

# Discrete Structures: Logic

Amotz Bar-Noy

Department of Computer and Information Science  
Brooklyn College

# Boolean Functions With One Variables

## Definition

- Let  $x$  be a boolean variable:  $x \in \{\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$ .
- A boolean function  $F(x) \in \{\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$  is determined by its values for each one of the two possible assignments to  $x$ :
  - \*  $x$  is **TRUE**
  - \*  $x$  is **FALSE**

## Notation

- $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$  for  $\{\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$

## The four ( $4 = 2^2$ ) functions

- The *IDENTITY* function:  $F(x) = x$
- The *NOT* function:  $F(x) = \neg x$
- The *TRUE* function:  $F(x) = \text{TRUE}$
- The *FALSE* function:  $F(x) = \text{FALSE}$

# Boolean Functions With Two Variables

## Definition

- Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two boolean variables:  $x, y \in \{\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$ .
- A boolean function  $F(x, y) \in \{\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$  is determined by its values for each of the four possible assignments to  $x$  and  $y$ :
  - \* Both  $x$  and  $y$  are **TRUE**
  - \*  $x$  is **TRUE** and  $y$  is **FALSE**
  - \*  $x$  is **FALSE** and  $y$  is **TRUE**
  - \* Both  $x$  and  $y$  are **FALSE**

## Number of two-variable functions

- There are  $16 = 2^4$  possible boolean functions with two variables.

# Boolean Functions With $k$ Variables

## Counting the number of $k$ -variable boolean functions

- Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  be  $k$  boolean variables:
  - \*  $(x_i \in \{\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\})$  For all  $1 \leq i \leq n$
- There are  $2^k$  possible **TRUE** or **FALSE** assignments to the  $k$  variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ .
- A boolean function  $F(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \{\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$  is determined by its values for each one of these  $2^k$  assignments.
- Therefore, there are  $2^{2^k}$  different  $k$ -variable boolean functions.

$2^{2^k}$  grows very fast!

- 4, 16, 256, 65536, 4294967296,  $2^{64}$ ,  $2^{128}$  ...
- There are more than 4 billions 5-variable boolean functions.

# Six “Trivial” Two Variable Functions

$x$	$y$	$TRUE$ $T$	$FALSE$ $F$	$ID(X)$ $x$	$ID(Y)$ $y$	$NOT(X)$ $\neg x$	$NOT(Y)$ $\neg y$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$

# Six “Useful” Or “Popular” Two Variable Functions

$x$	$y$	$AND$ $x \wedge y$	$OR$ $x \vee y$	$NAND$ $\neg(x \wedge y)$	$NOR$ $\neg(x \vee y)$	$XOR$ $x \oplus y$	$EQUIV$ $x \equiv y$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$

## Representing $XOR$ and $EQUIV$ with $AND$ and $OR$

- $XOR$ :  $(x \vee y) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y)$
- $EQUIV$ :  $(x \wedge y) \vee (\neg x \wedge \neg y)$

# Four Conditional Two Variable Functions

$x$	$y$	$x\text{-IMPLIES-}y$ $x \rightarrow y \equiv \neg x \vee y$	$y\text{-IMPLIES-}x$ $y \rightarrow x \equiv x \vee \neg y$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$

$x$	$y$	$\text{NOT}(x\text{-IMPLIES-}y)$ $\neg(x \rightarrow y) \equiv x \wedge \neg y$	$\text{NOT}(y\text{-IMPLIES-}x)$ $\neg(y \rightarrow x) \equiv \neg x \wedge y$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$

# All 16 Two Variables Functions

Function( $x, y$ )	( $T, T$ )	( $T, F$ )	( $F, T$ )	( $F, F$ )
$TRUE$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$OR$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$y-IMPLIES-x$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$ID(x)$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$x-IMPLIES-y$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$ID(y)$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$EQUIV$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$AND$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$NAND$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$XOR$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$NOT(y)$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$NOT(x-IMPLIES-y)$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$NOT(x)$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$NOT(y-IMPLIES-x)$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$NOR$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$FALSE$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$



# Laws of Logic: One Variable

## The identity laws:

- $x \vee F \equiv x$
- $x \wedge T \equiv x$

## The domination laws:

- $x \vee T \equiv T$
- $x \wedge F \equiv F$

## The idempotent laws:

- $x \vee x \equiv x$
- $x \wedge x \equiv x$

## The complement laws:

- $x \vee \neg x \equiv T$
- $x \wedge \neg x \equiv F$

## The double negation law:

- $\neg\neg x \equiv x$

# Laws of Logic: Two and Three Variables

## The commutative Laws:

- $x \vee y \equiv y \vee x$
- $x \wedge y \equiv y \wedge x$

## The associative laws:

- $(x \vee y) \vee z \equiv x \vee (y \vee z) \equiv (x \vee y \vee z)$
- $(x \wedge y) \wedge z \equiv x \wedge (y \wedge z) \equiv (x \wedge y \wedge z)$

## The distributive laws:

- $x \vee (y \wedge z) \equiv (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$
- $x \wedge (y \vee z) \equiv (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$

## The absorption laws:

- $x \vee (x \wedge y) \equiv x$
- $x \wedge (x \vee y) \equiv x$

# Boolean Formulas

## Definition

- A boolean **formula** (**expression**, **proposition**) is a sequence, with or without parentheses, of one or two variable boolean functions.
- The value of a boolean formula is **TRUE** ( $T$ ) or **FALSE** ( $F$ ) depending on the **TRUE/FALSE** assignments to all the boolean variables that appear in the formula.

