical or simulated gambling as a serious scientific pursuit is enormously enhanced by the availability of modern digital computers.

It is interesting, and may strike some as remarkable, that carrying out games of chance or random sampling will produce anything worthwhile. Indeed some authors have claimed that Monte Carlo will never be a method of choice for other than rough estimates of numerical quantities.

Before asserting the contrary, we shall give a few examples of what we mean and do not mean by Monte Carlo calculations. Consider a circle and its circumscribed square. The ratio of the area of the circle to the area of the square is $\pi/4$. It is plausible that if points were placed at random in the square, a fraction $\pi/4$ would also lie inside the circle. If that is true (and we shall prove later that in a certain sense it is), then one could measure $\pi/4$ by putting a round cake pan with diameter $L$ inside a square cake pan with side $L$ and collecting rain in both. It is also possible to program a computer to generate random pairs of cartesian coordinates to represent random points in the square and count the fraction that lie in the circle. This fraction as determined from many experiments should be close to $\pi/4$, and the fraction would be called an estimate for $\pi/4$. In 1,000,000 experiments it is very likely (95% chance) that the number of points inside the circle would range between 784,600 and 786,200, yielding estimates of $\pi/4$ that are between 0.7846 and 0.7862, compared with the true value of 0.785398 . . ..

The example illustrates that random sampling may be used to solve a mathematical problem, in this case, evaluation of a definite integral,
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\[ I = \int_0^1 \int_0^{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx \, dy. \] (1.1)

The answers obtained by the above procedure are statistical in nature and subject to the laws of chance. This aspect of Monte Carlo is a drawback, but not a fatal one since one can determine how accurate the answer is, and obtain a more accurate answer, if needed, by conducting more experiments. Sometimes, in spite of the random character of the answer, it is the most accurate answer that can be obtained for a given investment of computer time. The determination of the value of \( \pi \) can of course be done faster and more accurately by non-Monte Carlo methods. In many dimensions, however, Monte Carlo methods are often the only effective means of evaluating integrals.

A second and complementary example of a Monte Carlo calculation is one that S. Ulam [1] cited in his autobiography. Suppose one wished to estimate the chances of winning at solitaire, assuming the deck is perfectly shuffled before laying out the cards. Once we have chosen a particular strategy for placing one pile of cards on another, the problem is a straightforward one in elementary probability theory. It is also a very tedious one. It would not be difficult to program a computer to randomize lists representing the 52 cards of a deck, prepare lists representing the different piles, and then simulate the playing of the game to completion. Observation over many repetitions would lead to a Monte Carlo estimate of the chance of success. This method would in fact be the easiest way of making any such estimate. We can regard the computer gambling as a faithful simulation of the real random process, namely, the card shuffling.

Random numbers are used in many ways associated with computers nowadays. These include, for example, computer games and generation of synthetic data for testing. These are of course interesting, but not what we consider Monte Carlo, since they do not produce numerical results. A definition of a Monte Carlo method would be one that involves deliberate use of random numbers in a calculation that has the structure of a stochastic process. By stochastic process we mean a sequence of states whose evolution is determined by random events. In a computer, these are generated by a deterministic algorithm that generates a sequence of pseudorandom numbers which mimics the properties of truly random numbers.

A distinction is sometimes made between simulation and Monte Carlo. In this view, simulation is a rather direct transcription into computing terms of a natural stochastic process (as in the example of solitaire). Monte Carlo, by contrast, is the solution by probabilistic methods of nonprobabilistic problems (as in the example of \( \pi \)). The distinction is somewhat useful, but often impossible to maintain. The emission of radiation from atoms and its interaction
with matter is an example of a natural stochastic process since each event is to some degree unpredictable (cf. Chapter 6). It lends itself very well to a rather straightforward stochastic simulation. But the average behavior of such radiation can also be described by mathematical equations whose numerical solution can be obtained using Monte Carlo methods. Indeed the same computer code can be viewed simultaneously as a "natural simulation" or as a solution of the equations by random sampling. As we shall also see, the latter point of view is essential in formulating efficient schemes. The main point we wish to stress here is that the same techniques yield directly both powerful and expressive simulation and powerful and efficient numerical methods for a wide class of problems.