## Evaluating a boolean formula

- A formula is processed in order from left to right.
- Negations are evaluated first.
- Priorities are given to parentheses.

# Evaluating Boolean Formulas: Examples

$$(x \vee y) \wedge (\neg y \wedge z)$$

- The formula is **TRUE** only if both  $(x \vee y)$  and  $(\neg y \wedge z)$  are **TRUE**.
- $(\neg y \wedge z)$  is **TRUE** only if  $y$  is **FALSE** and  $z$  is **TRUE**.
- $x$  must be **TRUE** to force  $(x \vee y)$  to be **TRUE** when  $y$  is **FALSE**.
- In summary, the formula is **TRUE** only if  $x$  is **TRUE**,  $y$  is **FALSE**, and  $z$  is **TRUE**.

$$(x \vee y) \wedge (\neg y \oplus z) \wedge (\neg z \equiv w)$$

- When all the variables are **TRUE** then the formula is **FALSE** because the sub-formula  $(\neg z \equiv w)$  is **FALSE**.
- When all the variables except  $w$  are **TRUE** then the formula is **TRUE** because all the sub-formulas are **TRUE**.

# Truth Tables

## Definition

- A formula with  $k$  variables has a value for each one of the  $2^k$  possible **TRUE/FALSE** assignments to its  $k$  variables.
- The **truth table** of a formula with  $k$  variables is a table with  $2^k$  rows which gives the value of the formula for each one of the  $2^k$  assignments
- The first  $k$  columns of the truth table represent the **TRUE/FALSE** assignments to the  $k$  variables .
- The last column of the truth table represents the value of the formula.
- Usually there are additional columns that represent the values of sub-formulas helping verifying the correctness of the last column.

## Truth Table for: $(x \vee y) \wedge (\neg y \wedge z)$

$x$	$y$	$z$	$(x \vee y)$	$\neg y$	$(\neg y \wedge z)$	formula
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$

# Truth Table for: $(x \vee y) \wedge (\neg y \oplus z) \wedge (\neg z \equiv w)$

$x$	$y$	$z$	$w$	$(x \vee y)$	$(\neg y \oplus z)$	$(\neg z \equiv w)$	formula
T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F
T	T	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	F	T	F
T	T	F	F	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	F	T	F	T	F
T	F	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	T	F
F	T	F	F	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	T	F
F	F	F	T	F	T	T	F
F	F	F	F	F	T	F	F

# Satisfiability

## Definition

- A boolean formula is **satisfied** if there exists at least one **TRUE/FALSE** assignment to its variables for which the value of the formula is **TRUE**.
- Such assignments are the **truth assignments** of the formula.

## Example: $(x \vee y) \wedge (\neg y \wedge z)$

- This formula is satisfied and it has only one truth assignments:
  - \*  $x = T, y = F, \text{ and } z = T$

## Example: $(x \vee y) \wedge (\neg y \oplus z) \wedge (\neg z \equiv w)$

- This formula is satisfied and has three truth assignments:
  - \*  $x = T, y = T, z = T \text{ and } w = F$
  - \*  $x = T, y = F, z = F \text{ and } w = T$
  - \*  $x = F, y = T, z = T \text{ and } w = F$



# Tautologies and Contradictions

## Definitions

- A boolean formula is a **tautology** if its value is **TRUE** for any **TRUE/FALSE** assignment to its variables.
- A boolean formula is a **contradiction** if its value is **FALSE** for any **TRUE/FALSE** assignment to its variables.

## Trivial examples

- $(x \vee \neg x)$  is a tautology.
- $(x \wedge \neg x)$  is a contradiction.

## Observations

- A tautology is satisfied by all possible assignments to its variables and the entries in the last column of its truth table are all  $T$ .
- A contradiction is a non-satisfied formula and the entries in the last column of its truth table are all  $F$ .

# A Tautology Example

## Theorem

- $P \equiv x \vee \neg(x \wedge y)$  is a tautology.

## Proof

- If  $x$  is **TRUE** then  $P$  is **TRUE**.
- If  $x$  is **FALSE** then  $x \wedge y$  is **FALSE** implying that  $\neg(x \wedge y)$  is **TRUE** implying that  $P$  is **TRUE**.
- It follows that  $P$  is always **TRUE**.

## Truth table

$x$	$y$	$x \wedge y$	$\neg(x \wedge y)$	$x \vee \neg(x \wedge y)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$

# A Contradiction Example

## Theorem

- $P \equiv x \wedge \neg(x \vee y)$  is a contradiction.

## Proof

- If  $x$  is **FALSE** then  $P$  is **FALSE**.
- If  $x$  is **TRUE** then  $x \vee y$  is **TRUE** implying that  $\neg(x \vee y)$  is **FALSE** implying that  $P$  is **FALSE**.
- It follows that  $P$  is always **FALSE**.

## Truth table

$x$	$y$	$x \vee y$	$\neg(x \vee y)$	$x \wedge \neg(x \vee y)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$

# Proving the Associative Laws Using Truth Tables

## The $\mathcal{AND}$ associative law

- $(x \wedge y) \wedge z \equiv x \wedge (y \wedge z)$

## Proof

- The last columns in both truth tables are identical:

$x$	$y$	$z$	$x \wedge y$	$(x \wedge y) \wedge z$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$

$x$	$y$	$z$	$y \wedge z$	$x \wedge (y \wedge z)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$

# Proving the Associative Laws Using Truth Tables

## The *OR* associative law

- $(x \vee y) \vee z \equiv x \vee (y \vee z)$

## Proof

- The last columns in both truth tables are identical:

$x$	$y$	$z$	$x \vee y$	$(x \vee y) \vee z$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$

$x$	$y$	$z$	$y \vee z$	$x \vee (y \vee z)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$

# The De Morgan's Laws

## The two variables case

$$\neg(x \wedge y) \equiv \neg x \vee \neg y$$

$$\neg(x \vee y) \equiv \neg x \wedge \neg y$$

## The three variables case

$$\neg(x \wedge y \wedge z) \equiv (\neg x) \vee (\neg y) \vee (\neg z)$$

$$\neg(x \vee y \vee z) \equiv (\neg x) \wedge (\neg y) \wedge (\neg z)$$

## The four variables case

$$\neg(x \wedge y \wedge z \wedge w) \equiv (\neg x) \vee (\neg y) \vee (\neg z) \vee (\neg w)$$

$$\neg(x \vee y \vee z \vee w) \equiv (\neg x) \wedge (\neg y) \wedge (\neg z) \wedge (\neg w)$$

# The De Morgan's Laws

## A “binary” world

- The outside is **rainy** (**R**) or **sunny** (**S**).
- The outside is **cold** (**C**) or **hot** (**H**).

## Person I

- Alice does not leave the house if it is (**rainy and cold**) outside.
- Alice left the house:  $\neg(\mathbf{R} \wedge \mathbf{C}) \equiv (\neg\mathbf{R} \vee \neg\mathbf{C}) \equiv (\mathbf{S} \vee \mathbf{H})$ .
- It is **sunny or hot** outside.

## Person II

- Bob does not leave the house if it is (**rainy or cold**) outside.
- Bob left the house:  $\neg(\mathbf{R} \vee \mathbf{C}) \equiv (\neg\mathbf{R} \wedge \neg\mathbf{C}) \equiv (\mathbf{S} \wedge \mathbf{H})$ .
- It is **sunny and hot** outside.

# Proof with a Truth Table: $\neg(x \wedge y) \equiv \neg x \vee \neg y$

$\neg(x \wedge y)$

$x$	$y$	$x \wedge y$	$\neg(x \wedge y)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$

$\neg x \vee \neg y$

$x$	$y$	$\neg x$	$\neg y$	$\neg x \vee \neg y$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$



## Proof with a Truth Table: $\neg(x \vee y) \equiv \neg x \wedge \neg y$

$\neg(x \vee y)$

$x$	$y$	$x \vee y$	$\neg(x \vee y)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$

$\neg x \wedge \neg y$

$x$	$y$	$\neg x$	$\neg y$	$\neg x \wedge \neg y$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$

# Proof with a Truth Table: $\neg(x \wedge y \wedge z) \equiv \neg x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z$

$$\neg(x \wedge y \wedge z)$$

x	y	z	$x \wedge y \wedge z$	$\neg(x \wedge y \wedge z)$
T	T	T	T	F
T	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	F	T
F	F	F	F	T

$$\neg x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z$$

x	y	z	$\neg x$	$\neg y$	$\neg z$	$\neg x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z$
T	T	T	F	F	F	F
T	T	F	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	F	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T

# Proof with a Truth Table: $\neg(x \vee y \vee z) \equiv \neg x \wedge \neg y \wedge \neg z$

$$\neg(x \vee y \vee z)$$

x	y	z	$x \vee y \vee z$	$\neg(x \vee y \vee z)$
T	T	T	T	F
T	T	F	T	F
T	F	T	T	F
T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	F
F	T	F	T	F
F	F	T	T	F
F	F	F	F	T

$$\neg x \wedge \neg y \wedge \neg z$$

x	y	z	$\neg x$	$\neg y$	$\neg z$	$\neg x \wedge \neg y \wedge \neg z$
T	T	T	F	F	F	F
T	T	F	F	F	T	F
T	F	T	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	F	T	F
F	F	T	T	T	F	F
F	F	F	T	T	T	T

# The General De Morgan's Laws

## Complement of Conjunctions $\equiv$ Disjunction of Complements

$$\left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i \right)' \equiv (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n)' \equiv (x_1' \vee x_2' \vee \cdots \vee x_n') \equiv \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i'$$

## Complement of Disjunctions $\equiv$ Conjunction of Complements

$$\left( \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i \right)' \equiv (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \cdots \vee x_n)' \equiv (x_1' \wedge x_2' \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n') \equiv \bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i'$$

# The General De Morgan's Laws

## Theorem

- Complement of Conjunctions  $\equiv$  Disjunction of Complements.