We should like to return to the issue of whether Monte Carlo calculations are in fact worth carrying out. This can be answered in a very pragmatic way: many people do them and they have become an accepted part of scientific practice in many fields. The reasons do not always depend on pure computational economy. As in our solitaire example, convenience, ease, directness, and expressiveness of the method are important assets, increasingly so as pure computational power becomes cheaper. In addition, as asserted in discussing \( \pi \), Monte Carlo methods are in fact computationally effective, compared with deterministic methods when treating many dimensional problems. That is partly why their use is so widespread in operations research, in radiation transport (where problems in up to seven dimensions must be dealt with), and especially in statistical physics and chemistry (where systems of thousands of particles can now be treated quite routinely). An exciting development of the past few years is the use of Monte Carlo methods to evaluate path integrals associated with field theories as in quantum chromodynamics.

1.2 Topics to be covered

The organization of the book is into several major areas. The first topic addressed is a review of some simple probability ideas with emphasis on concepts central to Monte Carlo theory. For more rigorous information on probability theory, references to standard texts will be given. The next chapters deal with the crucial question of how random events (or reasonable facsimiles) are programmed on a computer. The techniques for sampling complicated distributions are necessary for applications and, equally important, serve as a basis for illustrating the concepts of probability theory that are used throughout.

Then we consider quadratures in finite-dimensional spaces. Attention is paid to the important and interesting case of singular integrands, especially those for which the variance of a straightforward estimate does not exist so
that the usual central limit theorems do not apply. These are cases for which variance reduction methods have an immediate and direct payoff. Also explored are quasi-Monte Carlo methods which use low discrepancy sequences that uniformly fill the multidimensional space.

The final chapters deal with applications of Monte Carlo methods. An introduction to current uses in statistical physics is given. The simulation of a simple example of radiation transport is developed, and this naturally leads to the solution of integral equations by Monte Carlo. The ideas are then used as a framework upon which to construct a relationship between random walks and integral equations and to introduce the fundamentals of variance reduction for the simulation of random walks.

1.3 A Short History of Monte Carlo

Perhaps the earliest documented use of random sampling to find the solution to an integral is that of Comte de Buffon [2]. In 1777 he described the following experiment. A needle of length $L$ is thrown at random onto a horizontal plane ruled with straight lines a distance $d$ apart. What is the probability $P$ that the needle will intersect one of these lines? Comte de Buffon performed the experiment of throwing the needle many times to determine $P$. He also carried out the mathematical analysis of the problem and showed that

$$P = \frac{2L}{\pi d}. \quad (1.2)$$

Some years later, Laplace [3] suggested that this idea could be used to evaluate $\pi$ from throws of the needle. This is indeed a Monte Carlo determination of $\pi$; however, as in the first example of this chapter, the rate of convergence is slow. It is very much in the spirit of inverting a probabilistic result to get a stochastic computation. We would call it an analog computation nowadays. [4]

Lord Kelvin [5] appears to have used random sampling to aid in evaluating some time integrals of the kinetic energy that appear in the kinetic theory of gases. His random sampling consisted of drawing numbered pieces of paper from a bowl. He worried about the bias introduced by insufficient mixing of the papers and by static electricity. W.S. Gossett (as "Student" [6]) used similar random sampling to assist in his discovery of the distribution of the correlation coefficient.

Many advances were being made in probability theory and the theory of random walks that would be used in the foundations of Monte Carlo theory. For example, Courant, Friedrichs, and Lewy [7] showed the equivalence of the behavior of certain random walks to solutions of certain partial differen-
In the 1930s, Enrico Fermi made some numerical experiments that would now be called Monte Carlo calculations. In studying the behavior of the newly discovered neutron, he carried out sampling experiments about how a neutral particle might be expected to interact with condensed matter. These led to substantial physical insight and to the analytical theory of neutron diffusion and transport.

During the Second World War, the bringing together of such people as von Neumann, Fermi, Ulam, and Metropolis and the beginnings of modern digital computers gave a strong impetus to the advancement of Monte Carlo. In the late 1940s and early 50s there was a surge of interest. Papers appeared that described the new method and how it could be used to solve problems in statistical mechanics, radiation transport, economic modeling, and other fields. [8–10] Unfortunately, the computers of the time were not really adequate to carry out more than pilot studies in many areas. The later growth of computer power made it possible to carry through more and more ambitious calculations and to learn from failures.

At the same time, theoretical advances and putting into practice powerful error-reduction methods meant that applications advanced far faster than implied by sheer computing speed and memory size. The two most influential developments of that kind were the improvements in methods for the transport equation, especially reliable methods of "importance sampling" [11] and the invention of the algorithm of Metropolis et al. [12]. The resulting successes have borne out the optimistic expectations of the pioneers of the 1940s.

*) This information was communicated privately to MHK by E. Segre and by H. L. Anderson.
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