## Proof

- Let  $L = (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n)'$  and  $R = (x_1' \vee x_2' \vee \cdots \vee x_n')$
- Prove that  $L$  is **TRUE** if and only if  $R$  is **TRUE**

$$\begin{aligned} L \equiv \text{TRUE} &\Leftrightarrow (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n)' \equiv \text{TRUE} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n) \equiv \text{FALSE} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_i \equiv \text{FALSE}) \text{ for at least one } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_i' \equiv \text{TRUE}) \text{ for at least one } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_1' \vee x_2' \vee \cdots \vee x_n') \equiv \text{TRUE} \\ &\Leftrightarrow R \equiv \text{TRUE} \end{aligned}$$

# The General De Morgan's Laws

## Theorem

- Complement of Disjunctions  $\equiv$  Conjunction of Complements.

## Proof

- Let  $L = (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \cdots \vee x_n)'$  and  $R = (x_1' \wedge x_2' \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n')$
- Prove that  $L$  is **TRUE** if and only if  $R$  is **TRUE**

$$\begin{aligned} L \equiv \text{TRUE} &\Leftrightarrow (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \cdots \vee x_n)' \equiv \text{TRUE} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \cdots \vee x_n) \equiv \text{FALSE} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_i \equiv \text{FALSE}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_i' \equiv \text{TRUE}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_1' \wedge x_2' \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n') \equiv \text{TRUE} \\ &\Leftrightarrow R \equiv \text{TRUE} \end{aligned}$$

# Logic puzzles

## The lady or the tiger puzzle

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eUYWXqk5Ags>

## Knights and knaves

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C6PeX4iKJbU>
- A good introduction to propositional logic

## Fork in the road

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MsV3XRLxX6Q>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F8s03KBjeY0>

# The Function *IMPLY*

$x$  implies  $y \equiv$  if  $x$  then  $y$

$x$	$y$	$x \rightarrow y$	$\neg x \vee y$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$

*IMPLY* for propositions  $P, Q$

**Conditional:**  $P \rightarrow Q$  is equivalent to

**Contrapositive:**  $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$

**Inverse:**  $\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q$  is equivalent to

**Converse:**  $Q \rightarrow P$



# If, Only If, and If and only If (iff)

## The propositions $P$ and $Q$

- $P$ : “Passing”.
- $Q$ : “Good grades”.

## The sufficient condition

- $Q \rightarrow P$ : “You pass **if** you have good grades”.
  - \*  $\text{IMPLY}(T, T) = T$ : “You pass with good grades”.
  - \*  $\text{IMPLY}(T, F) = F$ : “You cannot fail with good grades”.
  - \*  $\text{IMPLY}(F, T) = T$ : “You might pass with bad grades”.
  - \*  $\text{IMPLY}(F, F) = T$ : “You might fail with bad grades”

## The equivalent necessary condition

- “You have good grades **only if** you pass”.

# If, Only If, and If and only If (iff)

## The propositions $P$ and $Q$

- $P$ : “Passing”.
- $Q$ : “Good grades”.

## The necessary condition

- $P \rightarrow Q$ : “You pass **only if** you have good grades”.
  - \*  $\text{IMPLY}(T, T) = T$ : “You might pass with good grades”.
  - \*  $\text{IMPLY}(T, F) = F$ : “You cannot pass with bad grades”.
  - \*  $\text{IMPLY}(F, T) = T$ : “You might fail with good grades”.
  - \*  $\text{IMPLY}(F, F) = T$ : “You fail with bad grades”.

## The equivalent sufficient condition

- “You have good grades **if** you pass”.

# If, Only If, and If and only If (iff)

## The propositions $P$ and $Q$

- $P$ : “Passing”.
- $Q$ : “Good grades”.

## The necessary and sufficient condition

- $P \equiv Q$ : “You pass **if only if** you have good grades”.
  - \*  $\mathcal{EQUIV}(T, T) = T$ : “You pass with good grades”.
  - \*  $\mathcal{EQUIV}(T, F) = F$ : “You cannot pass with bad grades”.
  - \*  $\mathcal{EQUIV}(F, T) = F$ : “You cannot fail with good grades”.
  - \*  $\mathcal{EQUIV}(F, F) = T$ : “You fail with bad grades”.

# Be Careful with Your Words!

## Bad Defense Attorney

- Prosecutor: “If the defendants are guilty, then they had accomplices”.
- Defense attorney: “This is not true!!”

## What is the defense attorney claiming?

- P: “The defendants are guilty”.
- Q: “The defendants had accomplices”.
- Prosecutor:  $P \rightarrow Q$ .
- Defense attorney:  $P \rightarrow Q$  is **FALSE**.

## Conclusion

- $P \rightarrow Q$  is **FALSE** only when  $P$  is **TRUE** and  $Q$  is **FALSE**.
- $P \rightarrow Q$  is **FALSE** only when the defendants are guilty and they did not have accomplices.

# Laws With Two Propositions

## Notations

- Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be boolean propositions.

## *IMPLY*

- $P \rightarrow Q \equiv \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$
- $\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q \equiv Q \rightarrow P$

## *EQUIV*

- $(P \equiv Q) \equiv (\neg Q \equiv \neg P)$

## *IMPLY and EQUIV*

- $(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P) \equiv (P \equiv Q)$

# IMPLY and EQUIV

## Theorem

- $(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P) \equiv (P \equiv Q)$

## Proof with truth tables

$P$	$Q$	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow P$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$

$P$	$Q$	$P \equiv Q$
$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$

# Laws With Three Propositions

## Notations:

- Let  $P$  and  $Q$  and  $R$  be three boolean propositions.

## The *IMPLY* and *EQUIV* transitive laws

- $((P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow R)) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$
- $((P \equiv Q) \wedge (Q \equiv R)) \rightarrow (P \equiv R)$

## The *IMPLY* distributive laws

- $((P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow R)) \equiv (P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R))$
- $((P \rightarrow Q) \vee (P \rightarrow R)) \equiv (P \rightarrow (Q \vee R))$
- $((Q \rightarrow P) \wedge (R \rightarrow P)) \equiv ((Q \vee R) \rightarrow P)$
- $((Q \rightarrow P) \vee (R \rightarrow P)) \equiv ((Q \wedge R) \rightarrow P)$

# Truth Tables: $((P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow R)) \equiv (P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R))$

$$(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow R)$$

$P$	$Q$	$R$	$P \rightarrow Q$	$P \rightarrow R$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow R)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$

$$P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R)$$

$P$	$Q$	$R$	$Q \wedge R$	$P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$



# Truth Tables: $((P \rightarrow Q) \vee (P \rightarrow R)) \equiv (P \rightarrow (Q \vee R))$

$$(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (P \rightarrow R)$$

$P$	$Q$	$R$	$P \rightarrow Q$	$P \rightarrow R$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (P \rightarrow R)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$

$$P \rightarrow (Q \vee R)$$

$P$	$Q$	$R$	$Q \vee R$	$P \rightarrow (Q \vee R)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$

# Truth Tables: $((Q \rightarrow P) \wedge (R \rightarrow P)) \equiv ((Q \vee R) \rightarrow P)$

$$(Q \rightarrow P) \wedge (R \rightarrow P)$$

$P$	$Q$	$R$	$Q \rightarrow P$	$R \rightarrow P$	$(Q \rightarrow P) \wedge (R \rightarrow P)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$

$$(Q \vee R) \rightarrow P$$

$P$	$Q$	$R$	$Q \vee R$	$(Q \vee R) \rightarrow P$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$

# Truth Tables: $((Q \rightarrow P) \vee (R \rightarrow P)) \equiv ((Q \wedge R) \rightarrow P)$

$$(Q \rightarrow P) \vee (R \rightarrow P)$$

$P$	$Q$	$R$	$Q \rightarrow P$	$R \rightarrow P$	$(Q \rightarrow P) \vee (R \rightarrow P)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$

$$(Q \wedge R) \rightarrow P$$

$P$	$Q$	$R$	$Q \wedge R$	$(Q \wedge R) \rightarrow P$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$

## The $((P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow R)) \equiv (P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R))$ law

### A “binary” world

- The outside is (**rainy** or **sunny**) and (**cold** or **hot**).
- Alice does not leave the house if it is (**rainy and cold**) outside.

### Notations

- $P$ : “staying in the house”.
- $Q$ : “rainy outside”.
- $R$ : “cold outside”.

### The interpretations of the two equivalent sides of the law

- (If Alice stayed in the house then it is **rainy** outside) **and** (If Alice stayed in the house then it is **cold** outside).
- If Alice stayed in the house then it is (**rainy and cold**) outside.

## The $((P \rightarrow Q) \vee (P \rightarrow R)) \equiv (P \rightarrow (Q \vee R))$ law

### A “binary” world

- The outside is (**rainy** or **sunny**) and (**cold** or **hot**).
- Bob does not leave the house if it is (**rainy or cold**) outside.

### Notations

- $P$ : “staying in the house”.
- $Q$ : “rainy outside”.
- $R$ : “cold outside”.

### The interpretations of the two equivalent sides of the law

- (If Bob stayed in the house then it is **rainy** outside) **or**  
(If Bob stayed in the house then it is **cold** outside).
- If Bob stayed in the house then it is (**rainy or cold**) outside.

## The $((Q \rightarrow P) \wedge (R \rightarrow P)) \equiv ((Q \vee R) \rightarrow P)$ law

### A “binary” world

- The outside is (**rainy** or **sunny**) and (**cold** or **hot**).
- Alice does not leave the house if it is (**rainy and cold**) outside.

### Notations

- $P$ : “leaving the house”.
- $Q$ : “sunny outside”.
- $R$ : “hot outside”.

### The interpretations of the two equivalent sides of the law

- (If it is **sunny** outside then Alice will leave the house) **and** (If it is **hot** outside then Alice will leave the house).
- If it is (**sunny or hot**) outside then Alice will leave the house.

## The $((Q \rightarrow P) \vee (R \rightarrow P)) \equiv ((Q \wedge R) \rightarrow P)$ law

### A “binary” world

- The outside is (**rainy** or **sunny**) and (**cold** or **hot**).
- Bob does not leave the house if it is (**rainy or cold**) outside.

### Notations

- $P$ : “leaving the house”.
- $Q$ : “sunny outside”.
- $R$ : “hot outside”.

### The interpretations of the two equivalent sides of the law

- (If it is **sunny** outside then Bob will leave the house) **or**  
(If it is **hot** outside then Bob will leave the house).
- If it is (**sunny and hot**) outside then Bob will leave the house.

# Online Resources

## Why $x \rightarrow y$ is TRUE when $x$ is FALSE?

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XjEEVXqCMo&feature=youtu.be>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xag9TEAORK4&feature=youtu.be>

## Necessary and Sufficient Conditions

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QtMFyTV8jfg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KCMGWRoPuMY>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FHeimgMWiog>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OnbJ8S1Ainc>
- [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fq\\_DwgmIadw](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fq_DwgmIadw)
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ibjL90iYld0>

## The Wason Selection task

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hZS3B0wvT4I>



# An Online Tutorial on Conditional Reasoning

- Conditional reasoning and logical equivalence

<https://www.khanacademy.org/test-prep/lsat/lsat-lessons/logic-toolbox-new/a/logic-toolbox--article--conditional-reasoning-logical-equivalence>

- If  $X$ , then  $Y$  — Sufficiency and necessity

<https://www.khanacademy.org/test-prep/lsat/lsat-lessons/logic-toolbox-new/a/logic-toolbox--article--if-x-then-y--sufficiency-and-necessity>

- The Logic of “If” vs. “Only if”

<https://www.khanacademy.org/test-prep/lsat/lsat-lessons/logic-toolbox-new/a/logic-toolbox--if-and-only-if>

- A quick guide to conditional logic

<https://www.khanacademy.org/test-prep/lsat/lsat-lessons/logic-toolbox-new/a/logic-toolbox--article--quick-guide-conditional-logic>

# Complete Sets of Logic Functions

## Definition

- A set of logic functions is **complete** if any boolean formula can be expressed by using only the functions from that set.

## The canonical complete set

- The set  $\{\text{NOT}, \text{AND}, \text{OR}\}$  ( $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$ ) is complete.
- All  $\{1, 2\}$ -variable functions can be expressed using  $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$ .
- Any function with more variables can be expressed by a formula containing one-variable and two-variable functions.

# Expressing Two-Variable Functions With $\{\neg, \vee, \wedge\}$

Function( $x, y$ )	( $T, T$ )	( $T, F$ )	( $F, T$ )	( $F, F$ )	Formula
<i>TRUE</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>
<i>OR</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	$x \vee y$
$y\text{-IMP-}x$	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	$x \vee \neg y$
<i>ID</i> ( $x$ )	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	$x$
$x\text{-IMP-}y$	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	$\neg x \vee y$
<i>ID</i> ( $y$ )	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	$y$
<i>EQUIV</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	$(x \wedge y) \vee (\neg x \wedge \neg y)$
<i>AND</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	$x \wedge y$
<i>NAND</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	$\neg(x \wedge y)$
<i>XOR</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	$(x \vee y) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y)$
<i>NOT</i> ( $y$ )	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	$\neg y$
$\neg(x\text{-IMP-}y)$	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	$x \wedge \neg y$
<i>NOT</i> ( $x$ )	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>T</i>	$\neg x$
$\neg(y\text{-IMP-}x)$	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>F</i>	$\neg x \wedge y$
<i>NOR</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	$\neg(x \vee y)$
<i>FALSE</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>F</i>

# Other Complete Sets of Logic functions

## How to prove that a set $\mathcal{S}$ of functions is complete?

- **First method:** Show how the 4 one-variable and 16 two-variable functions can be expressed with functions from  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- **Second method:** Show that  $\text{NOT}$ ,  $\text{AND}$ ,  $\text{OR}$  can be expressed with functions from  $\mathcal{S}$  and then transitivity implies that the 4 one-variable and 16 two-variable functions can be expressed with functions from  $\mathcal{S}$ .

## $\{\text{NOT}, \text{AND}\}$ is a complete set

- Trivially:  $(x \wedge y) \equiv (x \wedge y)$  and  $\neg x \equiv \neg x$ .
- By one of the De Morgan's laws:  $(x \vee y) \equiv \neg(\neg x \wedge \neg y)$ .

## $\{\text{NOT}, \text{OR}\}$ is a complete set

- Trivially:  $(x \vee y) \equiv (x \vee y)$  and  $\neg x \equiv \neg x$ .
- By one of the De Morgan's laws:  $(x \wedge y) \equiv \neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)$ .

# $NOR (\downarrow)$ is a Complete Function

The function  $NOR$ :

$x$	$y$	$x \downarrow y$
$T$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$

Expressing  $NOT$ ,  $AND$ , and  $OR$  with  $NOR$ :

- $\neg x \equiv x \downarrow x$
- $(x \wedge y) \equiv (x \downarrow x) \downarrow (y \downarrow y)$
- $(x \vee y) \equiv (x \downarrow y) \downarrow (x \downarrow y)$

Expressing  $XOR$  and  $EQUIV$  with  $NOR$ :

- $(x \oplus y) \equiv ((x \downarrow x) \downarrow (y \downarrow y)) \downarrow (x \downarrow y)$
- $(x \equiv y) \equiv (x \downarrow (x \downarrow y)) \downarrow (y \downarrow (x \downarrow y))$

# $\mathcal{NAND}(\uparrow)$ is a Complete Function

The function  $\mathcal{NAND}$ :

$x$	$y$	$x \uparrow y$
$T$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$

Expressing  $\mathcal{NOT}$ ,  $\mathcal{AND}$ , and  $\mathcal{OR}$  with  $\mathcal{NAND}$ :

- $\neg x \equiv x \uparrow x$
- $(x \wedge y) \equiv (x \uparrow y) \uparrow (x \uparrow y)$
- $(x \vee y) \equiv (x \uparrow x) \uparrow (y \uparrow y)$

Expressing  $\mathcal{XOR}$  and  $\mathcal{EQUIV}$  with  $\mathcal{NAND}$ :

- $(x \oplus y) \equiv (x \uparrow (x \uparrow y)) \uparrow (y \uparrow (x \uparrow y))$
- $(x \equiv y) \equiv ((x \uparrow x) \uparrow (y \uparrow y)) \uparrow (x \uparrow y)$

# Quantifiers

## Notations

- Let  $P(x)$  be a boolean proposition (**TRUE** or **FALSE**) defined on all the objects  $x \in U$ .

## The universal quantifier

- $P(x)$  is TRUE for every  $x \in U$**  is denoted by:

$$\forall_{x \in U} P(x)$$

## The existential quantifier

- There exists  $x \in U$  such that  $P(x)$  is TRUE** is denoted by:

$$\exists_{x \in U} P(x)$$

# Relationships between the quantifiers

## Generalizing the De Morgan's laws

$$\neg(\forall_{x \in U} P(x)) \equiv \exists_{x \in U} \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg(\exists_{x \in U} P(x)) \equiv \forall_{x \in U} \neg P(x)$$

## Example: $P(x)$ means $x$ is smart

- $\neg(\forall_{x \in U} P(x))$ : Not everyone is smart.
- $\exists_{x \in U} \neg P(x)$ : Someone is not smart.
- $\neg(\exists_{x \in U} P(x))$ : There is no one who is smart.
- $\forall_{x \in U} \neg P(x)$ : Everyone is not smart.



# Relationships between the quantifiers

## Theorem

$$\neg(\forall x \in U P(x)) \equiv \exists x \in U \neg P(x)$$

## Proof

- The left side of the equivalence:
  - \*  $\forall x \in U P(x)$  means that  $P(x)$  is **TRUE** for all  $x \in U$ .
  - \*  $\neg(\forall x \in U P(x))$  means that  $P(x)$  is **FALSE** for at least one  $x \in U$ .
- The right side of the equivalence:
  - \*  $\exists x \in U \neg P(x)$  means that  $\neg P(x)$  is **TRUE** for at least one  $x \in U$ .
  - \* This is equivalent to  $P(x)$  is **FALSE** for at least one  $x \in U$ .

## Remark

- This is a generalization of the De Morgan's law:

$$\neg\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n P_i\right) \equiv \bigvee_{i=1}^n (\neg P_i)$$

# Relationships between the quantifiers

## Theorem

$$\neg(\exists_{x \in U} P(x)) \equiv \forall_{x \in U} \neg P(x)$$

## Proof

- The left side of the equivalence:
  - \*  $\exists_{x \in U} P(x)$  means that  $P(x)$  is **TRUE** for at least one  $x \in U$ .
  - \*  $\neg(\exists_{x \in U} P(x))$  means that  $P(x)$  is **FALSE** for all  $x \in U$ .
- The right side of the equivalence:
  - \*  $\forall_{x \in U} \neg P(x)$  means that  $\neg P(x)$  is **TRUE** for all  $x \in U$ .
  - \* This is equivalent to  $P(x)$  is **FALSE** for all  $x \in U$ .

## Remark

- This is a generalization of the De Morgan's law:

$$\neg\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n P_i\right) \equiv \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\neg P_i)$$

# Order Among Quantifiers

## Notations

- Let  $P(x, y)$  be a boolean proposition (**TRUE** or **FALSE**) defined on all the objects  $x, y \in U$ .

## Order does not matter

- $\forall_{x \in U} \forall_{y \in U} P(x, y) \equiv \forall_{y \in U} \forall_{x \in U} P(x, y) \equiv \forall_{x, y \in U} P(x, y)$ .
- $\exists_{x \in U} \exists_{y \in U} P(x, y) \equiv \exists_{y \in U} \exists_{x \in U} P(x, y) \equiv \exists_{x, y \in U} P(x, y)$ .

## Order matters

- $\forall_{x \in U} \exists_{y \in U} P(x, y) \not\equiv \exists_{y \in U} \forall_{x \in U} P(x, y)$ .
- A **TRUE** proposition: “For every integer  $n$  there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $m = n^2$ ” —  $\forall_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exists_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (m = n^2)$ .
- A **FALSE** proposition: “There exists an integer  $m$  such that  $m = n^2$  for every integer  $n$ ” —  $\exists_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \forall_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (m = n^2)$ .

# Quantifications of Two Variables

## Summary

Statement	When true?	When False?
$\forall_x \forall_y P(x, y)$ $\forall_y \forall_x P(x, y)$	$P(x, y) = T$ for every pair $x, y$	There is a pair $x, y$ for which $P(x, y) = F$
$\exists_x \exists_y P(x, y)$ $\exists_y \exists_x P(x, y)$	There is a pair $x, y$ for which $P(x, y) = T$	$P(x, y) = F$ for every pair $x, y$
$\forall_x \exists_y P(x, y)$	For every $x$ there is a $y$ for which $P(x, y) = T$	There is an $x$ such that $P(x, y) = F$ for every $y$
$\exists_x \forall_y P(x, y)$	There is an $x$ such that $P(x, y) = T$ for every $y$	For every $x$ there is a $y$ for which $P(x, y) = F$

# Quantifications of Two Variables

**Example:**  $P(x, y)$  means that  $x$  and  $y$  are friends

- $\forall_{x,y} P(x, y)$ :
  - \* **TRUE**: Everyone is a friend with everyone else.
  - \* **FALSE**: There exists at least one pair who are not friends.
- $\exists_{x,y} P(x, y)$ :
  - \* **TRUE**: At least one pair are friends.
  - \* **FALSE**: There are no friends at all.
- $\forall_x \exists_y P(x, y)$ :
  - \* **TRUE**: Everyone has at least one friend.
  - \* **FALSE**: There exists someone who has no friends.
- $\exists_x \forall_y P(x, y)$ :
  - \* **TRUE**: There exists someone who is a friend with everyone else.
  - \* **FALSE**: Everyone has at least someone who is not their friend.

# Be Careful and Precise with Logic

## The unexpected hanging paradox

- Paradox with a logical school solution:

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vxlCiV\\_axQ0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vxlCiV_axQ0)

- Paradox with a logical and an epistemological school solutions:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EPOXhFJsqlM>

- Wikipedia:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unexpected\\_hanging\\_paradox](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unexpected_hanging_paradox)

# An Online Tutorial

## 8 Logic lectures from TrevTutor

- Propositional Logic: [www.youtube.com/watch?v=itrXYg41-V0&feature=youtu.be](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=itrXYg41-V0&feature=youtu.be)
- Truth Tables: [www.youtube.com/watch?v=UiGu57JzLkE&feature=youtu.be](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UiGu57JzLkE&feature=youtu.be)
- Proofs with Truth Tables: [www.youtube.com/watch?v=9fX6n0\\_MDic&feature=youtu.be](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9fX6n0_MDic&feature=youtu.be)
- Logic laws: [www.youtube.com/watch?v=eihhu72YdpQ&feature=youtu.be](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eihhu72YdpQ&feature=youtu.be)
- Conditionals: [www.youtube.com/watch?v=xag9TEAORK4&feature=youtu.be](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xag9TEAORK4&feature=youtu.be)
- Proof by Contraposition: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X-hJ7krLBn0>
- Rules of Inference: [www.youtube.com/watch?v=8DW0K3mnc-0&feature=youtu.be](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8DW0K3mnc-0&feature=youtu.be)
- Predicate Logic: [www.youtube.com/watch?v=gyoqX0W-NH4&feature=youtu.be](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gyoqX0W-NH4&feature=youtu.be)

# Additional Logic Puzzles

## Knights and knaves

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Imgus1ispQk>

## Prisoners with hats

- <https://youtu.be/N5vJSNXPEwA>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RtidKw-qDxY>

## How many liars are at the party?

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jqX9nnRiD9g>

## Can you crack the code?

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-etLb-8sHBc&feature=youtu.be>